



City Council Meeting Minutes

Vancouver City Hall | Council Chambers | 415 W. 6th St.
PO Box 1995 | Vancouver, WA 98668-1995
cityofvancouver.us

Anne McEnerny-Ogle, Mayor • Bart Hansen • Ty Stober • Erik Paulsen • Sarah J. Fox • Diana H. Perez • Kim D. Harless

July 14, 2025

Workshops: 4:00-6:00 p.m.

Vancouver City Hall - Council Chambers - 415 W 6th Street, Vancouver WA

The City Council Meeting was held on 7/14/2025 at 4:00 PM in the Vancouver City Hall, Council Chambers 415 West 6th Street, Vancouver, WA 98660.

Workshops were conducted in person in the Council Chambers of City Hall. Members of the public were invited to view the meeting in person, via the live broadcast on www.cvtv.org and CVTV cable channels 23 or HD 323, or on the City's Facebook page, or www.facebook.com/VancouverUS.

View the CVTV video recording, including presentations and discussion, for workshops at: <https://www.cvtv.org/vid/link/38081?startStreamAt=0&stopStreamAt=7261>

Federal Update and Legislative Agenda

(Approximately 30 minutes)

Aaron Lande, Assistant City Manager, aaron.lande@cityofvancouver.us

Staff led Council through a discussion of the Federal Update and Legislative Agenda.

Councilmember Hansen was late to the workshop.

Comprehensive Plan Update

(Approximately 45 minutes, to immediately follow the previous workshop)

Rebecca Kennedy, Deputy Community Development Director, Meredith Herbst, Planner, rebecca.kennedy@cityofvancouver.us,
meredith.herbst@cityofvancouver.us

Staff led Council through a discussion of the Comprehensive Plan Update.

Green Building Policy

(Approximately 45 minutes, to immediately follow the previous workshop)

Rebecca Small, Senior Policy Analyst, Chad Eiken, Community Development Director, Chim Chune Ko, Principal Project Manager,

rebecca.small@cityofvancouver.us, chad.eiken@cityofvancouver.us,
ChimChune.Ko@cityofvancouver.us

Staff led Council through a discussion of the Green Building Policy.

Council Dinner / Administrative Updates (6:00 - 6:30 PM)

Regular Council Meeting

6:30 PM

Vancouver City Hall - Council Chambers - 415 W 6th Street, Vancouver WA

This meeting was conducted as a hybrid meeting with in person and remote viewing and participation over video conference utilizing a GoToMeeting platform. Members of the public were invited to view the meeting in person, via the live broadcast on www.cvtv.org and CVTV cable channels 23 or HD 323, or on the City's Facebook page, www.facebook.com/VancouverUS. Public access and testimony on Consent Agenda items and under the Community Forum were also facilitated in person and via the GoToMeeting conference call.

Vancouver City Council meeting minutes are a record of the action taken by Council. To view the CVTV video recording, including presentations, testimony and discussion, for this meeting please visit:

https://www.cvtv.org/vid_link/38083?startStreamAt=0&stopStreamAt=6960

Electronic audio recording of City Council meetings are kept on file in the office of the City Clerk for a period of six years.

Pledge of Allegiance

Call to Order and Roll Call

The regular meeting of the Vancouver City Council was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor McEnerny-Ogle. This meeting was conducted as a hybrid meeting, including both in person and remotely over video conference.

Present: Councilmember Harless, Councilmember Perez, Councilmember Fox, Councilmember Paulsen, Councilmember Stober, Councilmember Hansen, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle

Absent: None

Special Presentations

2024 WSDOT / FHWA Excellence Director's Award

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle introduced Jay Drye, WSDOT Local Programs Director, and Rob Klug, WSDOT SW Region Local Programs Engineer, who presented the award to Ryan Lopossa, Public Works Transportation Division Manager, and the Public Works Transportation Department with the 2024 WSDOT / FHWA Excellence Director's Award.

Community Communication

This is the place on the agenda where the public is invited to speak to Council regarding any matter on the Agenda not already scheduled for Public Hearing. (Separate instructions are provided for offering testimony on Public Hearing when applicable.) This includes the option to testify about Workshops. Members of the public addressing Council are requested to give their name and city of residence for the audio record. Speakers are to limit their testimony to a total of three minutes for all items combined. RCW 42.17A.555 and City Council Policy 100-32 prohibit the use of public facilities to support or oppose any ballot measure, or to support or oppose any candidate for elective office. Any violation of these rules could result in a suspension of speaking privileges during public comment periods at City Council Meetings for 90 days or longer for multiple offenses.

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle opened Community Communication and received testimony from the following community members regarding any matter on the agenda not scheduled for a Public Hearing:

- *Carie Wright, Vancouver*
- *Jaynee Cavaness, Vancouver*
- *Carmen DeLeon, Vancouver*

There being no further testimony, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle closed Community Communication.

Consent Agenda

The following items will be passed by a single motion to approve all listed actions and resolutions. There will be no discussion on these items unless requested by Council. If discussion is requested, the item will be moved from the Consent Agenda and considered separately – after the motion has been made and passed to approve the remaining items.

Council pulled items 4, 8, 10 for discussion.

Motion by Councilmember Paulsen, seconded by Councilmember Fox, and Yes: 7, No: 0, Abstaining: 0, to approve Items 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11, and 12 on the Consent Agenda.

Motion by Councilmember Fox, seconded by Councilmember Paulsen, and Yes: 7, No: 0, Abstaining: 0, to approve Item 4 on the Consent Agenda.

Motion by Councilmember Fox, seconded by Councilmember Stober, and Yes: 7, No: 0, Abstaining: 0, to approve Item 8 on the Consent Agenda.

Motion by Councilmember Perez, seconded by Councilmember Fox, and Yes: 7, No: 0, Abstaining: 0, to approve Item 10 on the Consent Agenda.

1. Bid Award - Luepke Center HVAC Replacement - ITB 25-28

Staff Report: 145-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, award a contract and authorize the City Manager, or designee, to execute a construction contract and any required amendments with JRT Mechanical of Battle Ground, WA for the HVAC Replacement at the Luepke Center at their bid price of \$375,360, which includes Washington State sales tax.

Wyatt Jones, Capital Projects Project Manager, Julia Denton, Senior Procurement Specialist, Wyatt.Jones@cityofvancouver.us, julie.denton@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

2. Partial Easement Release - 4000 Columbia House Boulevard

Staff Report: 146-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, authorize the City Manager, or designee, to sign the attached easement release.

Kris Olinger, Utility Engineering Program Manager, kris.olinger@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

3. Easement Release - Parcels 986069268, 986069269, and 49442000

Staff Report: 147-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, authorize the City Manager, or designee, to sign the attached easement releases.

Kris Olinger, Utility Engineering Program Manager, kris.olinger@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

4. Purchase and Sale Agreement - Vancouver Innovation Center Park Parcel

Staff Report: 148-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, approve the City's acquisition of the Park Property within the Vancouver Innovation Center pursuant to the Purchase and Sale Agreement ("PSA"), and authorize the City Manager, or designee, to finalize, issue, and execute the PSA in substantially the form attached and execute all other documents and related agreements necessary to implement the Purchase and Sale Agreement.

Chim Chune Ko, Principal Project Manager, David Perlick, Director of Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services,
ChimChune.Ko@cityofvancouver.us, david.perlick@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

5. Professional Service Agreement - Infor Public Sector, Inc. for Cloud Hosting Services

Staff Report: 149-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, authorize the City Manager, or designee, to enter into professional services agreements with Infor Public Sector, Inc. for cloud hosting services in the amount of \$3,591,012.35, plus tax, and implementation services in the amount of \$1,591,620, plus tax.

Steve Salgado, IT Applications Manager, Patrick Gilbride, IT Director,
Steve.Salgado@cityofvancouver.us, patrick.gilbride@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

6. Approve New Classifications and Grades/Correction of Existing Grades

Staff Report: 150-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, authorize the City Manager, or designee, to approve new OPEIU job classifications, correct non-union position pay grades, and make salary changes for OPEIU and non-union employees as necessary, effective July 1, 2025.

Antoinette Gasbarre, Human Resources Director,
Antoinette.Gasbarre@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

7. Approval of Global Settlement of Workers' Compensation Claims of Gregory Straub

Staff Report: 151-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, authorize the City Manager, or City Manager's designee, to execute all agreements necessary to complete a global resolution of workers' compensation claims filed by retired Fire Captain Gregory Straub for a total amount of \$335,000.

Dan Lloyd, Assistant City Attorney, dan.lloyd@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

8. Flag Design Review Committee Appointments

Staff Report: 152-25

Request: On Monday, appoint Naomi Axelrod, Hector Alejandro Varela-Betancourt, and Zatera Griffin to youth positions on the Flag Design Committee.

Laura Shepard, Communications Director,
laura.shepard@cityofvancouver.us

Motion approved the request.

9. Resolution Delegating Authority to City Manager - Accept Certain Grants and Loans

A RESOLUTION delegating authority to the vancouver city manager relating to certain grants and loans.

Staff Report: 153-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, adopt the resolution delegating authority to the City Manager, or designee, to accept certain grants and loans.

Aaron Lande, Assistant City Manager, Cary Driskell, Assistant City Attorney, aaron.lande@cityofvancouver.us,
cary.driskell@cityofvancouver.us

Motion adopted Resolution M-4344 to approve the request.

10. Resolution to Adopt Funding Awards for Fourth Plain Affordable Homeownership Programs

A RESOLUTION advancing affordable housing; providing for approval of 2025 funding awards for Fourth Plain Affordable Homeownership Programs; and authorizing the City Manager to finalize and execute implementing agreements and other related documents on behalf of the City of Vancouver consistent with the Council-approved Fourth Plain Affordable Homeownership funding awards identified in this Resolution.

Staff Report: 154-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, adopt a resolution for the proposed 2025 Fourth Plain Affordable Homeownership awards and authorize the City Manager, or designee, to finalize and execute implementing agreements.

Ian Alger, Housing Project Planner, Ian.Alger@cityofvancouver.us

Motion adopted Resolution M-4345 to approve the request.

11. Right-of-way Telecommunications Franchise Agreement for Metro Fibernet LLC (Metronet)

AN ORDINANCE relating to management of the public rights-of-way, granting to Metro Fibernet, LLC and its affiliates (“Grantee”), a Nevada limited liability company (collectively “Parties”), a non-exclusive and revocable Franchise to install, operate and maintain a telecommunication system in, on, over, upon, along, and across Public Ways of the City of Vancouver, Washington (“City”), establishing certain rights, duties, terms, and conditions with respect to the Franchise; and setting an effective date and conditions.

Staff Report: 155-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, advance ordinance on first reading, setting a date for second reading and public hearing on August 18, 2025.

Aaron Lande, Assistant City Manager, Cary Driskell, Assistant City Attorney, aaron.lande@cityofvancouver.us,
cary.driskell@cityofvancouver.us

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle read the title of the ordinance into the record.

Motion approved the request.

12. Approval of Claim Vouchers

Request: Approve claim vouchers for July 14, 2025.

Motion approved claim vouchers in the amount of \$8,394,945.81.

Public Hearings

The following item(s) are scheduled for public hearing. Members of the public addressing Council are requested to give their name and city of residence for the audio record. Unless otherwise announced by the Presiding Officer, speakers are to limit their testimony to three minutes for each public hearing.

13. Right-of-way Telecommunications Franchise Agreement for Flying Bull Internet LLC, d/b/a Novos Fiber and Prime Fiber

AN ORDINANCE relating to management of the public rights-of-way, granting to Flying Bull Internet, LLC d/b/a Novos Fiber, Prime Fiber, LLC, and their affiliates (“Grantee”), a limited liability company (collectively “Parties”), a non-exclusive and revocable Franchise to install, operate and maintain a telecommunication system in, on, over, upon, along, and across Public Ways of the City of Vancouver, Washington (“City”), establishing certain rights, duties, terms, and conditions with respect to the Franchise; and setting an effective date and conditions.

Staff Report: 110-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, upon second reading and a public hearing, approve the ordinance.

Aaron Lande, Assistant City Manager, Cary Driskell, Assistant City Attorney, aaron.lande@cityofvancouver.us, cary.driskell@cityofvancouver.us

Aaron Lande, Program and Policy Development Manager, Cary Driskell, Assistant City Attorney, provided an overview of the Right-of-way Telecommunications Franchise Agreement for Flying Bull Internet LLC, d/b/a Novos Fiber and Prime Fiber.

Council discussed the item briefly with staff.

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle opened the public hearing and received testimony from the following community members:

- Wynn Grcich, Vancouver
- Carmen DeLeon, Vancouver

There being no further testimony, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle closed the public hearing.

Motion by Councilmember Hansen, seconded by Councilmember Perez, and Yes: 7, No: 0, Abstaining: 0, to approve Ordinance M-4507.

14. Adoption of Rental Registration Program Code

AN ORDINANCE of the City of Vancouver relating to the creation of a rental registration program; adopting a new Chapter 5.08 to the Vancouver Municipal Code; enacting new sections VMC 5.08.010, 5.08.020, 5.08.030, 5.08.040, 5.08.050, 5.08.060, 5.08.070, 5.08.080, 5.08.090, 5.08.100, 5.08.110, and 5.08.120; amending VMC 22.01.030; providing for the implementation of the rental registration program; providing for severability; and setting an effective date.

Staff Report: 111-25

Request: On Monday, July 14, 2025, upon second reading and a public hearing, approve the ordinance.

Patrick Quinton, Economic Development Director, Samantha Whitley, Housing Programs Manager, patrick.quinton@cityofvancouver.us, samantha.whitley@cityofvancouver.us

Patrick Quinton, Economic Development Director, Samantha Whitley, Housing Programs Manager, provided an overview of the Adoption of Rental Registration Program Code.

Council discussed the item briefly with staff.

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle opened the public hearing and received testimony from the following community members:

- *Carmen DeLeon, Vancouver*
- *Benjamin Moody, Vancouver*
- *William Schneider, Renton, WA*
- *Constance Grecco, Vancouver*
- *Albert Schlottfeldt, Vancouver*
- *Duana Johnson, Vancouver*
- *Alondra Abrego-Viveros*
- *Monica Zazueta Tabor, Vancouver*

There being no further testimony, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle closed the public hearing.

Motion by Councilmember Stober, seconded by Councilmember Perez, and Yes: 4, No: 3, Abstaining: 0, to approve Ordinance M-4508. Councilmembers Hansen, Fox and Harlelss voted No.

15. Multi-Family Tax Exemption Program Affordable Housing Fee In Lieu Payment Deferral

AN ORDINANCE of the City of Vancouver relating to changes to the City's Multi-Family Housing Tax Exemption (MFTE) Program; adopting an amendment to

section 3.22.040, of the Vancouver Municipal Code (VMC); allowing for a deferral of the market rate option affordable housing fee payment, providing for severability, and setting an immediate effective date.

Staff Report: 156-25

Request: On Monday, Monday, July 14, 2025, upon second reading and a public hearing, approve the ordinance.

Bryan Monroe, Associate Housing Project Coordinator,
bryan.monroe@cityofvancouver.us

Samantha Whitley, Housing Programs Manager, provided an overview of the Multi-Family Tax Exemption Program Affordable Housing Fee In Lieu Payment Deferral.

Council discussed the item briefly with staff.

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle opened the public hearing and received testimony from the following community members:

- *Carmen DeLeon, Vancouver*

There being no further testimony, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle closed the public hearing.

Motion by Councilmember Fox, seconded by Councilmember Hansen, and Yes: 6, No: 1, Abstaining: 0, to approve Ordinance M-4509. Councilmember Stober voted No.

Communications

A. From the Council

B. From the Mayor

C. From the City Manager

Adjournment

8:25 p.m.

DocuSigned by:

Anne McEnerny-Ogle

6C89D9089EC5424...

Anne McEnerny-Ogle, Mayor

Attest:

DocuSigned by:

Natasha Ramras

493E970414AEABD...
Natasha Ramras, City Clerk

The written comments below are those of the submitter alone and are not representative of the views of CVTV or the City of Vancouver, its elected or appointed officials, or its employees.

From: [Dollar, Sarah](#)
To: [Whitley, Samantha](#); [Dollar, Sarah](#)
Subject: FW: WMFHA Letter - Agenda Item #14
Date: Friday, July 11, 2025 8:33:38 AM
Attachments: [Outlook-dhkmzf10.png](#)
[WMFHA Letter Rental Registration Program.pdf](#)

7-14 testimony

Sarah Dollar | Executive Assistant to the City Council
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers
CITY OF VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON
City Manager's Office (CMO)
Primary (Cell): 360-624-2949 | **Desk:** 360-487-8641
www.cityofvancouver.us

From: William Schneider [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 9, 2025 3:23 PM
To: City Council <council@cityofvancouver.us>
Cc: Carter Nelson <[REDACTED]>; Nick Marin <[REDACTED]>; Whitley, Samantha <samantha.whitley@cityofvancouver.us>
Subject: WMFHA Letter - Agenda Item #14

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Afternoon Mayor and Councilmembers,

Please find the attached letter on behalf of the Washington Multi-Family Housing Association regarding agenda item #14 for the upcoming July 14th meeting.

Thank you for your consideration,
William





Honorable Anne McEnerny-Ogle

City of Vancouver

July 9, 2025

Agenda Item #14 Rental Registration Program Code

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Vancouver City Council,

I am writing to you on behalf of the thousands of members represented by the Washington Multifamily Housing Association—property owners, managers, and suppliers who manage and maintain more than 350,000 rental homes across our state, including 16,000 units in Vancouver alone. WMFHA was glad to be involved in the workgroup as this proposal was discussed and developed, but we still have significant concerns about agenda Item #14: Rental Registration Code.

We greatly appreciate the commitment of this council to address the actions of bad actors whose conduct undermines the credibility of the multi-family housing industry. However, this legislation as written will have significant unintended consequences for our members. This program, when combined with the new 2025 state legislative changes and the existing regulatory environment, will create a burdensome and confusing operational environment that will be both costly and redundant. As our members work to absorb the associated costs from these new legislative changes, additional local fees will discourage new development and force providers to pass on increased costs onto renters.

The most prohibitive aspect of this bill is the \$30 per door yearly fee. This rate punishes developers for building the larger communities that our state so desperately needs to meet the growing housing needs of our state. Vancouver's comprehensive plan calls for an additional 38,000 housing units by 2045 to absorb its expected growth. Now is the time to streamline the permitting and licensing around multi-family housing, not introduce new barriers and delays. We urge the council to reevaluate this fee and consider the following amendments:

- Changing its frequency from yearly to biennially.
- Implementing a property-based fee that more equitably spreads the cost of the program among owners.
- Creating a tiered cost that tapers off the cost per door to prevent larger developments from accruing exorbitant licensing fees.



Despite the relief these changes would provide, we strongly recommend delaying implementation until the full impact of recent state legislation is better understood. We fully acknowledge the formidable challenges in reaching consensus on this issue, but it is imperative to recognize the thousands of residents in Vancouver who rely on apartment communities that will become more expensive if this ordinance passes as written.

Sincerely,

William Schneider
Public Affairs Manager
Washington Multi-Family Housing Association

From: [Dollar, Sarah](#)
To: [Dollar, Sarah](#)
Cc: [Whitley, Samantha](#)
Subject: FW: Submission: Contact City Council
Date: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 12:56:14 PM

7/14 testimony

Sarah Dollar | Executive Assistant to the City Council
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers
CITY OF VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON
City Manager’s Office (CMO)
Primary (Cell): 360-624-2949 | **Desk:** 360-487-8641
www.cityofvancouver.us

From: City of Vancouver, WA <noreply@cityofvancouver.us>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2025 4:24 PM
To: City Council <council@cityofvancouver.us>
Subject: Submission: Contact City Council

Contact the City Council

Name
Kassandra Taggart
Email
Phone
Address
Vancouver 98662 United States Map It
Subject
Share an Opinion of a City project or Initiative
Choose Recipient
All the Council
Message

City's proposed Rental Registration Program - Rental properties are typically managed by employees, property managers, or DIY landlords, who may own or oversee multiple properties, either for themselves or on behalf of clients. To streamline the process for all parties and enhance user experience, it is essential to create a unified portal where the user can access and oversee all their properties owned or managed w/ one login, rather than requiring separate logins for each individual property. This approach not only simplifies the process but also encourages faster and more accurate info.

From: [City Council](#)
To: [Dollar, Sarah](#)
Subject: FW: Submission: Contact City Council
Date: Monday, June 30, 2025 5:29:47 PM

7-14 testimony

Sarah Dollar | Executive Assistant to the City Council
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers
CITY OF VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON
City Manager’s Office (CMO)
Primary (Cell): 360-624-2949 | **Desk:** 360-487-8641
www.cityofvancouver.us

From: City of Vancouver, WA <noreply@cityofvancouver.us>
Sent: Sunday, June 29, 2025 1:54 PM
To: City Council <council@cityofvancouver.us>
Subject: Submission: Contact City Council

Contact the City Council

Name
Rosalie Oekerman
Email
[REDACTED]
Phone
[REDACTED]
Address
[REDACTED] VANCOUVER, WA 98685 United States Map It
Subject
Share an Opinion of a City project or Initiative
Choose Recipient
All the Council
Message

The city's proposed rental registration program is just one more way that Washington state has not supported the small mom & pop landlords in our city. Being a landlord in Washington state is difficult enough with the ever-changing laws, policies and mandates. Now with this new proposal, it is just one more hoop we will need to jump through. One more fee we must pay. Most small landlords haven't fully recovered from all the bans and mandates from the covid restriction period and now there will be more? You are going to lose more and more rental units as landlord are forced to sell.

From: [Annette Jackson](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Rental Registration Program
Date: Friday, July 11, 2025 8:33:03 PM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

This plan does nothing to increase transparency and EVERYTHING to make apartment living for families and single people demeaning and harassing. Landlords will make costly applications for apartments to cover the \$30 cost - the tenants will be the one who pay it.

As for mandatory inspections - ask anyone living in HUD housing how they feel about someone inspecting their home - whether landlord or city personnel or independent contractor. If you want to inspect a unit - inspect it between tenants when the place is empty. Repairs can be made and the tenant starts with a fresh apartment. The tenant then has the responsibility to inform the landlord of repairs and damage. you can make a flyer, questionnaire or department to deal with landlords who are slumlords. Most landlords want to keep their property rent-able. **There is NO reason for anyone - not invited - to go into someones home.**

Just think if your bank inspected your home because you still pay a mortgage and the place really belongs to the them. I don't think you would appreciate it.

You have NOT thought this through and definitely not on a human level. You need to rethink the goals you are trying to achieve.

Annette Jackson

From: [Atul Mathur](#)
To: [City Council](#)
Subject: Public Comment: Rezoning Survey from Village at Fisher's Landing – July 14 City Council Meeting
Date: Monday, July 14, 2025 9:06:07 AM
Attachments: [VFLNA Public Testimony Survey Summary May27.pdf](#)

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Councilmembers of the Vancouver City Council,

On behalf of the Village at Fisher's Landing Neighborhood Association, I am submitting this summary of resident survey responses as public comment for today's meeting specifically for workshop on comprehensive planning and rezoning.

Using offline methods such as street signs, word of mouth, and one community-wide email, we received over 80 verified responses from residents between April 26 and May 26, 2025. This high response rate reflects strong local engagement and concern. Since then, more than 20 additional responses have echoed the same message: residents overwhelmingly wish to preserve the Village's character, walkability, and quiet residential feel.

We respectfully ask that this summary be included in today's public record. We would be glad to provide additional context or answer any questions.

Sincerely,

Atul Mathur
President
Village at Fisher's Landing Neighborhood Association

vflna.com

Address:

[REDACTED], Vancouver, WA 98683

Phone: [REDACTED]




The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

VFLNA Community Survey results

Rezoning under Vancouver 2045 plan?




80
responses

Each green icon indicates a verified survey submission from a neighborhood resident.

“Keep our neighborhood as it is now”

90% of respondents agree



Resident's Concerns

- Reduced privacy and property value
- Increased traffic or congestion
- Loss of neighborhood character
- Displacement of long-term residents
- Environmental impact

Top Concern:

“Loss of neighborhood character”



The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

VFLNA Community Survey results

Summary

The above is the results of resident survey conducted by the Village at Fisher's Landing Neighborhood Association (VFLNA) in April–May 2025. The purpose of this survey was to understand community awareness, preferences, and concerns related to the City of Vancouver's proposed rezoning plans under the Vancouver 2045 Comprehensive Plan.

These findings are submitted in light of the proposed zoning changes under the plan — particularly options that would upzone perimeter or all lots in The Village.

The Village at Fisher's Landing Neighborhood Association urges the City to consider this survey evidence as part of the broader community testimony. There is clear resident alignment around **preserving the current zoning**, addressing infrastructure and livability impacts, and ensuring that any growth plans reflect the lived reality of the people who call this neighborhood home.

The Village at Fisher's Landing Neighborhood Association

As one of the oldest neighborhood associations in Vancouver, The Village at Fishers Landing Neighborhood Association (VFLNA), is a non-profit IRS 501(c)(4) organization. Established in 1993 as a voluntary organization to promote the welfare of our neighborhood, our goal remains the same: to help the neighborhood by representing our interests at the city and state levels with volunteers on matters that impact our residents.

Our neighborhood has six phases bordering SE 164th Ave and SE 34th street, and consisting of 242 single family homes/households. It was the original single family housing development built to support the growing housing needs of the Columbia Tech. Center and Hewlett-Packard Campus. The VFLNA has a long history of supporting quality of life, neighborhood safety and community development initiatives in East Vancouver.

For more information, please see vflna.com

Enclosed:

- Survey questions
- Unedited answers to: "What should VFLNA advocate for?"

*Names and identifiable information have been removed to protect privacy of residents.
Available upon request (after permission from individuals)*



The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

Village at Fishers Landing Rezoning Feedback Survey

* Indicates required field

1. How familiar are you with the city's proposed rezoning plans? *

- ☐ Very familiar
- ☐ Somewhat familiar
- ☐ Just learning about it
- ☐ Not familiar at all

2. Which scenario do you support for the Village and Hamlet neighborhoods? *

Possibility A - Rezoning perimeter corridors only (164th / 165th)

Possibility B - Rezoning the entire neighborhood to medium-density for the Village & Hamlet

- ☐ Keep our neighborhood as it is now
- ☐ Neither
- ☐ Not sure yet

3. What are your biggest concerns within the Village? Select top 3 *

- ☐ Increased traffic or congestion
- ☐ Loss of neighborhood character
- ☐ Infrastructure capacity (sewer, water, etc.)
- ☐ Reduced privacy and property value
- ☐ Displacement of long-term residents
- ☐ Environmental impact (trees, green space)

4. What opportunities do you see within the Village, if any? Choose which apply. *

- ☐ More housing for seniors and young families
- ☐ Potential for local shops and services
- ☐ Improved infrastructure investments
- ☐ Diversity in housing and community
- ☐ Keep our neighborhood single family housing

5. What types of housing would you support within some parts of the Village? Choose which apply. *

- ☐ Accessory Dwellings (ADUs)
- ☐ Duplexes or triplexes
- ☐ Small-scale assisted living
- ☐ 3-story apartments or townhouses
- ☐ None of the above

6. Would you like to be involved? *

- ☐ Yes - add me to your email list
- ☐ Maybe - send me info about upcoming meetings
- ☐ Join VFLNA rezoning response team
- ☐ No thanks

7. What should VFLNA advocate for?



The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

Unedited answers to: "What should VFLNA advocate for?"

Keep neighborhood as single family dwellings. There are fewer and fewer neighborhoods like ours, already they will not allow lots as large as ours in new developments. We need homes like ours close in to the highway.

No changes. Keep our neighborhood single family housing.

Keeping a neighborhood and community as is should be respected. Decades of dedicated homeowners and HMOs have created and worked hard on these homes to build a wonderful, beautiful neighborhood. Why should a desirable thing be destroyed? Disrupting this will cause distress and negatively impact the mental health to those who live here and have supported the community. More of this type of neighborhoods should be encouraged. This area was never promoted as higher density. Unoccupied land should be sought for the state's purposes of meeting a quota.

Thank you for your time & effort on this important issue.

VFLNA should advocate for minimal disruption for existing land owners. Allow creative flexibility in new development without infringing on established neighborhoods. VFLN larger lots could offer homeowners the option for adu's on their property, providing housing with minimal impact on neighbors or property values in the vicinity. It would be inappropriate for the City to change anything within our long-established neighborhood to medium-density zoning, after our home purchases were made in good-faith, in a clearly defined family neighborhood.

Protection of all our hard work that allows us to enjoy our beautiful neighborhood. We pay a reasonably high property tax and that should not open our neighborhood to a loss of quality of life. It's time that people who have played by the rules stop being treated like our rights take second place, so that others can be catered to.

What is wrong with the status quo? There are open areas in the city that could be available for rezoning. Discretion prevents me from venting on this boneheaded plan.

I like knowing my neighbors. High-density housing has increased turnover. I would like my children to be able to play outside with less fear of strangers and a stable friend group.

I don't want the look and feel of the neighborhood to change. I don't want it to be too busy or loud. I do not want the zoning to change.

The area is already established as single-family dwellings. Inserting high-density dwellings into the area will decrease privacy. I am also concerned that crime will increase because of a more transient population. I do not think the zoning should be changed



The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

I purchased a home in this area because I like the neighborhood created by single-family housing. I do not want the zoning to change. If I wanted to live near shops or high-density housing, I would have purchased property in a different area.

no rezoning. keep it as this.

No changes to current zoning.

Keep the neighborhood single family housing

Keeping our neighborhood as it is now. Especially areas that are already built out to single family homes

Keep the neighborhood as is

I'd like to see our immediate neighborhood staying the same - single family homes. I don't mind the idea of ADU options for a mother-in-law set up, but within reasonable limits. From first glance it seems they'd just be expanding to what we're already seeing around the area surrounding us? We already have apartments, townhomes and condos just outside of our parameter. As for the space West of 164th, if development is inevitable it would be nice to have some local shops/restaurants that we could easily walk to. I would not like to see option B where it looks like city high-rises. I'm also unclear about some of the questions regarding changes to our already established neighborhood in the survey. Such as: What types of housing would you support within some parts of the Village? Choose which apply. * I don't mind the local shop option if it's outside of what is already built, we wouldn't be replacing homes with shops, correct? I don't consider West of 164th to be our neighborhood, so my answers would change based on that. How would they make changes to the houses that back up to 164th? That is what is unclear to me.

No rezoning or option A.

Minimal change to current neighborhoods east of I-205 and South of Mill Plain

No rezoning of our neighborhood. We purchased our house for the neighborhood. If it is rezoned, we will probably move.

I would be most concerned with property values and an ok with increasing density (modestly), if it improves the quality of life for residents (i.e. walkable shops and more of a destination on 164th could be a positive). Also making East Vancouver more of a destination for shopping and social gatherings could be a positive.

Keeping the neighborhood just as it is



The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

The Village is one of the area's most desirable neighborhoods. We purchased here for the look & feel of the neighborhood and schools, as well as access to Hwy 14. There are plenty of other areas within the city that could possibly be rezoned to meet the city's goals. They should not be allowed to bulldoze such an established neighborhood to create high density housing. Find another location City leaders!

This is a tremendous change for those of us who have lived in this neighborhood for a very long time, and bought into this neighborhood because of its historical character. This proposal represents a monumental change to that character. This represents an opportunity to drive the population density through the roof, along with additional traffic congestion and the inevitable crime that comes along with that. I think VFLNA needs to really be careful regarding rezoning proposals. Particularly those that are large scale proposals. It would make much better sense if there are to be changes that they be made small and piece meal so that we can assess the impact of these changes.

Maintaining the Village as it currently is

Maintain single-family homes. Possibility of small-scale assisted living -- however, as is currently stated in the City of Vancouver zoning laws, "small scale assisted living" would need zoning regulations that would also allow other "big building" living.

Keeping the village the way it is, that's why we moved here

The Village is a safe neighborhood to walk, run, bike and dog walk. Kids can walk to Fisher's Landing Elementary if they want to. We have moderate traffic and plenty of parking, with great access to public transportation if you need it. That's why we chose to live here 25 years ago. There are plenty of commercial properties (with a lot of vacancies) available all around us. I don't understand why anyone would make a huge infrastructure investment, just to replace a few homes on 165th with mixed use properties. I suspect there is a bigger commercial plan and the Village is in the way. I would oppose commercial use and high rise development.

Keep the neighborhood the same!

The neighborhood is beautiful as it is and changing to medium / high density housing will not provide a benefit. Both in character and in long term growth. There is open land nearby that can be used for development such as the VIC. As sad is it would be to see that green space removed, exhausting available land prior to strip mining an established thriving neighborhood is the only acceptable solution. Do not rezone Village at Fishers Landing.

We moved here because our older neighborhood in Portland was being over run by duplexes and townhouses on what were single family lots. The increased traffic and congestion was unbearable. Property values sky-rocketed and seniors could not afford the property taxes.

Keeping the Village as it is



The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

open to other possibility but without compromising current neighborhood characteristics, safety and privacy

Preserve: 1. Neighborhood is very walkable with several options for visiting parks. Sidewalks are nicely maintained and ADA accessible. 2. Lovely flowering trees and beautiful area with rabbits, squirrels, hawks, birds, owls, herons. 3. Beautiful spot amongst very busy roads surrounding perimeter Request: 1. Evaluate traffic volume on SE 172nd Ave to determine how best to handle near constant traffic during day. 2. Determine if speed bumps are adequate to slow traffic.

Leave us alone. What's "needed " here anyway. How will it make things better for anybody?

Keeping the neighborhood the way it is now.

LOCATION LOCATION LOCATION! The most valued benefit of living in a single family home community (why I chose this location almost 30 years ago to make my home) was to secure a sense of peace and safety. Location, location, location, right? Now...That all goes away when the city is deciding to invade my neighborhood and make it a mixed commercial enterprise. This is the most inconsiderate act any city administrator can do. Shame! Why take a desirable and well kept home community like VFLNA (my home, my peace) and destroy it! Why? There will be no where to escape the endless line of noise, cars and strangers circling my home. My property value, and the value of every home on my block, will plummet! If I wanted to live in a mixed commercial zone I would have done so a long time ago. Needless to say, I highly disapprove of the city's plans to invade/destroy my neighborhood, and I recommend those city planners who came up with this idea have their heads (and conscience) examined. Thank You!

Keeping the integrity of our neighborhood as is. We specifically purchased in an established neighborhood to not only get a larger lot, but the feel of community and privacy in our own space. We currently pay a premium to live where we do in the form of property tax. We don't need higher density in THIS neighborhood because it's happening all around us. This is NOT going to make homes more affordable it's only going to create money making opportunities for those that want to grab land. 34th avenue should also be put back to 2 lanes in each direction. This was a horrible experiment that has created congestion and frustration amongst the citizens. Individuals who ride the bus will still have the opportunity to do so while allowing the masses to move freely to/from their jobs and homes. If higher density is permitted, this situation will only worsen and create an even bigger mess. No to higher density in THIS neighborhood across the board. If higher density is needed/wanted do it in undeveloped land, not existing neighborhoods where people have purposely bought. I used to live in a neighborhood in Seattle (Ballard) where they did this and not only did it DESTROY the neighborhood, it created chaos. Parking was non-existent, the neighbors were no longer neighborly and the prices sky rocketed. If higher density advocates think this will bring prices into affordable ranges for more people, they are wrong on many different aspects. The other thing that it brought was more crime, vagrancy and a disconnect of the entire neighborhood. If you want to destroy Clark County and become another Seattle, this is the best way to do it.



The Village at Fisher's Landing

Neighborhood Association

1. Maintaining single family residences without adding more structures or homes or ADU's. We pay a premium price and property tax for the homes in this neighborhood because we want the space and the privacy and the convenience of the location. We do not want the density of a big city. In the city we see single family homes being torn down and four townhomes being put in their place. There are no parking accommodations for these types of units Traffic congestion only gets worse in that scenario. In some instances crime does become a problem. We enjoy the quiet streets and the ability to walk on them, let the kids play safely on them and ride bikes on them, etc.

2. Return SE 34th Street to two vehicle lanes eastbound and two lanes westbound between SE 164th and SE 192nd. People do not want to have to rely on a bus system. Whether it's for running errands or commuting to and from work, the bus is not a viable or practical option.

Keep the 'quiet enjoyment' afforded Vancouver citizens under state private property laws. There is no case to turn my street into commercial development under eminent domain. I would be unfairly injured by the city financially by mixing residential and commercial uses in the short and long terms, taking away what I built for retirement. How about we turn the Mayor's and council members streets into a commercial development. If they go first, we will agree.

No changes

Keeping the neighborhood single family housing

Note: VFLNA submitted preliminary results of this survey during the planning commission meeting on May 13th, 2025.

Maruhn, Tawny

From: PETER BRACCHI [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, July 13, 2025 12:59 PM
To: City Council
Subject: Council meeting July 14 - 2017 Plan for 8 years Non ADA compliant Urban Blight for Mens ShareHouse Neighborhood
Attachments: WhySH.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Vancouver City Council meeting July 14 - 2017 Plan for 8 years urban Blight for Mens ShareHouse Neighborhood



<https://photos.app.goo.gl/mTaZHtwTCbzkrm8q7>

The following facts reflect a sustained failure of governance, environmental responsibility, and compliance with federal and local law:

No ADA clearance or sidewalk access has been maintained for years.

The City has not filed a Right of Way Use Permit, Street Use Permit, or Traffic Control Plan, as required by city code.

The City itself has placed two outhouses and a dumpster in the street, without cones, signage, or detour plans.

No sanitation infrastructure or public health protections exist for the encamped or surrounding residents.

In order to understand HOW long this has gone on lets GO BACK to 2017

Share Homeless Encampment: DRAFT

February 7, 2017

DRAFT Tolerance Thresholds for Establishment

Premise: City would allow a limited encampment if the following standards are observed:

- Tents may be placed in public right-of-way, including streets, and along the west side of the city.
- No hardening of campsites (e.g. with concrete or asphalt).
- No tents or possessions shall be placed in the street.
- No disposal of human waste/needles.
- No open fires.
- No collection of materials (e.g. bike parts, tires, etc.).
- No obstruction of sidewalks during the day.
- No camping in vehicles, campers, etc.

[Men's Sharehouse Neighborhood - 8 years of Mayor Anne's No Barrier Street Housing · Jun 10, 2017 – Jul 11, 2025](#)  [app.goo.gl](#)

This is the 2017 Plan responsible for 8 years urban Blight for Mens ShareHouse Neighborhood that has contributed to the following.

Living on the streets in urban environments, especially in areas with high foot traffic, commercial activity, and infrastructure like buses, police, and fire services, can create a number of serious dangers and environmental pollution problems. These issues arise from both the lack of basic services (such as plumbing and sanitation) and the challenges posed by living in close proximity to busy urban systems. Here are some key dangers and pollution concerns:

1. Sanitation and Waste Management Problems

- * **Health Risks from Lack of Toilets:** Without access to proper sanitation facilities, individuals living on the street may resort to public spaces, such as sidewalks or alleys, for urination and defecation. This creates serious health risks, including the spread of diseases such as hepatitis, cholera, and gastrointestinal infections. Fecal contamination of public spaces can lead to outbreaks of infectious diseases.
- * **Waste Accumulation:** People living on the streets often lack the means to dispose of trash and personal waste, leading to litter and garbage accumulating in public spaces. This not only creates an unsightly environment but also attracts pests, including rodents and insects, which further contribute to the spread of disease.

2. Water Pollution and Stormwater Issues

- * **Contaminated Runoff:** In urban areas, stormwater runoff often collects pollutants from various sources, including litter, oil, chemicals, and human waste. When people are living on the streets, their discarded waste (such as plastic, trash, and waste from makeshift shelters) can block stormwater drains, exacerbating flooding and causing contamination of nearby water bodies, streams, or rivers.
- * **Water Quality Concerns:** Improper disposal of human waste can contribute to the contamination of both surface water and groundwater sources. This can affect not only the urban environment but also the broader ecosystem and public water supplies, potentially harming aquatic life and posing a risk to public health.

3. Public Health and Safety Concerns

- * **Spread of Disease:** In addition to gastrointestinal diseases, the crowded, unsanitary conditions in which people live can foster the spread of other diseases like tuberculosis, respiratory infections, and even more severe conditions like scabies and lice. The lack of regular access to healthcare exacerbates these risks.
- * **Air Quality and Respiratory Issues:** Living near busy streets with high levels of commercial, residential, and transit traffic creates air quality problems. Exhaust from buses, cars, trucks, and other vehicles releases particulate matter and pollutants such as carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides, which can lead to respiratory problems, particularly for people with compromised immune systems.

4. Safety and Crime Risks

- * **Exposure to Violence:** People living on the streets are highly vulnerable to violence and exploitation, including assaults, thefts, and other forms of crime. The urban environment, especially in commercial areas, may not be designed to ensure the safety of people living on the streets.
- * **Accidents and Injury:** The presence of busy traffic, especially without proper pedestrian infrastructure, increases the risk of accidents and injuries, whether from cars, buses, or tripping hazards on sidewalks. Lack of proper shelter also exposes people to the elements, leading to injuries from extreme weather.

5. Environmental Degradation and Aesthetic Issues

- * **Urban Blight:** The presence of people living on the streets and the associated litter, waste, and makeshift structures can contribute to the degradation of urban aesthetics. Over time, this can lead to a perception of blight and negatively impact local businesses and property values.
- * **Land Degradation:** Improper use of public spaces (such as parks, sidewalks, and vacant lots) can lead to physical damage, such as soil erosion or degradation of green spaces, as people may set up temporary shelters or campfires.

6. Psychosocial and Mental Health Issues

- * **Mental Health Deterioration:** Living on the streets often involves trauma, isolation, and exposure to violence, which can worsen mental health conditions like depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). The lack of access to services or support systems further exacerbates this problem, creating a vicious cycle.
- * **Substance Abuse:** People living on the streets may also struggle with substance abuse, which compounds the social and environmental challenges of homelessness. The presence of drug paraphernalia or alcohol consumption in public spaces creates additional hazards for both individuals living on the streets and the broader community.

7. Traffic and Pedestrian Conflicts

- * **Pedestrian and Vehicle Conflicts:** Homeless individuals living on sidewalks or near busy roads create conflicts between pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles. This can lead to accidents or delays in traffic, and increases the danger to people who are already vulnerable.
- * **Impediments to Emergency Services:** Makeshift shelters and street encampments can obstruct access to emergency vehicles, police, or fire services. In situations of emergency, this can delay response times or hinder the ability to provide help, further exacerbating the danger.

8. Increased Burden on Municipal Services

- * **Emergency Services Strain:** The presence of people living on the streets increases the demand for emergency services, such as police, fire, and healthcare. Local governments may be overwhelmed with managing the additional strain on public services, resources, and shelters, which could reduce overall service quality for all residents.

9. Impacts on Local Businesses and Economy

- * **Economic Strain:** Businesses located near areas where people are living on the streets may experience financial strain due to reduced customer traffic, vandalism, theft, and overall community perception. This can negatively impact the local economy and further contribute to urban decay.
- * **Loss of Productivity:** If people do not have access to proper sanitation or shelter, they may struggle to participate in the formal workforce, leading to a cycle of poverty and unemployment that perpetuates the issues on both a personal and societal level.

Peter Bracchi
Vancouver WA

From: [Wynn Grcich](#)
To: [City Council](#); [Reform Clark County - Rob Anderson](#); [Victorialeeferrer@gmail.com](#); [Rebecca Messinger](#); [Justin Forsman](#); [Cemal Richards](#)
Subject: Watch "2025. It's starting, Bill Gates announces the next pandemic date and outbreak location | Redacted" on YouTube
Date: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 10:00:12 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

https://youtu.be/Qk9Nd4uhJQg?si=souGV5Uqi6w_SDCL. PLEASE SEND TO COUNCIL MEMBERS AND MELNICK. PUT ON PUBLIC RECORD AND CONFIRM THAT YOU DID. THANKS FROM WYNN

From: [Wynn Grcich](#)
To: [Rebecca Messinger](#); [City Council](#)
Cc: [Victoraleeferrer@gmail.com](#); [Reform Clark County - Rob Anderson](#); [Calvin CLARK](#); [Cemal Richards](#); [Vern Jeremica](#); [Justin Forsman](#)
Subject: Round up
Date: Monday, July 7, 2025 10:37:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

A New Formula of Roundup has hit the shelves and it's 45x more toxic and made from a chemical BANNED in the EU

“They made it considerably more toxic”

“This is the new formulation of Roundup. It has an active ingredient that is not glyphosate. It is diquat. Diquat is banned in the EU and it is 200 times more chronically toxic than the glyphosate version.

Bayer had the opportunity to make this a whole lot better. They didn't. They made it considerably more toxic, 45x more toxic in overall formulations that have been launched since getting rid of glyphosate.

So when you're in your garden, be sure you're not using things like Roundup and keep yourself safe.”

Subscribe: Edward Snowden Private. Please send to council members and Melnick. Put on public record and confirm that you did. Thanks from Wynn

From: [Wynn Grcich](#)
To: [Reform Clark County - Rob Anderson](#); Victoraleeferrer@gmail.com; [Ken Vance](#); [Cemal Richards](#); [Rebecca Messinger](#); [City Council](#)
Subject: Covid black box lable
Date: Monday, July 7, 2025 7:36:56 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

https://open.substack.com/pub/petermcculloughmd/p/pfizer-and-moderna-mrna-covid-19?utm_source=share&utm_medium=android&r=fhnm3. Please send to council members and Melnick. Put on public record and confirm that you did. Thanks from Wynn

From: [Wynn Grcich](#)
To: [Rebecca Messinger](#); [City Council](#)
Subject: Watch "Is Your Toothpaste on This Contaminated List? | Mercola Cellular Wisdom" on YouTube
Date: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:53:10 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

https://youtu.be/Yb_dDaYrJx4?si=Po99juROAPrkObdn. Please send to council members and Melnick. Put on public record and confirm that you did. Thanks from Wynn

From: [Wynn Grcich](#)
To: [Reform Clark County - Rob Anderson](#); [City Council](#); [Victoraleeferrer@gmail.com](#); [Ken Vance](#); [Justin Forsman](#); [Rebecca Messinger](#)
Subject: Cause of death , vaccines
Date: Monday, July 7, 2025 10:52:58 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

<https://www.instagram.com/reel/DLZ-6-HN1ar/?igsh=cGxvcmpmdzFkNm05>. please send to council members and Melnick. Put on public record and confirm that you did. Thanks from Wynn

From: [Wynn Grich](#)
To: [Rebecca Messinger](#); [City Council](#)
Subject: Fwd: COVID-19 Vaccines and Neuropsychiatric Risks: Study Insights
Date: Monday, July 7, 2025 7:09:48 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please send to council members and Melnick. Put on public record and confirm that you did.
Thanks from Wynn

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Behind the FDA Curtain: War Room/DailyClout Pfizer Reports
<[REDACTED]>
Date: Mon, Jul 7, 2025, 8:38 AM
Subject: COVID-19 Vaccines and Neuropsychiatric Risks: Study Insights
To: <[REDACTED]>

Forwarded this email? [Subscribe here](#) for more



COVID-19 Vaccines and Neuropsychiatric Risks: Study Insights

A new peer-reviewed study shows significant safety signals for neuropsychiatric events post-mRNA COVID vaccination.

NAOMI WOLF AND AMY KELLY
JUL 7



The potential link between COVID-19 vaccination and neuropsychiatric conditions has sparked significant interest in recent medical research. A peer-reviewed study, "[View of Association Between COVID-19 Vaccination and Neuropsychiatric Conditions](#)," published in the *International Journal of Innovative Research in Medical Science* investigates this connection, offering insights into post-mRNA

COVID vaccination adverse effects related to brain function and behavior.

Upgrade to paid

What the Study Examined

The study, conducted by a team of researchers including Thorp, Rogers, Cosgrove, Hatfill, Breggin, Pinsky, and McCullough, aimed to explore whether COVID-19 vaccination and neuropsychiatric conditions are associated. Using data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), as well as the the CDC and FDA's statistical methodology for detecting safety signals, the researchers analyzed reports of adverse events (AEs) related to neuropsychiatric issues following COVID-19 vaccination. The study compared these events to those reported after influenza vaccinations and all other vaccines over a specific timeframe.

The data spanned from January 1, 1990, to December 27, 2024, covering 420 months for all vaccines and 48 months for COVID-19 vaccines, which have only been available since late 2020. The researchers used a statistical measure called the **Proportional Reporting Ratio (PRR)** to identify potential safety concerns. According to CDC/FDA guidelines, a PRR of 2 or higher indicates a possible safety signal, meaning the adverse event occurs at a rate that warrants further investigation.

Share

Key Findings

The study identified 86 serious neuropsychiatric safety signals associated with COVID-19 vaccines when compared to influenza vaccines. These signals suggest a higher likelihood of certain neuropsychiatric conditions following COVID-19 vaccination. Below are the most significant findings:

- **Psychosis:** The study found that psychosis was *440 times more likely to be reported after COVID-19 vaccination* compared to influenza vaccination. This is a striking figure, indicating a significant safety signal.

- **Other Neuropsychiatric Conditions:** The study identified *safety signals for conditions such as anxiety, depression, and cognitive impairment*, though specific PRR values for these conditions were not detailed in the summary.
- **Comparison to Other Vaccines:** When compared to all other vaccines, COVID-19 vaccines also showed elevated PRRs for neuropsychiatric adverse events.
- **Statistical Significance:** The safety signals were calculated with 95% confidence intervals and p-values, ensuring the findings met rigorous statistical standards. A $PRR \geq 2$, as defined by the CDC/FDA, was consistently observed for the identified neuropsychiatric conditions.

These findings suggest that COVID-19 vaccination and neuropsychiatric conditions have a stronger association than previously disclosed.

Support DailyClout

Why This Matters

The study contributes to the ongoing conversation about vaccine safety, particularly for mRNA COVID-19 vaccines, which were **developed and rolled out very rapidly**. Understanding the potential risks is crucial for informed consent. The identification of 86 neuropsychiatric safety signals underscores the importance of actively monitoring vaccine side effects, especially for conditions that can significantly impact quality of life.

For individuals considering vaccination or concerned about side effects, this study suggests discussing known mRNA COVID-19 vaccination risks with healthcare providers. It also highlights the value of systems like VAERS in detecting potential issues early, allowing researchers to investigate further.

Give a gift subscription

Limitations of the Study

The study has some limitations that readers should keep in mind:

- **VAERS Data:** As a passive reporting system, VAERS cannot confirm causation, and reports may include incomplete or inaccurate information.
- **Timeframe Differences:** The 48-month availability of COVID-19 vaccines compared to 420 months for other vaccines may skew comparisons.
- **Complexity of Neuropsychiatric Conditions:** These conditions are influenced by many factors, making it challenging to isolate vaccines as the sole cause.

Even with such limitations, *the study's rigorous methodology and use of CDC/FDA safety thresholds make it a valuable contribution to the field.*

Upgrade to paid

What's Next?

The researchers call for further studies to explore the mechanisms behind these potential associations. For example, future research could investigate whether specific vaccine components or individual health factors contribute to these adverse events. Regulatory agencies like the CDC and FDA may also use these findings to guide vaccine safety monitoring.

For the public, this study is a reminder of the importance of staying informed and engaging in open discussions with healthcare professionals. Knowing and understanding the full range of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine adverse events is essential for making informed healthcare decisions.

Support DailyClout

Closing Thoughts

The study on COVID-19 vaccination and neuropsychiatric conditions provides important insights into potential vaccine-related adverse events. By identifying 86 safety signals, including a significant association with psychosis, it highlights areas for further research and gives patients more information for deciding whether or not

to risk a gene therapy "vaccination." These findings encourage ongoing vigilance and dialogue about vaccine safety.

Thanks for reading Behind the FDA Curtain:
WarRoom/DailyClout Pfizer Reports! This post is public,
so feel free to share it.

Share

Leave a comment

Refer a friend

Behind the FDA Curtain: WarRoom/DailyClout Pfizer
Reports is a reader-supported publication. To receive
new posts and support my work, consider becoming a
free or paid subscriber.

Upgrade to paid

*You're a free subscriber to Behind the FDA Curtain: War Room/DailyClout Pfizer
Reports. For the full experience, become a paying subscriber.*

Upgrade to paid



LIKE



COMMENT



RESTACK

PO Box 24, Millerton, NY 12546

[Unsubscribe](#)



Maruhn, Tawny

From: Wynn Grcich <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, July 13, 2025 10:44 PM
To: Rebecca Messinger; City Council; cityclerkinfo@cityofbg.org
Subject: RFK Jr. Sued & Susan Kressly Silliness | Childrens Health Defense

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

<https://live.childrenshealthdefense.org/chd-tv/shows/good-morning-chd/rfk-jr-sued-and-susan-kressly-silliness/> Please send to council members and Melnick. Put on public record and confirm that you did.

Thanks from Wynn

From: [Wynn Grich](#)
To: [Reform Clark County - Rob Anderson](#); [Rebecca Messinger](#); [Harris, Rep. Paul](#); [Calvin CLARK](#); [City Council](#); [Victorialeeferrer@gmail.com](#); [Cemal Richards](#)
Subject: Fwd: EPA Will Appeal Federal Court's Fluoridation Ruling
Date: Sunday, July 13, 2025 1:56:18 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please send to council members and Melnick. Put on public record and confirm that you did. Thanks from Wynn


----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Wynn Grich** <[REDACTED]>
Date: Sun, Jul 13, 2025, 1:52 PM
Subject: Fwd: EPA Will Appeal Federal Court's Fluoridation Ruling
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Ken Vance <[REDACTED]>, Kimberlee Elbon <[REDACTED]>, Wells F BOSS <[REDACTED]>, Derek Kemppainen <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Keith Smith <[REDACTED]>, Taylor Anderson <[REDACTED]>, Kevin Kaatz <[REDACTED]>, Kathy Zaldivar <[REDACTED]>, Marlene Hurd <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Eva I-hua Chao <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Allen Faber <[REDACTED]>, Marlowe Tyler <[REDACTED]>, Jenifer St.Mars <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, David Moeglein <[REDACTED]>, mary anne crestos <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Maureen OConnor ND <[REDACTED]>, Marnie Pharis <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Vicki Heacock <[REDACTED]>, Vern Jeremica <[REDACTED]>, votebob <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Reform Clark County - Rob Anderson <[REDACTED]>, Bill Coones <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Phil (JBS) (German Last Name) <[REDACTED]>, Bruce Lau <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, estera bondarev <[REDACTED]>, Bill Cox <[REDACTED]>, Charles Beck <[REDACTED]>, Allan Page <[REDACTED]>, Steven Bergman <[REDACTED]>, Penelope Siemssen <[REDACTED]>, Joan Ott-Snowdy <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, SENTIENTKRITTER <[REDACTED]>, Joleen Soma <[REDACTED]>, David Steinberger <[REDACTED]>, Patrick LOCKE <[REDACTED]>, Laurie Pascual <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Donna Llamas <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Justin Forsman <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Luis Ewing <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Laura Kiser <[REDACTED]>, Lawrence Cook <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Daniel A Cox <[REDACTED]>, Donna Llamas <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Ranieu Family Dental <[REDACTED]>, Jordan Garrettson <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, George Hacker <[REDACTED]>, Richard Hoak <[REDACTED]>, David Verdeflor <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, advance two <[REDACTED]>, Cheryl J Allen <[REDACTED]>, Anthony <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Justin Forsman <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Cemal Richards <[REDACTED]>, Calvin CLARK <[REDACTED]>, Joanne Castellino <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Gavin Cox <[REDACTED]>, Jade Tchong <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Thomas G <[REDACTED]>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Fluoride Action Network** <mail@networkforgood.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 13, 2025, 1:15 PM
Subject: EPA Will Appeal Federal Court's Fluoridation Ruling
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Image



EPA Will Appeal Federal Court's Fluoridation Ruling

Dear Wynn:

When the US district court [ruled in our favor](#) last September, it deemed fluoridated water an "unreasonable risk" to human health due to its neurotoxicity. The judge pointed out the scope of the potential harm:

"The size of the affected population is vast. Approximately 200 million Americans have fluoride intentionally added to their drinking water at a concentration of 0.7 mg/L.... Approximately two million pregnant women, and over 300,000 exclusively formula-fed babies are exposed to fluoridated water." [p. 76]

Ever since the Fluoride Action Network (FAN) petitioned the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to prohibit the use of fluoridation chemicals under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) [in 2016](#), our goal has been to protect the public as quickly as possible. We understand that every day, hundreds of thousands of children are experiencing unnecessary life-long and potentially life-altering brain impairment due to artificial fluoridation schemes. Countless others are experiencing side-effects to their liver, kidneys, endocrine system, bones, and [much more](#). Unfortunately, the EPA has spent the last 9-years working against our efforts, battling FAN in court and choosing to delay the legal process at every opportunity. Since [filing a Notice of Intent to Appeal](#) just days before the January 2025 deadline to do so, the EPA requested, and was granted, four deadline extensions to submit a brief with their arguments, delaying the process an additional 3-months. They stated that the previous and current Solicitor Generals had not approved or authorized an appeal.

The Legal Battle Continues

According to FAN attorney Michael Connett, the EPA has now received approval from Solicitor General John Sauer and plans to file their appeal on July 18, 2025.

Michael Connett wrote:

"After several extensions, the Trump Administration has decided to appeal the federal court decision ordering EPA to address the risk posed by water fluoridation. EPA will be filing its appeal next Friday, July 18.

Rather than use the court's decision as an opportunity to finally end water fluoridation (as most of Europe has already done), the EPA will spend its time legally challenging the court's order. And it won't be alone. The American Chemistry Council (a trade organization that represents the chemical industry) has just filed a motion asking for permission to file a brief. And so has the American Fluoridation Society, one of the most [noxious](#) lobbyist groups pushing fluoridation across the US.

The decision to appeal the court's order was not made by the HHS or Secretary Kennedy. It was made by the Solicitor General of the Department of Justice, who reports to Pam Bondi and the White House. For his part, Secretary Kennedy has been clear that states should end their water fluoridation programs (as Florida and Utah have recently done), and that CDC will be revising its anachronistic recommendations, which would be a major

development. The HHS does not, however, have the authority to ban fluoridation.

Only the EPA has this power, and it has decided, for now, to forego its historic opportunity (as provided by the court's decision) to exercise it.

Our lawsuit against the EPA will thus continue, and will now be before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. I had hoped this would not be necessary, but we are ready and prepared to stand up to EPA's challenge."

The appeal will be decided by a three-judge panel from the *Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit*. The appeal process generally takes 6-12 months to resolve, although there is no guarantee of that short of a time frame. While some cases are decided based exclusively on written documents, some cases are selected for short oral arguments before a court panel. With most cases, the court of appeals decision is the final ruling unless the parties ask the US Supreme Court to review it or the case is sent back to the trial court for additional proceedings.

FAN will share the EPA's written arguments and our response when they're filed.

EPA Administrator Lee Zeldin [announced in April](#) that the EPA will be using the *Safe Drinking Water Act's* six-year-review process to "expeditiously review new scientific information on potential health risks of fluoride in drinking water," adding that "When this is completed, we will have an updated foundational scientific evaluation that will inform the agency's future steps," which will likely include a new maximum contaminant level (MCL) and maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG), which limit the levels of potentially harmful contaminants—such as fluoride—that water treatment operators can have in public water supplies.

Only time will tell if the EPA's upcoming review will have any bearing whatsoever on the EPA's appeal over the coming months.

Sincerely,

Stuart Cooper

Executive Director

Fluoride Action Network

[CLICK HERE to Support Our Work With A Tax Deductible Donation](#)

Fluoride Action Network

North Sutton, New Hampshire

info@fluoridealert.org

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser](#)

[Unsubscribe](#)



From: [Wynn Grcich](#)
To: cityclerkinfo@cityofbg.org; [Rebecca Messinger](#); [City Council](#); [Harris, Rep. Paul](#); [Reform Clark County - Rob Anderson](#); [Justin Forsman](#)
Subject: Fluoride On Trial: Federal Trial on the Neurotoxicity of Water Fluoridation Resumes Next Week
Date: Friday, July 11, 2025 1:53:55 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

<https://www.kron4.com/business/press-releases/ein-presswire/683819418/fluoride-on-trial-federal-trial-on-the-neurotoxicity-of-water-fluoridation-resumes-next-week/> please put on public record and confirm that you did. Send to council members. Thanks from Wynn

WYNN GRCICH Vancouver, WA

the
fluoride
deception



Christopher Bryson

with a foreword by Dr. Theo Colborn

the abortion pill . . .

- > It is actually a two-pill regimen: mifepristone and misoprostol. Mifepristone blocks the pregnancy hormone progesterone so the baby can no longer attach to the lining of the uterus and get needed nutrients, starving the baby. Misoprostol causes contractions to force delivery of the dead child.
- > It is currently the fastest growing form of abortion in the United States and accounts for more than three out of five abortions.
- > After taking the pill, the classic symptoms of miscarriage occur. Women may experience severe abdominal pain or cramping, bleeding or severe spotting, and discharge of tissue or fluid.
- > When the process doesn't work, the dead baby remains in the mother's body and can lead to hemorrhaging—and even death. 1 out of every 25 women who take abortion pills end up in the emergency room because the complications are so severe.



 A New Formula of Roundup has hit the shelves and it's 45x more toxic and made from a chemical BANNED in the EU

"They made it considerably more toxic"

"This is the new formulation of Roundup. It has an active ingredient that is not glyphosate. It is diquat. Diquat is banned in the EU and it is 200 times more chronically toxic than the glyphosate version.

Bayer had the opportunity to make this a whole lot better. They didn't. They made it considerably more toxic, 45x more toxic in overall formulations that have been launched since getting rid of glyphosate.

So when you're in your garden, be sure you're not using things like Roundup and keep yourself safe."

Subscribe: Edward Snowden  Private.

Is Your Tap Water Making You Sick?

February 27, 2022

Mercola.com

by Dr. Joseph Mercola

Health Issues

Drinking water may be treated with a variety of chemicals, which create intermediaries and disinfection byproducts. One study¹ did a side-by-side comparison of epidemiological studies and cancer risk assessment after exposure to two disinfection byproducts — haloacetic acids and trihalomethanes.

Yet, disinfection byproducts are not the only toxic chemicals found in tap water. One glass of water may contain a cocktail of forever chemicals known as PFAS,² lead, arsenic³ and a list of other chemicals found in your local area that you were never meant to consume.⁴ You can check your local supply by using the Environmental Working Group's (EWG's) Tap Water Database.⁵

One of the issues with the water supply is that we have an aging infrastructure that may be nearing the end of its useful life.⁶ Another is the water pollution that results from firefighting chemicals,⁷ agrichemicals,⁸ drugs, nerve toxins that are produced by freshwater cyanobacteria⁹ and toxins that are intentionally added to the water supply.¹⁰

Since more than 50% of your body is water,¹¹ you require a constant supply of pure water to fuel your filtration system and ensure your body is free of toxins. Your blood, kidneys and liver require a good source of clean water to detoxify from the other toxic exposures you meet every day. Yet, it is the water supply that is also in desperate need of detoxification.

Disinfectant Byproducts in Tap Water Raise Risk of Cancer

The EWG opened the Tap Water Database in 2017.¹² It was the most complete source of information about U.S. drinking water that compiled data from nearly 50,000 systems across the country. The data mining project was aimed at increasing information and awareness about tap water pollution. Users can enter their zip code¹³ and find a list of contaminants that had been detected and reported to the authorities. The guide relies on current science to report levels of pollutants instead of legal limits set by regulatory agencies. Ken Cook, president of EWG, said in a press release:¹⁴

"Just because your tap water gets a passing grade from the government doesn't always mean it's safe. It's time to stop basing environmental regulations on political or economic compromises, and instead listen to what scientists say about the long-term effects of toxic chemicals and empower Americans to protect themselves from pollutants even as they demand the protective action they deserve from government."

In November 2021, the EWG called¹⁵ for a new framework to analyze drinking water that would account for multiple contaminants present simultaneously and the cumulative effect that has over a lifetime. It was the same month the organization updated their tap water database, which:¹⁶

"... highlighted the groundbreaking peer-reviewed research published since the last update, using the data to help communicate to the public about the potential health risks of contaminants in their drinking water, as well as underscoring key gaps in water contamination research."

The EWG notes that the federal drinking water standards evaluate and regulate one chemical at a time.¹⁷ The process is slow and cannot keep up with the rate at which pollution is added to the water supply, often at levels many scientists believe are unsafe for the public. This was highlighted in a study¹⁸ published in 2020 by the EWG in which the scientists compared two disinfection byproducts in the tap water.

The researchers concluded that the results offered a compelling argument for a cumulative risk assessment, as opposed to the current state of evaluating chemicals one at a time. They note that the two disinfection byproducts evaluated in the study are a small portion of those that form when drinking water is disinfected.

Additionally, "The inclusion of unregulated haloacetic acids in a toxicologically-based framework increases the likelihood that a cancer risk assessment for disinfection byproducts accurately reflects risk."¹⁹ The researchers also highlighted that the analysis demonstrated the value of using human data to capture real-world risks which "cannot be fully assessed by toxicological studies."

This was not the first study to demonstrate the levels of toxic chemicals in drinking water, and likely will not be the last. A team from Consumer Reports and The Guardian²⁰ took samples of drinking water from a cross-section of each of the EPA's 10 jurisdictional regions and found that 118 of the 120 samples had high levels of PFAS or arsenic, as well as detectable levels of lead.

In the short 2-minute video below, EWG scientist Sydney Evans explains the concerns many scientists have of drinking a "toxic cocktail" of contaminants in drinking water. The cumulative effect of drinking chemical pollutants over a lifetime could result in over 100,000 cancer cases in the U.S.

Your Clothing Is a Significant Contributor to Water Pollution

As Evans points out in this video, the most effective way to control pollutants in the water supply is to prevent it. She notes that reducing farm runoff and discharge from manufacturing can help protect the water supply. She calls for investment in tap water infrastructure, especially in small communities, and fixing the tap water standards.

Researchers have also found that nearly 20% of the pollutants found in drinking water are from your clothing.²¹ You may not think about the clothing industry being one of the biggest polluters on the planet, but it's nearing the top of the list. The textile industry contributes to water pollution through dying and treatment of the material with dangerous chemicals.

Rita Kant of the University Institute of Fashion Technology at Panjab University in India says color is a major reason people choose to buy certain articles of clothing.²² Although there are ways to dye clothing that don't harm the environment, most textile dyes are toxic for nearly all forms of life. Problems exist with the dye itself, 20% of which goes down the drain, and with the fixative agents used to set the colors in the fabric.

In addition to the chemicals being toxic, they are often discharged from textile mills at high temperatures and pH, which is also damaging to the environment.²³ Some of the dyes also use heavy metals which are known to cause cancer²⁴ and can accumulate in crops and fish from contaminated water and soil.²⁵

Unfortunately, many of the textile dyeing facilities are in developing countries where regulations are lax and labor costs are low. Untreated or minimally treated wastewater is discharged into nearby rivers to lower the cost of production²⁶ and from there it travels across the globe. An estimated 40% of textile chemicals are discharged by China.²⁷

Docusign Envelope ID: AC93AC6E-7751-4780-A6AB-C04906690298

In addition to polluting the water, the industry uses massive quantities of it. A textile mill that produces about 8,000 kilograms (17,637 pounds) of fabric in one day uses approximately 1.6 million liters (422,675 gallons) of water.²⁸ The fast fashion industry is a large contributor to chemical pollution and the destruction of our drinking water supply.

The average person purchased more than 65 articles of clothing in 2016, according to the toxic textiles report by Green America.²⁹ Added to which, many throw away 70 pounds of clothing and other textiles each year.

Even when clothing is recycled, Green America notes that "less than 1% of the resources required to make clothing is recaptured and reused to create new clothing."³⁰ When you donate clothes, it's also not a sustainable solution, as the majority end up getting sold to textile "recyclers" and exported to other countries.

EPA Waffles on Lead and Arsenic in Your Drinking Water

The greatest threat arsenic poses is when it's found in drinking water, food preparation and irrigating food crops. Long-term exposure can lead to several forms of cancer,³¹ and other research suggests there's an association with neurological effects, diabetes and high blood pressure.³²

The health impact of low exposure happens over a long period and has been shown to reduce children's IQ³³ and increase the risk of skin discoloration and lesions.³⁴ The acceptable level for arsenic in drinking water was originally set in 1942 at 50 parts per billion (ppb).³⁵

This was reduced to 10 parts per billion in 2001, which was an amount the EPA felt would help water system operators balance the cost of filtering water against health challenges resulting from exposure.³⁶ Yet this is still more than triple the 3-ppb level at which experts have long insisted it should be limited and which the EPA first considered.

A 2017 NRDC study³⁷ noted that the EPA had set a maximum contaminant level for arsenic at zero since no level is safe.

However, it set the enforceable level at 10 ppb, which continues to present a "substantial cancer risk." Lead is another heavy metal contaminant that the EPA recognizes has no safe exposure limit, yet they do not require utility services to lower lead levels until 10% of the homes sampled in the area exceed 15 ppb.³⁸

In the same NRDC report,³⁹ the researchers found 5,367 water systems were allowing high levels of lead and copper into the water system affecting over 18 million consumers.

There is an overwhelming cost to the community and individuals from exposure to lead, including kidney and brain damage, anemia, weakness, neurological damage to a developing baby, lower IQ in children, and infertility in men and women.⁴⁰ Yet, the EPA has not made any significant changes to the maximum acceptable exposure levels.

Toxin Intentionally Added to Tap Water Lowers IQ

In addition to pollutants that make it into the water supply from contamination to groundwater, regulatory agencies add fluoride to the drinking water supply. According to a commentary in the journal *Nature*,⁴¹ it is nearly impossible to get both sides of the fluoride issue to meet in the middle.

Supporters say it prevents cavities and strengthens teeth, but opponents say the risks present to children's overall health far outweigh any dental benefits.⁴² To date fluoride is hailed by the CDC as "one of the 10 greatest public health achievements of the 20th century,"⁴³ with roughly 73% of the U.S. population drinking from a fluoridated public water supply in 2018.⁴⁴

A landmark study⁴⁵ published in 2021 confirmed that very low levels of fluoride exposure during pregnancy impacted brain development in a child and at a level that may be causing more damage than lead, mercury or arsenic.

What you hear most often from proponents of fluoride at council meetings and from policymakers, is that government agencies can vouch for fluoridation "safety and effectiveness," and regulate the practice responsibly.

The thinking therefore, is because they say that, it must be true.

However, instead of verifying the claims, policymakers have put their unquestioning trust in government agencies and media outlets have suspended their professionalism by not only blindly trusting the agencies, but also discrediting those who oppose fluoridation.⁴⁶ However, under oath, representatives have proved that this mantra of "safe and effective" is only a baseless claim used to promote a failed policy.

Casey Hannan, director of the CDC's oral health division,⁴⁷ testified that the CDC has no data establishing the safety of fluoride's effect on the brain,⁴⁸ despite decades of touting the safety for all citizens, including children. Hannan also admits there is no prenatal or early life benefit.⁴⁹

We can't count on the mainstream media or the public health authorities to tell the public or decision-makers about what is happening. It's up to individuals to pass this information to family and friends and encourage them to pass it along as well.

Please help us get to the finish line in a world without fluoridation. If you're concerned about the health effects of fluoride, please support the [Fluoride Action Network](#)⁵⁰ with your tax-deductible donation today.

Sources and References

1. [18 International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health](#), 2020; 17(6)

2 [Environmental Working Group](#), January 22, 2020

3. [20 The Guardian](#), March 31, 2021

4. 5. [13 Environmental Working Group](#), [Tap Water Database](#)

6 [American Society of Civil Engineers 2021 Report Card Page 88](#)

7 [The Intercept December 16, 2015](#)

8 [New Jersey Department of Health](#), [Pesticides in Drinking Water](#)

9 [Environmental Health Perspective](#), 2000;108 (Suppl 1)

- [10 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, October 1, 2021, Water Fluoridation Basics](#)
- [11 USGS, May 22, 2019](#)
- [12, 14 Environmental Working Group, July 26, 2017](#)
- [15, 17 Environmental Working Group, November 2021](#)
- [16 Environmental Working Group, November 5, 2021](#)
- [19 International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020; 17\(6\) Conclusion](#)
- [21, 23 Natural Science, Vol.4 No.1, 2012 page 2](#)
- [22 Natural Science, Vol.4 No.1, 2012](#)
- [24 Journal of Cancer Prevention, 2015;20\(4\)](#)
- [25 Fibre2Fashion, July 2008](#)
- [26, 27 Yale Environment 360, June 12, 2014](#)
- [28 Natural Science, Vol.4 No.1, 2012 page 2, left col. para 1, line 11](#)
- [29 Green America, Toxic Textiles report 2019](#)
- [30 Green America, Toxic Textiles report 2019, Page 7](#)
- [31 Journal of Toxicology, 2011;2011:431287](#)
- [32 International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2019;20\(14\)](#)
- [33 Risk Analysis, 2021; doi.org/10.1111/risa.13767](#)
- [34 DermNetNZ, August 2021](#)
- [35 National Resources Defense Council, January 17, 2001](#)
- [36 Consumer Reports, March 31, 2021 Section Arsenic](#)
- [37, 39 National Resources Defense Council, Threats on Tap, 2017 Page 11](#)
- [38 Consumer Reports, March 31, 2021 Section Lead](#)
- [40 National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health](#)
- [41, 42 Nature, October 27, 2021](#)
- [43, 44 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, October 1, 2021](#)
- [45 Fluoride Action Network June 8, 2021](#)
- [46 Healthy Debate, June 11, 2020](#)
- [47 YouTube, December 31, 2020, Casey Hannan identified Minute 2:30](#)
- [48 YouTube, December 2, 2020 Min 00:16 - 00:56](#)
- [49 YouTube, December 31, 2020, Minute 4:45 - 6:15](#)
- [50 Fluoride Action Network](#)

Posted with permission from Mercola.com

This material, provided for educational and informational purposes, constitutes a "fair use" of any copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, this material is distributed without profit to those who have an interest in using the included information for research and educational purposes. Organic Consumers Association is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit. To support our work, please send a tax-deductible donation to: OCA, 6771 South Silver Hill Dr., Finland, MN 55603
 Activist or Media Inquiries (218) 226-4164. Text JoinOCA to 97779 to join our mobile network.




		X
Email Address		
		SUBMIT

January 17, 2012

Look Up: The New Age of Inoculation Is Aerial Vaccines and Nano Delivery Systems

As the masses enjoy a steady awakening regarding the dangers and fraud that are vaccinations, the use of aerial vaccines and nano delivery systems are now being utilized and fully implemented by governments with little regard or consequence to human health.



Geoengineering initiatives (commonly referred to as chemtrails) are no longer considered discreet military operations, and are finally admitted by governments. Aerial vaccines and nano delivery systems are one of many geoengineering projects.

The use of aerial manned and unmanned vehicles for the aerial spraying under State and Federal mandates for vector control began under the Geneva Act for Chemical and Biological Weapons in 1949. Over the years since its inception many amendments to this act and US parallel acts such as the Patriot Act of 2001, Space Preservation Act of 2001 and Weather Modification Research and Technology Act of 2005 have included the following implementations under terrorist and riot control for aerial spraying of the mass population in selective city locations:

- Weather Modification.
- Vector Control (insects, virus, and other similar vectors).



Fauci Says COVID-19 and Influenza Vaccines Don't Work Well

Dr. Anthony Fauci is among the growing number of officials who are acknowledging that the COVID-19 vaccines don't work well against infection.

Vaccines against both COVID-19 and influenza have "deficiencies," including that they "elicit incomplete and short-lived protection against evolving virus variants that escape population immunity," Fauci, until recently President Joe Biden's chief medical adviser, and other top National Institutes of Health officials wrote in a recent paper.

"With the imperfections of these vaccines, it seems a public health imperative to aggressively pursue better vaccines and vaccination strategies," they wrote.

CDC Adds COVID-19 Vaccines to Child Immunization Schedule

The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has added COVID-19 vaccines to its routine immunization schedule for children and adults.

According to the CDC's 2023 immunization schedule for children and adolescents, two or three doses of COVID-19 vaccines have been recommended beginning with infants who are just 6 months old. Children in the age group of 6 months to 4 years, and 5 years to 11 years are recommended COVID-19 vaccines from Moderna or Pfizer. Among children aged 12 to 18, Novavax vaccines also are recommended in addition to Pfizer and Moderna.

In the list for adults, two or three doses of COVID-19 vaccines have been recommended from the age of 19 years. The 2023 COVID-19 vaccine recommendation for kids and adults is included among other typically recommended vaccines for measles, flu, rubella, and so on.

Advisers to the CDC in 2022 recommended adding the vaccines to the schedule.



Messenger RNA Sequences Found in Blood 28 Days After COVID-19 Vaccination

Messenger RNA sequences from the Pfizer and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines were found in the blood of multiple individuals weeks after vaccination, according to a study.

Researchers in Denmark analyzed samples from the vaccinated and detected partial or even full sequences of the messenger RNA (mRNA) following vaccination. The sequences were found as late as 28 days after vaccination, or the longest time period the study analyzed.

The findings mean that the mRNA, which is situated in lipid nanoparticles for delivery into the body, lingers for much longer than officials in the United States and elsewhere acknowledge.

Fluorine in health care: Organofluorine containing blockbuster drugs

David O'Hagan 

Show more 

 Share  Cite

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluchem.2010.03.003> 


[Get rights and content](#) 

Abstract

Organic fluorine compounds have had a profound impact on the development of bioactives for the modern pharmaceuticals market. It is estimated that up to 20% of pharmaceuticals prescribed or administered in the clinic contain a fluorine atom and 30% of the leading 30 blockbuster drugs by sales contain a fluorine. In this *Highlight* review, the top 10 fluorine containing pharmaceuticals (by US Sales in 2008) are highlighted. By this measure, these are currently the most significant fluorinated compounds impacting on health care. They embrace statins (Lipitor, Crestor, Vytorin, Zetia/Ezetimibe), anti-inflammatories (fluticasone propionate, Celebrex), antacids (Prevacid), antidepressants (Lexapro), neuroleptics (Risperdal) and antibiotics (Levaquin). In each case the structures and modes of action of these important drugs compounds are reviewed and representative synthetic routes are highlighted.

Graphical abstract

Organofluorine compounds have had a significant impact in the development of pharmaceutical products. The structures, modes of action and synthesis of the leading blockbuster pharmaceuticals of this class are highlighted. Currently 30% of the top thirty drugs by sales contain a fluorine atom.



Download: [Download full-size image](#)

Introduction

In 1954 Fried and Sabo [1] showed that the introduction of a fluorine atom to the 9 α position of cortisol, improved its therapeutic index as an anti-inflammatory by an order of magnitude. Historically the development of Fludrocortisone (Fig. 1) was the first example of the introduction of fluorine into a pharmaceuticals product. A recent review by Hagmann [2] retrospectively illustrates that over the subsequent 60 years, fluorine has been found in around 15–20% of all new chemical entities (NCEs) licensed each year for the clinical market. The element generally finds its way into the organic framework during lead optimisation studies, and particularly as a strategy to block metabolism, for example by hydroxylation enzymes, to increase lipophilicity (logP) or to tune pharmacokinetic properties [3], [4], [5]. The impact of fluorine in this context has been dramatic. Of the top 30 best selling pharmaceutical products (US Sales in 2008), 10 have at least one fluorine atom [6]. Thus 30% of the leading blockbuster pharmaceuticals contain fluorine. This *Highlight* profiles these compounds (Table 1) and illustrates by association the impact of organic fluorine chemistry in the development of high end of the market, health care products. The review provides some commentary on the modes of action of

(Fig. 2, Fig. 3).

Access through your organization

Check access to the full text by signing in through your organization.

Access through your organization

Section snippets

Lipitor (Atorvastatin)

Lipitor (Atorvastatin) is currently the biggest selling pharmaceutical globally [7]. It holds the most prominent position in the blockbuster league table (Table 1) with sales of \$5.9 billion ($\5.9×10^9) dollars in 2008. It is commercially the most significant drug of the 'statin' class. The statins [8] are cholesterol lowering drugs which are prescribed to reduce the amount of biosynthetic cholesterol produced by the patient, to offset plaque accumulation and then vascular constriction with the

Fluticasone propionate

Fluticasone propionate (Table 1) is a steroidal anti-inflammatory used to treat a variety of conditions depending on how it is administered. It is a modern variant of the original Fludrocortisone (Fig. 1) reported by Fried and Sabo in 1954. Fluticasone propionate can be applied topically to treat inflammation directly associated with dermatoses and psoriasis [11]. However the major market for fluticasone propionate is to treat asthma as the most important member of a large class of inhaled

Prevacid (Lansoprazole)

Prevacid acid (Lansoprazole) is currently the most successful commercial drug of its class in regulating gastric acid secretion (Scheme 5) [13]. Other important drugs of this group are Omeprazole, Pantoprazole and Rabeprazole, of which Pantoprazole which contains a difluoromethoxyl group (Scheme 7). They are used to treat heartburn, peptic ulcers and esophageal inflammation. Prevacid (Lansoprazole) is a representative of the 'proton pump inhibitors' (PPI), a group of drugs that inhibit the

Lexapro (Cipralext, Escitalopram)

Lexapro (Cipralext, Escitalopram) is an important drug for treating depression and anxiety. It is one of a large class of serotonin selective reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) [19]. Such drugs act in the brain by blocking the reuptake of the neurotransmitter serotonin, into presynaptic cells. Lexapro is marketed in enantiomerically pure form as its (S)-enantiomer as shown. This followed from the racemic drug Citalopram which came to the end of its patent life [20]. The drug was relaunched as a single

Crestor (Rosuvastatin)

Crestor, like Lipitor (Section 2) is a statin [8]. The structural similarity to Lipitor is obvious in that both have a pendant (3R, 5R)-dihydroxycarboxylic acid residue. This moiety is important for binding to the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase, the rate limiting enzyme in cholesterol biosynthesis in humans, as described above in Scheme 1 for Lipitor. The synthesis of Crestor, like Lipitor, requires the introduction of two stereogenic centres on the (3R, 5R)-dihydroxyl carboxylic acid side chain

Zetia and Vytorin

Zetia (Ezetimibe) is a drug prescribed to lower cholesterol levels and to treat obesity [24]. It contains only Ezetimibe and is a complementary therapy to Vytorin. Vytorin is a combination therapy where Ezetimibe and Simvastatin are administered together. The combination is used to lower cholesterol levels in blood to prevent the consequent complications of atherosclerosis, heart disease and stroke. Vytorin like Zetia (Ezetimibe) also has an increasing role in the treatment of obesity.

Celebrex is used to treat and reduce pain associated with arthritis. It is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug which inhibits prostaglandin biosynthesis. In humans it has selectivity for the inhibition of inducible cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) over cyclo-oxygenase 1 (COX-1) [31]. The COX-2 enzyme system is responsible for prostaglandin biosynthesis, the inhibition of which reduces the inflammation response [32]. More recently Celebrex is finding a role in the treatment of cancers where it

Levaquin (Levofloxacin)

Levaquin is a representative of the very large class of the fluoroquinolone antibiotics. These are probably the most successful non-natural products class of antibiotics, and it has emerged that the fluorine atom at the 9-position of the quinolone ring is essential for good antibacterial activity [33]. Many structural variants have been prepared and marketed and other significant representatives of this class are Norfloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, and Fleroxacin (Fig. 5).

Levaquin is the (S)-(-)

Risperdal (Risperidone)

Risperdal (Risperidone) is a second generation neuroleptic drug used to treat psychotic patients and predominantly to treat schizophrenia. It is also used to treat severe and manic depression and related forms of bipolar disorder. Sales in 2008 (Table 1) were a substantial increase (32%) on the 2007 sales, indicating a surge in its prescription. Risperdal (Risperidone) crosses the blood brain barrier and competes with the neurotransmitter dopamine. The drug acts by binding selectively to, and

Conclusion

This review has highlighted that a large proportion of the leading pharmaceuticals products on the global market contain organic bound fluorine. Of course the commercial fortunes of the selected drugs will rise and fall in future years, as their place in the market matures or as new compounds emerge and compete for the top rank positions. However given the prominent role of fluorine in drug development since the early 1950s, we can be sure that fluorine will continue to be an important element

Special issue articles Recommended articles

References (38)

T.M.A. Bocan *et al.*
Atherosclerosis (1994)

U. Gether *et al.*
Trends Pharmacol. Sci. (2006)

M. Watanabe *et al.*
Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. (1997)

X. Gao *et al.*
Chem. Biol. (2009)

D.B. Reitz *et al.*
Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. (1995)

A. Miyadera *et al.*
Tetrahedron Asymm. (1999)

J. Fried *et al.*
J. Am. Chem. Soc. (1954)

W.K. Hagmann
J. Med. Chem. (2008)

Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology

(2009)

A. Tressaud *et al.*

Fluorine in Health; Molecular Imaging, Biomedical Materials and Pharmaceuticals

(2008)



View more references

Cited by (753)

Next Generation of Fluorine-Containing Pharmaceuticals, Compounds Currently in Phase II-III Clinical Trials of Major Pharmaceutical Companies: New Structural Trends and Therapeutic Areas ↗

2016, Chemical Reviews

Monofluorination of Organic Compounds: 10 Years of Innovation ↗

2015, Chemical Reviews

Carbon trifluoromethylation reactions of hydrocarbon derivatives and heteroarenes ↗

2015, Chemical Reviews

Data-mining for sulfur and fluorine: An evaluation of pharmaceuticals to reveal opportunities for drug design and discovery ↗

2014, Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Fluorine in pharmaceutical industry: Fluorine-containing drugs introduced to the market in the last decade (2001-2011) ↗

2014, Chemical Reviews

Aromatic trifluoromethylation with metal complexes ↗

2011, Chemical Reviews



View all citing articles on Scopus ↗

View full text

Copyright © 2010 Published by Elsevier B.V.



Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update: June 25, 2021

For Immediate Release: June 25, 2021

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) continued to take action in the ongoing response to the COVID-19 pandemic:

- Today, the FDA is announcing revisions to the patient and provider fact sheets for the **Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech** COVID-19 vaccines regarding the suggested increased risks of myocarditis (inflammation of the heart muscle) and pericarditis (inflammation of the tissue surrounding the heart) following vaccination. For each vaccine, the Fact Sheet for Healthcare Providers Administering Vaccine (Vaccination Providers) has been revised to include a warning about myocarditis and pericarditis and the Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers has been revised to include information about myocarditis and pericarditis. This update follows an extensive review of information and the discussion by CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices meeting on Wednesday. The data presented at this meeting reinforced the FDA's decision to revise the fact sheets and further informed the specific revisions. The warning in the Fact Sheets for Healthcare Providers Administering Vaccines notes that reports of adverse events suggest increased risks of myocarditis and pericarditis, particularly following the second dose and with onset of symptoms within a few days after vaccination. Additionally, the Fact Sheets for Recipients and Caregivers for these vaccines note that vaccine recipients should seek medical attention right away if they have chest pain, shortness of breath, or feelings of having a fast-beating, fluttering, or pounding heart after vaccination. The FDA and CDC are monitoring the reports, collecting more information, and will follow-up to assess longer-term outcomes over several months.

The first fatal case beyond the two from Israel was reported by Verma et al in the New England Journal of Medicine, August 18, 2021. At this point the FDA and CDC should have immediately updated the product information to indicate vaccine myocarditis could be fatal. Instead they did nothing to warn the public.

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

CORRESPONDENCE

Myocarditis after Covid-19 mRNA Vaccination

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2109975

Amanda K. Verma, M.D.,
Kory J. Lavine, M.D., Ph.D.,
Chieh-Yu Lin, M.D., Ph.D.
Washington University School of Medicine
St. Louis, MO
chieh-yu@wustl.edu




Figure 1. Histopathological Findings from Endomyocardial Biopsy and Autopsy.
Hematoxylin-eosin stains of heart-tissue specimens obtained by means of endomyocardial biopsy in patient 1 (Panel A) and autopsy in patient 2 (Panel B) showed myocarditis in both patients, with multifocal cardiomyocyte damage (arrows) associated with mixed inflammatory infiltration. Scattered eosinophils were noted (arrowheads). The images of the hematoxylin-eosin stains were obtained with 10x eyepieces and 40x or 60x objectives. Additional information is provided in the Supplementary Appendix. RV denotes right ventricle, and LV left ventricle.

Verma AK, Lavine KJ, Lin CY. Myocarditis after Covid-19 mRNA Vaccination. N Engl J Med. 2021 Sep 30;385(14):1332-1334. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2109975. Epub 2021 Aug 18. PMID: 34407340; PMCID: PMC8385564.

To this day, fatal COVID-19 vaccine myopericarditis occurs many times with sudden cardiac arrest as the very first sign. Autopsies have proven the COVID-19 vaccine is the cause of myocarditis not the infection. At the hearing we plan to explore the delay in issuing the myocarditis health advisory and more importantly, the continued failure to fairly inform patients that myocarditis can be fatal.

Autopsy findings in cases of fatal COVID-19 vaccine-induced myocarditis

Nicolas Hulscher^{1*}, Roger Hodgkinson², William Makis^{2,3} and Peter A. McCullough^{2,4,5}

¹University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ²The Wellness Company, Ross Battle, MI, USA; ³Cross Cancer Institute, Alberta Health Services, Edmonton, Canada; ⁴Truth for Health Foundation, Tucson, AZ, USA; and ⁵McCullough Foundation, Dallas, TX, USA

Abstract

COVID-19 vaccines have been linked to myocarditis, which, in some circumstances, can be fatal. This systematic review aims to investigate potential causal links between COVID-19 vaccines and death from myocarditis using post-mortem analysis. We performed a systematic review of all published autopsy reports involving COVID-19 vaccination-induced myocarditis through 3 July 2023. All autopsy studies that include COVID-19 vaccine-induced myocarditis as a possible cause of death were included. Causality in each case was assessed by three independent physicians with cardiac pathology experience and expertise. We initially identified 1691 studies and, after screening for our inclusion criteria, included 14 papers that contained 28 autopsy cases. The cardiovascular system was the only organ system affected in 26 cases. In two cases, myocarditis was characterized as a consequence from multisystem inflammatory syndrome. The mean age of death was 44.4 years old. The mean and median number of days from last COVID-19 vaccination until death were 6.2 and 3 days, respectively. We established that all 28 deaths were most likely causally linked to COVID-19 vaccination by independent review of the clinical information presented in each paper. The temporal relationship, internal and external consistency seen among cases in this review with known COVID-19 vaccine-induced myocarditis, its pathobiological mechanisms, and related excess death, complemented with autopsy confirmation, independent adjudication, and application of the Bradford Hill criteria to the overall epidemiology of vaccine myocarditis, suggests that there is a high likelihood of a causal link between COVID-19 vaccines and death from myocarditis.

Keywords Myocarditis; Sudden death; Autopsy; COVID-19; COVID-19 vaccines; mRNA; SARS-CoV-2 vaccination

Received: 9 November 2023; Accepted: 28 December 2023
*Correspondence to: Nicolas Hulscher, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. Email: nichulscher@gmail.com
A previous iteration of this manuscript was posted on the Preprints.org preprint server on 18 July 2023.

Hulscher N, Hodgkinson R, Makis W, McCullough PA. Autopsy findings in cases of fatal COVID-19 vaccine-induced myocarditis, ESC Heart Fail. 2024 Jan 14; doi: 10.1002/ehf2.14680. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38221509.

The January 1, 2025, FDA package insert for SPIKEVAX the Moderna COVID-19 mRNA booster lists myocarditis, but does not have a black box warning indicating the myocarditis can be and is lethal in some victims. Failure to “black box” Pfizer and Moderna will certainly be under discussion in the US Senate.

HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

These highlights do not include all the information needed to use SPIKEVAX safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for SPIKEVAX.

SPIKEVAX (COVID-19 Vaccine, mRNA)
Injectable suspension, for intramuscular use
2024-2025 Formula
Initial U.S. Approval: 2022

RECENT MAJOR CHANGES

Dosage and Administration: Preparation for Administration (2.1) 1/2025

INDICATIONS AND USAGE

SPIKEVAX is a vaccine indicated for active immunization to prevent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in individuals 12 years of age and older. (1)

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

For intramuscular use.
• SPIKEVAX is administered as a single 0.5 mL dose. (2.2)
• For individuals previously vaccinated with any COVID-19 vaccine, administer the dose of SPIKEVAX at least 2 months after the last dose of COVID-19 vaccine. (2.3)

DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS

SPIKEVAX is an injectable suspension.
A single dose is 0.5 mL. (3)

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Do not administer SPIKEVAX to individuals with a known history of severe allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) to any component of SPIKEVAX or to individuals who had a severe allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) following a previous dose of a Moderna COVID-19 vaccine. (4)

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Postmarketing data with authorized or approved mRNA COVID-19 vaccines demonstrate increased risks of myocarditis and pericarditis, particularly within the first week following vaccination. For SPIKEVAX, the observed risk is highest in males 18 years through 24 years of age. (5.2)

ADVERSE REACTIONS

Most commonly reported adverse reactions following administration of SPIKEVAX or Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine, Bivalent containing the same amount of mRNA as the SPIKEVAX 2024-2025 Formula (≥10%)

- Participants 12 years through 17 years of age: pain at the injection site (up to 90.6%), fatigue (up to 58.1%), headache (up to 56.3%), myalgia (up to 40.1%), chills (up to 30.2%), axillary swelling/tenderness (up to 27.6%), arthralgia (up to 25.9%), nausea/vomiting (up to 17.9%), and swelling at the injection site (up to 13.3%). (6)
- Participants 18 years through 64 years of age: pain at injection site (up to 86.3%), fatigue (up to 62.0%), headache (up to 58.9%), myalgia (up to 49.6%), arthralgia (up to 41.9%), chills (up to 40.3%), axillary swelling/tenderness (up to 24.8%), and nausea/vomiting (up to 16.7%). (6)
- Participants 65 years of age and older: pain at injection site (up to 78.3%), fatigue (up to 58.1%), myalgia (up to 47.4%), headache (up to 42.1%), arthralgia (up to 39.5%), chills (up to 18.4%), and axillary swelling/tenderness (up to 14.2%). (6)

To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact ModernaTX, Inc. at 1-866-663-3762 or VAERS at 1-800-822-7967 or <https://www.fda.gov/medwatch>.

See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION and FDA-approved patient labeling.

Revised: 1/2025

FOCAL POINTS (Courageous Discourse) is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Subscribe

Please subscribe to FOCAL POINTS as a paying (\$5 monthly) or founder member so we can continue to bring you the truth.

Peter A. McCullough, MD, MPH

President, McCullough Foundation

www.mcculloughfnd.org

Mead et al, Myocarditis after SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 vaccination: Epidemiology, outcomes, and new perspectives, International Journal of Cardiovascular Research & Innovation, 2025

Verma AK, Lavine KJ, Lin CY. Myocarditis after Covid-19 mRNA Vaccination. N Engl J Med. 2021 Sep 30;385(14):1332-1334. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2109975. Epub 2021 Aug 18.

US FDA and CDC Delayed Health Advisory on COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Myocarditis for Months, Failed to Issue Black Box Warning for Years

Upcoming Senate Hearing Will Probe Agency Delay, Refusal to Black Box Label, Costing American Lives

 PETER A. MCCULLOUGH, MD, MPH
MAY 18, 2025

157 10 19

Share



By Peter A. McCullough, MD, MPH

Ever since the FDA on one of its internal slides dated October 22, 2020 indicated that heart damage or myopericarditis was an expected side effect of mRNA vaccines, I have been concerned about this side effect as a cardiologist who would be expected the handle the problem potentially on a mass scale.

Steve Anderson, PhD, MPP
Director, Office of Biostatistics & Epidemiology, CBER
VRSBAC Meeting:
October 22, 2020



CBER Plans for Monitoring COVID-19 Vaccine Safety and Effectiveness

FDA Safety Surveillance of COVID-19 Vaccines :
DRAFT Working list of possible adverse event outcomes
*****Subject to change*****

- | | |
|---|--|
| ▪ Guillain-Barré syndrome | ▪ Deaths |
| ▪ Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis | ▪ Pregnancy and birth outcomes |
| ▪ Transverse myelitis | ▪ Other acute demyelinating diseases |
| ▪ Encephalitis/myelitis/encephalomyelitis/
meningoencephalitis/meningitis/
encephalopathy | ▪ Non-anaphylactic allergic reactions |
| ▪ Convulsions/seizures | ▪ Thrombocytopenia |
| ▪ Stroke | ▪ Disseminated intravascular coagulation |
| ▪ Narcolepsy and cataplexy | ▪ Venous thromboembolism |
| ▪ Anaphylaxis | ▪ Arthritis and arthralgia/joint pain |
| ▪ Acute myocardial infarction | ▪ Kawasaki disease |
| ▪ Myocarditis/pericarditis | ▪ Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome
in Children |
| ▪ Autoimmune disease | ▪ Vaccine enhanced disease |

We know in hindsight that COVID-19 vaccination and not the infection is the major risk for heart damage, cardiac arrest, and heart failure especially in young persons during the pandemic years.

Bill would fund studies to find toxins in people

■ Lawmaker says project may 'improve everyone's health and safety'

By Rebecca Vesely
STAFF WRITER



PERATA



ORTIZ

Hoping the second time's a charm, two state senators introduced a bill Thursday that could offer clues to how toxic chemicals contribute to disease.

The bill died last year, but this time, co-sponsors Senate President Pro Tem Don Perata, D-Oakland, and Sen. Deborah Ortiz, D-Sacramento, say the program would be less costly and ever more necessary to Californians' health.

The Healthy Californians Biomonitoring Program would establish a statewide voluntary program to find out the amount of chemical contaminants in people, known as the "body burden."

Biomonitoring measures industrial compounds, chemicals

and pollutants through blood, urine or breast milk analysis. Longtime national breast milk monitoring programs in Sweden have led to the ban of certain synthetic chemicals, but in the United States, such studies are done on an ad-hoc basis.

More than 85,000 synthetic chemicals are registered in the United States, and more than 1,000 new chemicals are added each year. Fewer than 10 percent of those chemicals have been tested for their effects on

Please see **BILL**, News 15

FRIDAY, February 18, 2005

THE ARGUS

Project would study toxins in people

► **BILL**, from News 1

human health, according to the bill.

"This bill will enable us to know just which toxic pollutants are in our bodies and move accordingly to improve everyone's health and safety," Ortiz said in a statement.

Last year's version of the bill would have funded a more ambitious program through a tobacco tax. With a cost estimate of about \$1 million a year, it lacked support amid the state's fiscal crisis.

Cost estimates for the newly proposed biomonitoring project haven't been set, but advocates said it is pared down and will fund only research-gathering.

"The focus is on sound science," said Davis Baltz, program director at Marin-based Commonweal, an environmental health group that brought the bill along with the Breast Cancer Fund. "We're not proscribing any solutions to the health effects of chemicals in California."

The hope is that funding just a few small studies will show legislators and the public that biomonitoring should be a priority. With diseases such as asthma and cancer costing California billions of dollars each year, funding a few small studies can't hurt, Baltz said.

If enacted, California would be the first state to have a biomonitoring program. The state has already taken the lead by banning the manufacture, sale and pro-

cessing of two kinds of flame retardants known as polybrominated diphenyl ethers, PBDEs, by 2008.

That bill's author, Assemblywoman Wilma Chan, D-Oakland, is now calling for the ban on toxins in baby products such as hard plastic baby bottles and soft PVC plastic children's toys. Chan introduced that bill, AB 319, earlier this month.

"This has become a mainstream issue," Baltz said. "It doesn't matter what side of the aisle you're on, it is something you have to support as a baseline issue."

Staff writer Douglas Fischer contributed to this report. Contact Rebecca Vesely at vesely@angnewspapers.com.

Recommended Websites and Actions

www.StopTheCrime.net

SOURCE DOCS

- Watch *Iron Mountain: Blueprint for Tyranny - Documentary*
- Read *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars*
- Read *NWO Plans Exposed by Insider in 1969*
- ALERT *NASA WAR PLANS* - on CONUS
(pg.93 "Capture/Torture Americans in living color on prime time")
- Watch *Resonance - Beings of Frequency*
Artificial magnetic fields damaging all living things

www.RefuseSmartMeters.com

- Watch the "Technocracy" - Video by Patrick Wood
- Read *Ft. Meade Army Documents*- The Grid Is Weaponized - Electronic Warfare (Electro Magnetic Pulsed Weapons)

www.ToxicSky.org

- Listen to Dr. Bill Deagle's Interview
The most concise explanation about what IS being done to us. . .
- Watch What In the World are They Spraying
- Watch Genocide from the Sky

www.SmartMetersMurder.com

- Watch - *Radiation: The Silent War Against You*
- Barrie Trower/Scientist - Frequency Dangers - 1,000's of Studies - New Cold War Using Frequencies
- Utilities have teamed up with ICLEI - *International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives* - ICLEI = U.N. Agenda 21 plans to take "all" individual rights and property rights away & reduce the population
- Watch Conferences and Listen to Interview with Alex Jones

Print out flyer- What is SENOMYX?

They Add it to many Beverages and Foods... Let's Not Consume This. . .

www.ToxicDrinkingWater.org

Fluoride is a poison . . . Let's not drink it!

• TAKE ACTION •

- Copy flyers from each of the sites and distribute to all your neighbors and friends, even out of state!
- Attend and **speak out** at local meetings!
- Post Signs, Bumper Stickers & Banners To Get The Word Out
- **EDUCATE YOURSELF AND OTHERS. . .**

Contact Deborah NoSmartMeters@gmail.com

"To Believe The Unimaginable, Move Into a New Reality, Manage the Unthinkable When So Many Others Are Unaware, Is the Challenge of Our Time" D.T.

Dennis Bushnell, Chief Scientist - NASA Langley Research Center



WARFARE STRATEGY DOCUMENT - THE FUTURE IS NOW!

U.S. Air Force/DARPA/CIA/FBI/ Southern Command/Atlantic Command/Australian DOD and more

<http://www.stopthecrime.net/nasaframe.html>

Page 4

The presentation is based in all cases upon existing data/trends/ analyses/technologies (No PIXIE DUST) Robots - Cyborgs and Humans

Page 93

CONUS-Continental United States Exploit "CNN" Syndrome
-- Sink Carrier(s) via "swarm attacks"
-- Capture/torture Americans in living color on prime time
-- "Terror" attacks within CONUS (binary bio, critical Infrastructure "takedown," IO/IW, EMP, RF against Brain, etc.)
-- Serious "Psywar" (collateral damage exploitation,

Page 9

Humans Have "Taken Over" and Vastly Shortened "Evolution" -- "Directed Evolution"

Page 14

KEY "FUTURE TECHNOLOGIES"
-- Automatic/robotic "everything"
-- Genetic engineering before birth

Page 66

Increasingly Critical Human Limitations/ Downsides
-- Large
-- Heavy
-- Tender
-- Slow (physically, mentally)
-- Require Huge Logistic Train(s) i.e., Humans have rapidly decreasing-to-negative "Value Added"

Page 67

ROBOTICS "IN THE LARGE"
(saves lives, enhances affordability, redefines risk/threat environment, enhances effectiveness)

Page 35

Examples: Confluence of IT/Bio/Nano
-- Brain of a sea lamprey inserted/connected to body of a robotic fish (an initial cyborg)
-- "Chew-Chew" -- a flesh/plant eating robot that hunts/bio-digests "natural foods" to "live off the land" (Chew-Chew robot inventor: Stuart Wilkinson expresses concern about the dangers of the robots eating humans)

Page 43

Micro Dust Weaponry
-- A Mechanical Analog to Bio, Micron sized mechanized "dust" which is distributed as an aerosol and inhaled into the lungs. Dust mechanically bores into lung tissue and executes various "Pathological Missions."
-- A Wholly "New" class of Weaponry which is legal.

Page 45

Beam Weapons
Increasingly Prevalent

Page 50

EFFECTS OF LOW POWER MICROWAVES (U.S. ARMY, SRI, WALTER REED)
-- Behavioral performance decrements
-- Seizures
-- Gross alteration in brain function
-- 30% to 100% increases in brain blood flow
-- Lethality
-- Interactions between low power (microwatts per sq. cm./4 to 3 GHz) MW and brain function

Page 55

What Is Apparently "Legal"
-- Microwave/RF Anti-Functional and Anti-Personnel Weaponry
-- Chemical Anti-Functional Weaponry
-- Chemical "Psychological Effects" via Sensory Organs Weaponry (e.g. smell)
-- Chemical Personnel Incapacitation Weaponry ["Non-Warfare" (e.g. Hostage Terrorism) only]
-- PSYWAR
-- Acoustic Weaponry
-- Mechanical Micro Dust

THESE ARE JUST A FEW EXAMPLES OF WHAT IS IN THE N.A.S.A. DOCUMENT THAT ARE HAPPENING NOW !

www.StopTheCrime.net/nasaframe.html

THIS IS SUBMITTED AT THE LAST MINUTE AND I DO NOT HAVE THE LETTER MENTIONED AT THIS TIME !!! I WILL HOWEVER SUBMIT IT AT NEXT WEEKS VANCOUVER CITY COUNCIL MEETING. BASICALLY THIS IS A TEST TO SEE WHERE PUBLIC WRITTEN COMMENTS END UP, HOW THEY CAN BE ACCESSED BY THE PUBLIC AND I ASK AT THIS TIME THAT THESE THREE PAGES BE SUBMITTED TO THE JULY 14, 2025 VANCOUVER CITY COUNCIL MEETING DATED THIS DAY OF JULY 14, 2025.

KIMBERLEE GOHEEN ELBON

Thegoodfight2day@aol.com do not black out email

Def 3

PUT TO PUBLIC WRITTEN COMMENTS for Vancouver City Council meeting of July 7, 2025 a Monday, (or to the next VCCouncil meeting as this may be late) dated this day of JULY 7, 2025.

I am enclosing a copy of a letter that was sent by certified mail dated June 16, 2025 claiming what I Believe is a defamation of My Character with lies and slanderous ~ taking My First Amendment Rights away to SPEAK UP at Vancouver City Council meetings until September 15, 2025 ! I listened to all of the meetings that Mayor Anne Ogre said I was warned to stay on agenda items only. I was dutifully Speaking and Commenting on agendas and I do not Believe I was off agenda. At times when I try to get a point across, I will give some history or examples, and then get back to the point-agenda. That is common communication ! Mayor McEnerny Ogre of Vancouver does not respect Me and has a history of such abuse as to verbal interruptions while I'm Speaking; she has illegally had Me arrested in City Hall Chambers dated October 24, 2022 VCCouncil meeting – last ten minutes !!! I filed a \$500,000.00 but somehow the attorney I had dropped it. The suit is on record at least. PLEASE WATCH that meeting – You have a Mayor that in My opinion is a marxist to the core !!!

I AM ASKING THE CITIZENS OF VANCOUVER TO HOLD THIS COUNCIL ACCOUNTABLE and GET YOUR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS BACK, to be able to Speak on anything during Council meetings. CALL or ATTEND meetings !!!

I can not write everything as to what is happening but if THE PUBLIC does not STAND NOW – it will be too late !!! They want Vancouver to be the second largest city in Washington State – they do not follow The Constitution and in fact are 17 year members of the UNITED NATIONS as is Portland, Eugene, Oregon City to name a few across the Columbia River; but Bellingham, Seattle, Tacoma, Olympia, Spokane to name a few in Washington State and four hundred cities across AMERICA are U.N. members !!!!!!! Chaos and instability is what these cities produce and thrive on. They want America to fall into a one world type

government and will rule over The People instead of The People over their government !!! AGENDA 21-2030 is one example to read about this takeover of a FREE PEOPLE !!!

NO free parking on weekends any longer !\$!\$ PARKING FEE\$ have skyrocketed ! The Homeless I\$UE using Hard Earned Tax Dollar\$ among themselves and the rest goes to actual help to Those in need !!! On and on this goes – Hard Earned Tax Dollars (HETD) used for unConstitutional forms of government here locally !!! TAX TAX TAXED to death !\$!\$ Property and rental TAXE\$ UP UP & UP !\$!\$

THE PEOPLE trying to keep a job, pay rent, feed Their Family, gas in the car etc – this local government took advantage of the last four year planneDemic and know The People are still trying to survive – they do not care !!!

Clark County Council and Vancouver have deemed themselves as A SANCTUARY STATUS entity !!! Vancouver Residents were asked to house illegal alien immigrants !!! This movement is using Your HETD's to carry out an agenda to weaken The American Community and it's working !!!!!!!

STAND UNITED so not to fall divided !!!

WAKE UP and STAND UP now !!! ATTEND by phone, letter or inPerson !!!

Also look up the Clark County Council meetings, the CC Planning Commission along with Vancouvers Planning Commission. READ ALL AGENDAS !!!

GET INVOLVED ~ ~ ~ IT'S YOUR RIGHT AND DUTY !!!!!!!

Sincerely ~ Kimberlee Goheen Elbon – Live Citizen of Clark County Washington USA - time to stop further growth in Clark County !!! thegoodfight2day@aol.com
DO NOT BLACK out or cross off My email address listed above.

(3) of 3

There is a house on 50th
east side of 103-104

Needs to be torn down. This property is a garbage dump! There's a burned house, cars, sometimes campers, a boat, sofa in the bushes. There is a building next to it, which is a business. The property is a long block away from a new development. The business is clean.


They are working on the road as we speak. Why hasn't anyone taken pictures or sent someone to access this eye sore dump. I have seen people living in the cars parked in front of the burned house. There's no toilet!


Please have your street crew take pictures + take action to remove this garbage dump.

My homeowners fines us for not keeping up our properties. Why has this property been allowed to trash the neighborhood it's OK. Vancouver's street crew should report things like this!



'Erin Brockovich' chemical taints tap water of 251 million Americans





In the United States Courts

United States of America
Attorney General with a Conscience

v

Mr. Alex Azar, DEFENDANT
Dr. Anthony Fauci, DEFENDANT
Dr. Peter Daszak, DEFENDANT
Dr. Ralph Baric, DEFENDANT
FDA, DEFENDANT
CDC, DEFENDANT
NIAID, DEFENDANT
MODERNA, DEFENDANT
PFIZER, DEFENDANT

Count 1: 18 U.S.C. § 2331 §§ 802 – Acts of Domestic Terrorism resulting in death of American Citizens

Count 2: 18 USC § 2339– Conspiring to Commit Acts of Terrorism

Count 3. 15 U.S.C. §1-3 – conspiring to criminal commercial activity

Count 4. 18 USC § 175 – Funding and Creating a Biological Weapon

Count 5. 15 U.S.C. §8 – market manipulation and allocation

Count 6. 18 U.S.C. § 1001 – lying to Congress

Count 7. 15 U.S.C. § 19 – interlocking directorates

Count 8. 18 U.S. Code § 2384 - Seditious conspiracy

The Proposed Indictment

Throughout the decade of the 90s Pfizer sought to research, develop and patent a coronavirus (CoV) vaccine. Their first patent filing specifically recognizing the S-protein as the immunologic target for vaccines was filed on November 14, 1990 (U.S. Patent 6,372,224). With a focus on swine and canine gastroenteritis, these efforts showed little commercial promise and the patent was abandoned in April of 2000. During the same period, the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID) under the vaccine obsession of Dr. Anthony Fauci, funded Professor Ralph Baric at the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill. This program designed to commercially weaponize a naturally occurring toxin is the beginning of the criminal conspiracy and **violates 18 USC § 175, 15 USC § 1-3, and 15 USC § 8**) Dr. Baric's expertise was understanding how to modify components of the coronavirus associated with cardiomyopathy. NIAID Grants AI 23946 and GM63228 (leading to patent U.S. 7,279,327 "Methods for Producing Recombinant Coronavirus") was the NIH's first Gain-of-Function (GOF) project in which Dr. Baric created an "infectious, replication defective" clone of recombinant coronavirus. This work clearly defined a means of making a natural pathogen more harmful to humans by manipulating the Spike Protein and other receptor targets. A year after filing a patent on this GOF CoV, the world experienced the first outbreak of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS).

Under the guise of responding to a public health emergency, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) filed a patent application on the genome of SARS CoV on April 25, 2003. Accessing and manipulating the genomic data (which came from China making an “invention” claim by a U.S. entity illegal violating 35 USC §101, 103), Dr. Baric, Dr. Fauci, and the CDC violated 18 USC § 175 (a felony). One year earlier, Dr. Baric and his team had already filed a patent which clearly the pathogen CDC claimed as novel in 2003. Three days after filing a patent on the genome, NIH-funded Sequoia Pharmaceuticals filed a patent for the vaccine on the virus invented a mere three days earlier. At the same time, in violation of 15 USC § 19 Dr. Fauci was appointed to a board position with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (a competitor in vaccine manufacturing) thereby beginning the interlocking directorate¹ anti-trust crime.

In 2005, the DARPA and MITRE hosted a conference in which the intentions of the U.S. Department of Defense was explicit. In a presentation focused on “Synthetic Coronaviruses Biohacking: Biological Warfare Enabling Technologies”, Dr. Baric presented the malleability of CoV as a biological warfare agent. Violating 18 USC § 175 and inducing the non-competitive market allocation (violating 15 USC § 8) for years to follow, Dr. Baric and the U.S. Department of Defense spent over \$45 million in amplifying the toxicity of CoV and its chimeric derivatives.

From 2011 until the alleged COVID-19 pandemic, Dr. Fauci has routinely lamented about the inadequacy of public funding for his vaccine programs and the public’s general unwillingness to succumb to his insistence that everyone MUST be vaccinated against influenza. Despite repeated appropriations to advance vaccine dependency, his efforts have been largely unsuccessful. NIAID – under Dr. Fauci’s direct authorization – encouraged UNC Chapel Hill and Dr. Baric’s lab to ignore the GoF moratorium in a letter dated October 21, 2014. At that time, Drs. Fauci, Baric and EcoHealthAlliance’s Peter Daszak were in possession of an extremely dangerous Chinese pathogen identified a year earlier in Wuhan.²

While many illegal acts were committed by the conspirators leading up to 2015, the domestic terrorism program (in violation of 18 USC § 2339) was announced by NIAID-funded Daszak at the National Academy of Sciences. Here, he announced what was to become the domestic and global terrorism event branded COVID-19.

¹ We note that gain-of-function specialist, Dr. Ralph Baric, was both the recipient of millions of dollars of U.S. research grants from several federal agencies and sat on the World Health Organization’s International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) and the *Coronaviridae* Study Group (CSG). In this capacity, he was both responsible for determining “novelty” of clades of virus species but directly benefitted from determining declarations of novelty in the form of new research funding authorizations and associated patenting and commercial collaboration. Together with CDC, NIAID, WHO, academic and commercial parties (including Johnson & Johnson; Sanofi and their several coronavirus patent-holding biotech companies; Moderna; Pfizer; Merck; BioNTech; AstraZeneca; Janssen; Ridgeback; Gilead (Dr. Baric’s alter ego); Sherlock Biosciences; and others), a powerful group of interests constituted what are “interlocking directorates” under U.S. anti-trust laws. Further, most of these entities, including the Federal Government ones violated 35 USC § 200-206 by failing to disclose Federal Government interest in the remedies proposed.

These entities were affiliated with the WHO’s Global Preparedness Monitoring Board (GPMB) whose members were instrumental in the Open Philanthropy-funded global coronavirus pandemic “desk-top” exercise EVENT 201 in October 2019. This event, funded by the principal investor in Sherlock Biosciences (a beneficiary of the SARS CoV-2 EUA for CRISPR technology) and linking interlocking funding partner, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation into the GPMB mandated a respiratory disease global preparedness exercise to be completed by September 2020 and alerted us to anticipate an “epidemic” scenario. We expected to see such a scenario emerge from Wuhan or Guangdong China, northern Italy, Seattle, New York or a combination thereof, as Dr. Zhengli Shi and Dr. Baric’s work on zoonotic transmission of coronavirus identified overlapping mutations in coronavirus in bat populations located in these areas.

² By October 2013, the Wuhan Institute of Virology 1 coronavirus S1 spike protein was described in NIAID’s funded work in China. This work involved NIAID, USAID, and Peter Daszak, the head of EcoHealth Alliance. This work, funded under R01AI079231, was pivotal in isolating and manipulating viral fragments selected from sites across China which contained high risk for severe human response. (Ge, XY., Li, JL., Yang, XL. *et al.* Isolation and characterization of a bat SARS-like coronavirus that uses the ACE2 receptor. *Nature* **503**, 535–538 (2013).) The GoF work NIAID allowed to persist in the face of the moratorium was Dr. Baric’s work with this pathogen

*"...until an infectious disease crisis is very real, present, and at an emergency threshold, it is often largely ignored. **To sustain the funding base beyond the crisis, he said, we need to increase public understanding of the need for MCMs such as a pan-influenza or pan-coronavirus vaccine. A key driver is the media, and the economics follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profit at the end of process, Daszak stated.**"*³

It is not surprising that one year later NIAID's funding paid off with Dr. Baric's lab announcing that the Wuhan-derived pathogen was "poised for human emergence".⁴

Knowing that the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (through CDC, NIH, NIAID, and their funded laboratories and commercial partners) had patents on each proposed element of medical counter measures and their funding, Dr. Fauci, Dr. Gao (China CDC), and Dr. Elias (Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation) conspired to commit acts of terror on the global population – including the citizens of the United States – when, in September 2019, they published the following mandate in **A World At Risk**:

"Countries, donors and multilateral institutions must be prepared for the worst. A rapidly spreading pandemic due to a lethal respiratory pathogen (whether naturally emergent or accidentally or deliberately released) poses additional preparedness requirements. Donors and multilateral institutions must ensure adequate investment in developing innovative vaccines and therapeutics, surge manufacturing capacity, broad-spectrum antivirals and appropriate non-pharmaceutical interventions. All countries must develop a system for immediately sharing genome sequences of any new pathogen for public health purposes along with the means to share limited medical countermeasures across countries.

Progress indicator(s) by September 2020

- *Donors and countries commit and identify timelines for: financing and development of a universal influenza vaccine, broad spectrum antivirals, and targeted therapeutics. WHO and its Member States develop options for standard procedures and timelines for sharing of sequence data, specimens, and medical countermeasures for pathogens other than influenza.*
- *Donors, countries and multilateral institutions develop a multi-year plan and approach for strengthening R&D research capacity, in advance of and during an epidemic.*
- *WHO, the United Nations Children's Fund, the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, academic and other partners identify strategies for increasing capacity and integration of social science approaches and researchers across the entire preparedness/response continuum."*⁵

As if to confirm the utility of the September 2019 demand for "financing and development of" vaccine and the fortuitous SARS CoV-2 alleged outbreak in December of 2019, Dr. Fauci began gloating that his fortunes for

³ Forum on Medical and Public Health Preparedness for Catastrophic Events; Forum on Drug Discovery, Development, and Translation; Forum on Microbial Threats; Board on Health Sciences Policy; Board on Global Health; Institute of Medicine; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Rapid Medical Countermeasure Response to Infectious Diseases: Enabling Sustainable Capabilities Through Ongoing Public- and Private-Sector Partnerships: Workshop Summary. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2016 Feb 12. 6, Developing MCMs for Coronaviruses. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK349040/>

⁴ Menachery VD, Yount BL Jr, Sims AC, Debbink K, Agnihothram SS, Gralinski LE, Graham RL, Scobey T, Plante JA, Royal SR, Swanstrom J, Sheahan TP, Pickles RJ, Corti D, Randell SH, Lanzavecchia A, Marasco WA, Baric RS. 2016. SARS-like WIV1-CoV poised for human emergence. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.** 2016 Mar 14. pii: 201517719


⁵ https://apps.who.int/gpmb/assets/annual_report/GPMB_annualreport_2019.pdf (page 8)

additional funding were likely changing for the better. In a February 2020 interview in **STAT**, he was quoted as follows:

*"The emergence of the new virus is going to change that figure, likely considerably, Fauci said. "I don't know how much it's going to be. But I think it's going to generate more sustained interest in coronaviruses because it's very clear that coronaviruses can do really interesting things."*⁶

In November 2019 – one month before the alleged "outbreak" in Wuhan, Moderna entered into a material transfer agreement – brokered by the Vaccine Research Center at NIAID (at which UNC Chapel Hill alum Dr. Kizzy Corbett worked) – to access Dr. Baric's Spike Protein data to commence vaccine development. In his own written statement obtained by the **Financial Times**, he refers to this agreement as being the foundation for the mRNA Moderna vaccine.⁷

To finalize the nature of the racketeering and anti-trust criminal conspiracy, when it came time to commercialize the NIH and DARPA owned spike protein and pass it off as a "vaccine" (in conflict with the standard for vaccines in statutory and scientific application), the Operation Warp Speed contract was awarded to DoD contraction ATI, a subsidiary of ANSER. In a graph reminiscent of the anti-trust hearings at the formation of the Clayton Act in the early 20th century, the identity of the interlocking conflicts of interests are presented in graphic relief. It is with no surprise that the result of this price-fixing conspiracy was the enrichment of the conspiring parties and the harm of consumers.



⁶ <https://www.statnews.com/2020/02/10/fluctuating-funding-and-flagging-interest-hurt-coronavirus-research/>

⁷ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32756549/>

Indeed, ***the money followed the hype*** and they ***used the hype to get to the real issues***. ***Investors follow where they see profit at the end of the process.***

And real Americans are dying each day because a criminal organization unleashed terror resulting in the deaths of Americans.

18 U.S.C. § 2331 §§ 802 – Acts of Domestic Terrorism resulting in death of American Citizens

Pub. L. No. 107-52 expanded the definition of terrorism to cover "domestic," as opposed to international, terrorism. A person engages in domestic terrorism if they do an act "dangerous to human life" that is a violation of the criminal laws of a state or the United States, if the act appears to be intended to: (i) intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii) influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion;

Every single Act, the declaration of the State of Emergency, the Emergency Use Authorization, the fraudulent face masks, the business closures, and the OSHA and CMS vaccine mandates are ALL admitted by the conspirators to be acts to coerce the population into taking a vaccine. Further, these acts disrupted the democracy of the United States of American and resulted in the violation of 18 USC § 2384. The conspirators announced it in 2015, then prepared the pathogen in 2016, and laid out the terror campaign in September 2019. And now they profit from the death of Americans.

JUSTIA

There Is a Newer Version of this Chapt... ▾

[View Our Newest Version Here](#) >

2022 Revised Code of Washington Title 69 - Food, Drugs, Cosmetics, and Poisons Chapter 69.40 - Poisons and Dangerous Drugs.

[< Previous](#)

[Next >](#)

- 69.40.010 - Poison in edible products.
- 69.40.015 - Poison in edible products—Penalty.
- 69.40.020 - Poison in milk or food products—Penalty.
- 69.40.025 - Supplementary to existing laws—Enforcement.
- 69.40.030 - Placing poison or other harmful object or substance in food, drinks, medicine, or water—Penalty.
- 69.40.055 - Selling repackaged poison without labeling—Penalty.

NOTES:

Pharmacists: Chapter 18.64 RCW.

Poison information centers: Chapter 18.76 RCW.

Poisoning animals—Strychnine sales: RCW 16.52.190 and 16.52.193.

Washington pesticide application act: Chapter 17.21 RCW.

[< Previous](#)

[Next >](#)

Disclaimer: These codes may not be the most recent version. Washington may have more current or accurate information. We make no warranties or guarantees about the accuracy, completeness, or adequacy of the information contained on this site or the information linked to on the state site. Please check official sources.

This site is protected by reCAPTCHA and the Google Privacy Policy and Terms of Service apply.

RCW 69.40.030

Placing poison or other harmful object or substance in food, drinks, medicine, or water —Penalty.

(1) Every person who willfully mingles poison or places any harmful object or substance, including but not limited to pins, tacks, needles, nails, razor blades, wire, or glass in any food, drink, medicine, or other edible substance intended or prepared for the use of a human being or who shall knowingly furnish, with intent to harm another person, any food, drink, medicine, or other edible substance containing such poison or harmful object or substance to another human being, and every person who willfully poisons any spring, well, or reservoir of water, is guilty of a class B felony and shall be punished by imprisonment in a state correctional facility for not less than five years or by a fine of not less than one thousand dollars.

(2) *This act shall not apply to the employer or employers of a person who violates this section without such employer's knowledge.

[2003 c 53 s 321; 1992 c 7 s 48; 1973 c 119 s 1; 1909 c 249 s 264; RRS s 2516. Prior: Code 1881 s 802; 1873 p 185 s 27; 1869 p 202 s 25; 1854 p 79 s 25.]

NOTES:

***Reviser's note:** "this act" refers to the 1973 c 119 s 1 amendment to this section.

Intent—Effective date—2003 c 53: See notes following RCW 2.48.180.

Vaccine	Contains	Source: Manufacturer's P.I. Dated
Influenza (FluMist) Quadrivalent	ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA), monosodium glutamate, hydrolyzed porcine gelatin, arginine, sucrose, dibasic potassium phosphate, monobasic potassium phosphate, gentamicin sulfate, egg protein	July 2013
Japanese Encephalitis (Ixiaro)	aluminum hydroxide, Vero cells, protamine sulfate, formaldehyde, bovine serum albumin, sodium metabisulphite, sucrose	May 2013
Meningococcal (MCV4- Menactra)	formaldehyde, phosphate buffers, Mueller Hinton agar, Watson Scherp media, Modified Mueller and Miller medium, detergent, alcohol, ammonium sulfate	April 2013
Meningococcal (MCV4- Menveo)	formaldehyde, amino acids, yeast extract, Franz complete medium, CY medium	August 2013
Meningococcal (MPSV4- Menomune)	thimerosal (multi-dose vial only), lactose, Mueller Hinton casein agar, Watson Scherp media, detergent, alcohol	April 2013
Meningococcal (MenB – Bexsero)	aluminum hydroxide, <i>E. coli</i> , histidine, sucrose, deoxycholate, kanomycin	2015
Meningococcal (MenB – Trumenba)	polysorbate 80, histidine, <i>E. coli</i> , fermentation growth media	October 2015
MMR (MMR-II)	Medium 199 (vitamins, amino acids, fetal bovine serum, sucrose, glutamate), Minimum Essential Medium, phosphate, recombinant human albumin, neomycin, sorbitol, hydrolyzed gelatin, chick embryo cell culture, WI-38 human diploid lung fibroblasts	June 2014
MMRV (ProQuad)	sucrose, hydrolyzed gelatin, sorbitol, monosodium L-glutamate, sodium phosphate dibasic, human albumin, sodium bicarbonate, potassium phosphate monobasic, potassium chloride, potassium phosphate dibasic, neomycin, bovine calf serum, chick embryo cell culture, WI-38 human diploid lung fibroblasts, MRC-5 cells	March 2014
Pneumococcal (PCV13 – Prevnar 13)	casamino acids, yeast, ammonium sulfate, Polysorbate 80, succinate buffer, aluminum phosphate, soy peptone broth	January 2014
Pneumococcal (PPSV-23 – Pneumovax)	phenol	May 2014
Polio (IPV – Ipol)	2-phenoxyethanol, formaldehyde, neomycin, streptomycin, polymyxin B, monkey kidney cells, Eagle MEM modified medium, calf serum protein, Medium 199	May 2013
Rabies (Imovax)	Human albumin, neomycin sulfate, phenol red indicator, MRC-5 human diploid cells, beta-propiolactone	April 2013
Rabies (RabAvert)	β -propiolactone, potassium glutamate, chicken protein, egg protein, neomycin, chlortetracycline, amphotericin B, human serum albumin, polygeline (processed bovine gelatin), sodium EDTA, bovine serum	March 2012
Rotavirus (RotaTeq)	sucrose, sodium citrate, sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate, sodium hydroxide, polysorbate 80, cell culture media, fetal bovine serum, vero cells [DNA from porcine circoviruses (PCV) 1 and 2 has been detected in RotaTeq. PCV-1 and PCV-2 are not known to cause disease in humans.]	June 2013
Rotavirus (Rotarix)	amino acids, dextran, sorbitol, sucrose, calcium carbonate, xanthan, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (potassium chloride, magnesium sulfate, ferric (III) nitrate, sodium phosphate, sodium pyruvate, D-glucose, concentrated vitamin solution, L-cystine, L-tyrosine, amino acids solution, L-glutamine, calcium chloride, sodium hydrogenocarbonate, and phenol red) [Porcine circovirus type 1 (PCV-1) is present in Rotarix. PCV-1 is not known to cause disease in humans.]	May 2014
Smallpox (Vaccinia – ACAM2000)	human serum albumin, mannitol, neomycin, glycerin, polymyxin B, phenol, Vero cells, HEPES	September 2009

B

Vaccine Excipient & Media Summary

Excipients Included in U.S. Vaccines, by Vaccine


This table includes not only vaccine ingredients (e.g., adjuvants and preservatives), but also substances used during the manufacturing process, including vaccine-production media, that are removed from the final product and present only in trace quantities.
In addition to the substances listed, most vaccines contain Sodium Chloride (table salt).

Last Updated February 2015

All reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the accuracy of this information, but manufacturers may change product contents before that information is reflected here. If in doubt, check the manufacturer's package insert.

Vaccine	Contains	Source: Manufacturer's P.I. Dated
Adenovirus	sucrose, D-mannose, D-fructose, dextrose, potassium phosphate, plasdone C, anhydrous lactose, micro crystalline cellulose, polacrillin potassium, magnesium stearate, cellulose acetate phthalate, alcohol, acetone, castor oil, FD&C Yellow #6 aluminum lake dye, human serum albumin, fetal bovine serum, sodium bicarbonate, human-diploid fibroblast cell cultures (WI-38), Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium, monosodium glutamate	March 2011
Anthrax (Biothrax)	aluminum hydroxide, benzethonium chloride, formaldehyde, amino acids, vitamins, inorganic salts and sugars	May 2012
BCG (Tice)	glycerin, asparagine, citric acid, potassium phosphate, magnesium sulfate, Iron ammonium citrate, lactose	February 2009
DT (Sanofi)	aluminum potassium sulfate, peptone, bovine extract, formaldehyde, thimerosal (trace), modified Mueller and Miller medium, ammonium sulfate	December 2005
DTaP (Daptacel)	aluminum phosphate, formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, 2-Phenoxyethanol, Stainer-Scholte medium, modified Mueller's growth medium, modified Mueller-Miller casamino acid medium (without beef heart infusion), dimethyl 1-beta-cyclodextrin, ammonium sulfate	October 2013
DTaP (Infanrix)	formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, aluminum hydroxide, polysorbate 80, Fenton medium (containing bovine extract), modified Latham medium (derived from bovine casein), modified Stainer-Scholte liquid medium	November 2013
DTaP-IPV (Kinrix)	formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, aluminum hydroxide, Vero (monkey kidney) cells, calf serum, lactalbumin hydrolysate, polysorbate 80, neomycin sulfate, polymyxin B, Fenton medium (containing bovine extract), modified Latham medium (derived from bovine casein), modified Stainer-Scholte liquid medium	November 2013
DTaP-HepB-IPV (Pediarix)	formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, aluminum hydroxide, aluminum phosphate, lactalbumin hydrolysate, polysorbate 80, neomycin sulfate, polymyxin B, yeast protein, calf serum, Fenton medium (containing bovine extract), modified Latham medium (derived from bovine casein), modified Stainer-Scholte liquid medium, Vero (monkey kidney) cells	November 2013
DTaP-IPV/Hib (Pentacel)	aluminum phosphate, polysorbate 80, formaldehyde, sucrose, glutaraldehyde, bovine serum albumin, 2-phenoxyethanol, neomycin, polymyxin B sulfate, Mueller's Growth Medium, Mueller-Miller casamino acid medium (without beef heart infusion), Stainer-Scholte medium (modified by the addition of casamino acids and dimethyl-beta-cyclodextrin), MRC-5 (human diploid) cells, CMRL 1969 medium (supplemented with calf serum), ammonium sulfate, and medium 199	October 2013
Hib (ActHIB)	ammonium sulfate, formalin, sucrose, Modified Mueller and Miller medium	January 2014
Hib (Hiberix)	formaldehyde, lactose, semi-synthetic medium	March 2012
Hib (PedvaxHIB)	aluminum hydroxophosphate sulfate, ethanol, enzymes, phenol, detergent, complex fermentation medium	December 2010

The Reynolds Metals
Company aluminum
reduction plant at
Akwesasne, New York.
HENRY LICKERS





Mohawk child at the
Akwesasne reservation in
New York, with evidence of
fluoride-poisoned teeth.
PROFESSOR LENNART KROOK

Rebuck David. 9.

8/1/81



National Fluoridation News, a newspaper edited by George and Edith Waldbott, which connected the vigorous anti-fluoridation movement during the 1960s and 1970s.



Fluoride poisoned teeth, examples of what is known as "dental fluorosis." DR. HARDY LIMEBACK