



Anne McEnerny-Ogle, Mayor
Bart Hansen · Ty Stober · Linda Glover
Laurie Lebowsky · Erik Paulsen · Sarah J. Fox

September 27, 2021 - Vancouver City Council Meeting Minutes

WORKSHOPS

Workshops were conducted remotely over video conference utilizing a GoToMeeting platform. Members of the public were invited to view the meeting via the live broadcast on www.cvtv.org and CVTV cable channels 23 or HD 323, or on the City's Facebook page, www.facebook.com/VancouverUS, or listen via the GoToMeeting conference call.

Councilmember Stober arrived at 4:29 p.m.

4:00-5:00 p.m. Vancouver Parks, Recreation & Cultural Services Comprehensive Plan 2022-2032 Update

David Perlick, Parks and Recreation Interim Director, 360-487-8309; Monica Tubberville, Senior Planner, 360-487-8353; Laura Hoggatt, Planner

Summary

Staff provided an overview of the most recent work on the process to update the City's Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Comprehensive Plan for 2022-2032.

5:00-6:00 p.m. Diversity, Equity & Inclusion Update

Alicia Sojourner, Director of Diversity, Equity & Inclusion, 360-487-8618

Summary

Staff provided Council with an overview the City's Diversity, Equity and Inclusion program and previewed work to come.

COUNCIL CONSENT AGENDA MEETING

This meeting was conducted remotely over video conference utilizing a GoToMeeting platform. Members of the public were invited to view the meeting via the live broadcast on www.cvtv.org and CTV cable channels 23 or HD 323, or on the City's Facebook page, www.facebook.com/VancouverUS. Public access and testimony on Consent Agenda items and under the Community Forum were also facilitated via the GoToMeeting conference call.

Call to Order and Roll Call

The consent agenda meeting of the Vancouver City Council was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by Mayor McEnerny-Ogle. This meeting was conducted remotely over video conference.

Present: Councilmembers Fox, Paulsen, Lebowsky Glover, Stober, Hansen, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle

Absent: None

Proclamation: Good Neighbor Day

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle read and presented a proclamation to Judi Bailey, Office of Neighborhoods Coordinator, proclaiming September 28, 2021, as Good Neighbor Day.

Community Communication (Items 1-12)

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle opened Community Communication and received the following testimony:

- Glen Yung, Vancouver, spoke in support of the Fourth Plain Commons Project but expressed concerns about waiving Park Impact Fees without backfilling that funding through the City's general fund. He also spoke in support of Item 8 but noted an exhibit was missing from published contract.
- Siobhana McEwan, representing Council for the Homeless, spoke in support of Item 8 and urged the City to support the work of Outsiders Inn.

There being no further testimony, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle closed Community Communication.

Consent Agenda (Items 1-12)

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle pulled Item 10 to return to staff for further development.

Council requested Items 4, 7, and 8 be pulled for discussion.

Regarding Item 4, Councilmember Stober thanked staff for taking this agreement back to WSDOT to negotiate terms for mutual indemnification.

Motion by Councilmember Stober, seconded by Councilmember Glover, and carried unanimously to approve Item 4.

Regarding Item 7, Councilmember Stober expressed concerns about the size of the impact fees being waived and asked if there was any opportunity for that amount to be covered elsewhere, considering there are a number of partners involved in the project.

City Manager Eric Holmes noted the City's ordinance on impact fee waivers does not differentiate between different funding types or project details. He noted because of the volatility in the construction environment, this project has experienced cost increases that have made the budget tighter.

Councilmember Stober requested Council and staff further explore generally how to be more proactive regarding how to replace funds that are waived in these situations.

Regarding Item 8, Councilmember Stober stated he wants to clarify that in the system of homelessness response, the City of Vancouver is not the entity assigned by the state with the responsibility for homeless services or housing, but the City has proactively made the decision to use general fund and CDBG funding, and Vancouver residents have authorized the Affordable Housing Fund, to make investments in homelessness services as a commitment to the community and unhoused residents.

Councilmember Fox requested Item 8 be moved to later in the agenda after Council has an opportunity to review the exhibit that was missing from the advance documents.

Motion by Councilmember Fox, seconded by Councilmember Stober, and carried unanimously to move Item 8 to follow the Community Forum.

Motion by Councilmember Hansen, seconded by Councilmember Stober, and carried unanimously to approve Items 1-3, 5-7, 9, and 11-12.

- 1. Bid Award - Southside Interceptor CIPP Sewer Rehabilitation Phase 2**

Staff Report 136-21

Summary

This project will rehabilitate approximately 2,800 linear feet of existing 36-inch interceptor sewer mains using the trenchless process of Cured-In-Place-Pipe (CIPP). The Southside Interceptor is part of the backbone of the sewer system that transports wastewater to the Westside Reclamation Facility. This project is the result of an interceptor condition assessment project completed in 2016 that provided a list of capital projects needed to maintain the system.

On August 31, 2021, the City received 4 bids for the subject project. The bids ranged between \$976,000 and \$1,562,000.00. The bids are as follows:

SUMMARY OF BIDS	
BIDDER	AMOUNT
<i>Allied Plumbing & Pumps, Wenatchee, WA</i>	<i>\$976,348.10</i>
<i>Iron Horse, LLC, Farview, OR</i>	<i>\$1,390,249.56</i>
<i>Michels Corporation, Salem, OR</i>	<i>\$1,531,673.89</i>
<i>Insituform Technologies LLC, Chesterfield, MO</i>	<i>\$1,561,384.44</i>
<i>Engineers' Estimate</i>	<i>\$1,440,000.00</i>

An Apprenticeship Program Waiver Request was approved for this project. A copy of the waiver request is attached. From experience with past similar CIPP projects the City has confirmed that for rehabilitation projects that utilize CIPP trenchless technology, the labor hours and subsequent labor costs required to complete the project are very low compared to the higher cost of the materials needed. Using the projects that appear in the waiver request, the Central Van North project, which was built with traditional open-trench digging methods, had approximately 47% labor costs and 53% materials/other costs. The Southside Interceptor CIPP project had approximately 14% labor costs and 86% materials/other costs.

Following the City's current apprenticeship policy, this project meets both of the criteria for waiver of an apprenticeship requirement: the project is a high materials cost but low labor hours project, and the contractor will only be allowed 30 working days to complete the work.

Request: Award a contract contract for the Southside Interceptor Phase 2 - CIPP project to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, Allied Plumbing & Pumps of Wenatchee, WA at their bid price of \$976,348.10, which includes Washington State sales tax.

Sheryl Hale, Senior Engineering Supervisor, 360-487-7151

Motion approved the request.

2. Approval of Cost Increase to Contract No. C-100466 Elevator Maintenance and Services

Staff Report 137-21

Summary

On November 23, 2020, City Council approved a five- (5) year contract with George Elevator Service, LLC for elevator preventative maintenance, on-call services and new installation/additions or required upgrades to the elevator systems with a “not to exceed” cost of \$450,000.00. Facilities received an approved capital budget for upgrade improvements for City Hall (3 elevators) and the Marshall Center (1 elevator), for budget years 2021 and 2022. Currently, City Hall Elevator #1 upgrade is complete, and Elevator #2 parts are ordered. The Contract amount of \$450,000 is expected to be exceeded due to the required upgrades for City Hall Elevators #2 & #3 and Marshall Center Elevator upgrades along with the normal monthly maintenance and on-call services.

Request: Approve a Contract Amount increase of \$570,308.52 for Contract No. C-100466 Elevator Maintenance and Services and authorize the City Manager, or his designee, to increase the total Contract Amount to \$1,020,308.52.

*Michael Shrum, Facilities Contract Services Supervisor,
360-487-8260*

Motion approved the request.

3. Professional Services Agreement Extension & Increase Herrera Environmental Consultants Inc. C-100130

Staff Report 138-21

Summary

The City currently contracts with Herrera Environmental Inc. to conduct water quality monitoring in the Burnt Bridge Creek watershed. The monitoring schedule follows the USGS water-year from October 1 through September 30. The contract includes data review and analysis and a final technical report due in January following the second year of monitoring. The current contract will end January 2022 for data collected September 2019 – October 2021.

To provide for seamless data collection into the next two-year monitoring

cycle, staff is requesting an increase of the professional services contract to \$533,158 to support current and future stormwater management activities by extending the contract through February 15, 2024. This requested increased amount is approved in the Surface Water capital budget.

Request: Authorize the City Manager or designee to execute a contract amendment increasing the maximum amount payable to Herrera Environmental Consultants Inc. for professional services by \$277,624 to a not-to-exceed contract amount of \$533,158.

Annette Griffy, Engineering Program Manager, 360-487-7190; Kris Olinger, Senior Civil Engineer, 360-487-7188

Motion approved the request.

4. Intergovernmental Agreement for Interstate 5 Bridge Replacement Project

Staff Report 139-21

Summary

The IBRP has begun the process to develop design options for replacement of an Interstate 5 bridge and associated elements. City of Vancouver staff are participating in this process through regularly scheduled staff level group meetings and support for community and executive leadership meetings. Additionally, staff is participating in communication efforts, transportation planning, environmental review as defined through federal processes, transit planning/engineering, and design engineering. These efforts in addition to administration of staff engagement are further defined in Attachment C, Exhibit A.

The attached revised Intergovernmental Agreement addresses the concerns raised by Council at the August 2, 2021 session by indemnifying both City of Vancouver and WSDOT equally (Section 8). Specifically:

The language that now appears within Section 8.4 was previously the only indemnity language in the agreement. This imposed an obligation on the planning agency (the City) to indemnify and defend WSDOT and ODOT and their respective officials.

In response to Council's feedback, a new section (8.3) was added which requires WSDOT to also defend and indemnify the City and its officials for any liability arising from WSDOT's work on this project.

In addition, the revised Intergovernmental Agreement:

- *Changes the City of Vancouver's status from 'subrecipient' (of Program reimbursement funds) to 'vendor'. Per Federal Highways Administration direction, every City WSDOT enters into a contract with is considered a vendor, not a subrecipient, of federal funds. This means that the federal funding subrecipient requirements do not flow through WSDOT to City of Vancouver (new section 1.3 clarifies this and CFR references in 3.1, 6.3, and 15.1 in the August 2, 2021 version of the Agreement have been deleted, since these are requirements for subrecipients).*
- *Revises the total authorized reimbursement amount to include an increase in the Limited Notice to Proceed amount (see: Attachment C, Exhibit A). The increase is included to correctly capture costs accrued for consultant work that the City has commissioned for review of traffic modeling.*

Request: Authorize the City Manager to execute the intergovernmental agreement with the Washington State Department of Transportation.

Katherine Kelly, Senior Policy Advisor, 360-487-7947

Motion approved the request.

5. Name Recommendation for New Park at 52nd Street and 137th Avenue

Staff Report 140-21

A RESOLUTION naming the neighborhood park informally known as "North Image Park" at 52nd Street and 137th Avenue, on the parcel located at 5009 NE 135th Avenue, Vancouver, Washington 98682, as "Nikkei Park."

Summary

Prior to development, the park had been referred to as North Image Park simply based on its geographic location within the North Image neighborhood in east Vancouver. The name "Image" was researched to ensure a critical and relevant piece of history was not overlooked, and a committee member found that the name originated from Lewis and Clark, used to describe Hayden Island, then became associated with an area along the Columbia River near SE 88th Street. While the Corps of Discovery Expedition is certainly an important part of our region's history, it is already well-represented and remembered through a wide variety of landmarks, areas, buildings and other institutions throughout Vancouver.

Today, the neighborhood surrounding the park site is very diverse. Based on the most recent school data, 47.4% of students at the nearby elementary

school identify as a race or ethnicity other than white. The PNC believes that naming government parks, buildings, and structures is an important task that should be thought provoking, educational and encourage representational naming where there is a logical connection. Naming the new park after the Japanese Americans who farmed in that area prior to incarceration during World War II seems like a geographically and historically appropriate recognition.

While Nochi Park was a strong contender due to its connection to farmland, "Nikkei Park" received the largest number of votes submitted through the park naming survey (45.9%). A number of survey respondents who identified themselves as Japanese American also stated that Nikkei is the name choice that holds the most significant cultural meaning.

Request: On September 27, 2021, adopt a resolution naming the neighborhood park located at 52nd Street and 137th Avenue "Nikkei Park" and direct staff to update signage as needed.

Melody Burton, Parks and Recreation Communications Specialist, 360-487-8306

Motion adopted Res. M-4144 to approve the request.

6. Ratify Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Between the City of Vancouver and the Vancouver Police Officer's Guild (VPOG) to Implement Policy 701 for a Comprehensive Police Camera Program

Staff Report 141-21

Summary

Over the last several months, the City has been engaged with the community via the Community Task Force on Policing to explore a range of policy and operational changes within VPD, including establishing a police camera program. This community engagement informed the City's development of a new camera program policy for VPD, which is the foundation for bargaining contract terms with the Police Officer's Guild to implement a program. The resulting policy and contract terms establish management and employee rights and responsibilities, community rights and protections, as well as compensation for officers associated with establishment and deployment of a camera program.

Based on this, the City of Vancouver and the VPOG jointly wish to implement a comprehensive police camera program, to include body-worn and in-car cameras, for purposes of enhancing the safety of police officers and building transparency with the public, along with increasing the

availability and protection of evidence during civil, administrative, and criminal investigations.

The camera program is anticipated to be fully implemented in the second quarter of 2022.

Request: Ratify a Memorandum of Understanding between the City of Vancouver and the Vancouver Police Officers Guild regarding the police camera program.

Lisa Takach, Human Resources Director, 360-487-8611

Motion approved the request.

7. Fourth Plain Commons 80% Impact fee Waiver

Staff Report 142-21

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of Vancouver, Washington, approving a partial exemption of the collection of Traffic Impact fees in the amount of \$70,624.80 and Park Impact Fees in the amount of \$147,467.20 for the Fourth Plain Commons low-income housing development.

Summary

In 2016 Council approved Ordinance M-4154 allowing a partial exemption or full waiver of transportation and parks impact fees to spur affordable housing projects. A waiver of the school district impact fees is only available upon approval by the school district in which the project is located.

For residential developments of four or more units Council may, by resolution, partially exempt up to 80% of the transportation and park impact fees or fully waive 100% of the impact fees. If Council only partially exempts the development there is no requirement to pay the exempted portion of the fee from public funds. If Council fully waives the development from impact fees, the remaining 20% of the waived fee must be paid from public funds other than impact fee accounts.

Fourth Plain Commons

The Fourth Plain Community Commons is a mixed-use development that will include both housing and community space. This project is a collaboration between the Vancouver Housing Authority (VHA) and the City of Vancouver. The Vancouver Housing Authority plans to own and operate the apartments located on the upper floors. The City of Vancouver will

develop the ground floor space and partner with community-based organizations to operate it.

The project site is located at 2200 Norris Road at the intersection of Fourth Plain Boulevard and Norris Road. The proposal is to build 106 new income-restricted housing units as part of a mixed use development. The residential unit types will include studios up to three bedrooms restricted for low-income households and individuals. The project is using Vancouver affordable housing funds that restrict tenants to those earning 50 percent or less of the area median income. At 50% of AMI the maximum income for a family of four would be \$48,350. The 30% monthly housing expense limit for rent and utilities would be \$1,209.

This waiver request is for an 80% partial impact fee exemption of City of Vancouver Transportation and Park impact fees.

The transportation impact fees are based on the new vehicle trips generated and designated fee subarea. The Columbia impact fee subarea requires \$180 per new average daily trip. The new trips generated from the residential portion of the development are 577. After a 15% tax reduction the total impact fee for the residential units is \$88,281 (Exhibit A). After an 80% waiver of \$70,624.80 the remaining transportation impact fee paid by the developer would be \$17,656.20.

Park impact fees are based on the number of residential units and the park district subarea. The site is located within Park District B, which has a rate of \$1,739 per unit. The total park impact fee is \$184,334 (Exhibit A). After an 80% reduction of \$147,467.20, the remaining required park impact fee would be \$36,866.80.

School impact fees are based on the number of residential units and the school district subarea. The project site is located within the Vancouver School District which requires \$2,381.93 per multi-family unit. The Vancouver School District has declined to waive impact fees in this case. All impact fees are due prior to the issuance of building permits.

Request: Adopt a resolution to exempt 80% of Transportation and Park impact fees for the Fourth Plain Commons low-income housing development.

*Peggy Sheehan, Community Development Programs
Manager, 360-487-7952*

Motion adopted Res. M-4145 to approve the request.

**8. Professional Services Agreement with Outsiders Inn for
Management of Supportive Campsite**

Staff Report 143-21

Summary

An estimated 500-600 individuals reside in tents or vehicles within the City of Vancouver, which poses significant health and safety risks to the individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness, as well as the rest of the community and the environment. At this time, our community does not have an adequate supply of shelter or housing options to meet the growing need. This Council has observed that many individuals who camp on public property do so, not by choice, but due to a lack of financial means to afford adequate shelter. These individuals are adversely mentally and physically impacted by camping. Single female camp occupants experience a disproportionately high incidence of violent crime as compared to other people. Families with children who camp as a result of a lack of adequate shelter are also disproportionately adversely impacted through risk of physical danger and impediments to childhood education.

Simultaneously, Council has also observed that the City's sensitive ecological areas are adversely impacted by adverse secondary impacts of camping. The City's sensitive waterways, water treatment facilities, stormwater and wastewater facilities are particularly vulnerable to impacts of illegal dumping and improper disposal of human waste. Additionally, individuals living outdoors in greenways and wooded areas, who utilize fire as a source of heat, or to cook with, increase the potential for wildfire in those areas, particularly during our dry/summer months.

In an effort to reduce the aforementioned negative impacts of unsheltered homelessness, provide for greater safety, stability, and access to needed services for individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness, and to remain in compliance with Martin v. Boise, staff has proposed, and this Council has approved, the establishment of City-sponsored supportive campsites in designated areas. These campsites will be managed and supported by a contracted service provider who will work to:

- ensure safety and sanitation within and immediately surrounding the designated campsite,*
- assist camp residents with obtaining stability, accessing needed resources, and transitioning into a more permanent housing situation, and*
- proactively engage with the surrounding neighborhood(s) to mitigate potential negative impacts to the neighborhood, facilitate neighborhood participation and volunteer opportunities within the campsite, and encourage participation in neighborhood/community events, neighborhood association meetings, etc. amongst camp residents.*

Request: Award a professional services contract to Outsiders Inn of Vancouver, WA, and authorize the City Manager or designee to execute a contract for delivery of supportive services and site

management at city-sanctioned supportive campsite in an amount not to exceed \$571,148.00 over the life of the contract.

Jamie Spinelli, Homeless Resource Coordinator, 360-487-8610

9. **Approval of Project Policy Charter for New Public Works Operations Center**

Staff Report 144-21

Summary

The City of Vancouver Public Works Operations Center is the maintenance hub for providing these essential public services for the City of Vancouver and the surrounding urban area. Existing facilities are inadequate to serve the current and forecasted population, are vulnerable to moderate seismic events and do not meet current minimum building code standards. The existing Operations site at Fourth Plain Blvd and General Andersen cannot be efficiently or economically restructured to meet present and future needs.

The purpose of the New Operations Center Project is to meet service levels for public works, provide efficiency of operations, modernization of services, and serve as an Emergency Operations Center (EOC).

Request: Ratify the project policy charter, September 2021 version 1, for the New Public Works Operations Center.

Jennifer Belknap Williamson, Public Works Director, 360-487-7131; Jean Singer, Capital Facilities Projects Manager, 360-487-6740

Motion approved the request.

10. **Adoption of Rules and Regulations Document for Pearson Airfield**

Staff Report 145-21

A RESOLUTION relating to the adoption of the Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations providing for severability and an effective date.

Summary

Vancouver Municipal Code section 10.05.030 requires and references a separate "Rules and Regulations" document containing guidance and direction for the management and use of Pearson Airfield.

The previous Vancouver Municipal Code, Title 10.05, contained codification of many operational rules for Pearson Airfield. Recent City Council changes to VMC Title 10.05 moved many of these operational rules out of code and into the proposed “Rules and Regulations” document. The recently revised code includes a requirement for the “Rules and Regulations” document to be adopted by Council resolution. This change creates a more streamlined way for the “Rules and Regulations” to be changed in the future when needed.

Request: Adopt a resolution adopting the proposed “Pearson Field Airport – Rules and Regulations.”

Jennifer Belknap Williamson, Public Works Director, 360-487-7131; Guy Lennon, Airport Manager, 360-487-8619

Item returned to staff for further development.

11. Addendum to City Manager Employment Agreement

Staff Report 146-21

Summary

Council Policy 100-31A, as well as the employment agreement between the City and the City Manager, calls for an annual performance evaluation and compensation adjustment. Council initiated the Manager Evaluation process in July 2021 and completed the evaluation on September 20, 2021. Based on the evaluation, a compensation adjustment of 10% is reflected in the addendum.

Request: Approve the Addendum to the City Manager Employment Agreement and authorize the Mayor to execute the same.

Jonathan Young, City Attorney, 360-487-8500

Motion approved the request.

12. Approval of Claim Vouchers

Request: Approve claim vouchers for September 27, 2021.

Motion approved claim vouchers for September 27, 2021, in the amount of \$7,828,903.26.

Community Forum

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle opened the Community Forum and received the following testimony:

- Kimberly Bliss, Vancouver, requested the Council consider forgiving the required shared equity on a loan she holds through the City's First Time Homebuyers Program due to personal hardship.
- Elena Cumming, Vancouver, requested the Council consider forgiving the required shared equity on a loan she holds through the City's First Time Homebuyers Program due to personal hardship.
- Dan Packard, Vancouver, thanked the City for improving bike lanes on McLoughlin and Grand Boulevard, and requested safety improvements be made to the 112th Avenue corridor as well.
- Glen Yung, Vancouver, requested an extension of the registration time period for people who wish to testify during Council meetings.
- Kimberlee Elbon, La Center, Washington, expressed concerns about the Local Governments for Sustainability (ICLEI).
- Cathryn Chudy, Vancouver, urged the City to take action as soon as possible to lessen climate impacts driven by fossil fuel carbon emissions.
- Laurel Pascual, Vancouver, expressed concerns about the COVID-19 vaccine and state and federal mandates.
- Wynn Grich, Vancouver, expressed concerns about the COVID-19 vaccine and state and federal mandates.
- Teresa Hardy, Vancouver, expressed concerns about a lack of clarity about how much of the forested area on the Vancouver Innovation Center site will be preserved when the land is developed.
- Ellen Sward, Vancouver, expressed concerns regarding the improvements made along NE 18th Street and urged the City to look for alternative ways of making this corridor more inviting and welcoming for pedestrians.
- Rebecca Duvall, Ridgefield, Washington, expressed concerns about the COVID-19 vaccine and state and federal mandates.

There being no further testimony, Mayor McEnerny-Ogle closed the Community Forum.

8. Professional Services Agreement with Outsiders Inn for Management of Supportive Campsite *(continued)*

Council reviewed Attachment A (attached) to the proposed contract with Outsiders Inn.

Councilmember Fox stated she does not have any further questions on this item.

Motion by Councilmember Fox, seconded by Councilmember Hansen, and carried unanimously to award a professional services contract to Outsiders Inn of Vancouver, WA, and authorize the City Manager or designee to execute a contract for delivery of supportive services and site management at city-sanctioned supportive campsite inn an amount not to exceed \$571,148.00 over the life of the contract.

Adjournment

7:29 p.m.

DocuSigned by:

58CB45C0632F403...
Anne McEnerny-Ogle, Mayor

Attest:

DocuSigned by:

BCF6734E40E04AE...
Natasha Ramras, City Clerk

Meetings of the Vancouver City Council are electronically recorded on audio and video. The audio records are kept on file in the office of the City Clerk for a period of six years.

September 26, 2021

Mayor McEnerny-Ogle
Councilmember Hansen
Councilmember Stober
Mayor Pro Tem Glover

Councilmember Lebowsky
Councilmember Paulson
Councilmember Fox

Subject: Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations

On Monday September 27, 2021 the Vancouver City Council will be considering a resolution for the adoption of Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations, including incorporation of previously adopted Minimum Standards for Commercial Activities at Pearson Field (March 13, 2015, M-3857).

I have reviewed this new combined document as published at <https://vancouvercity.novusagenda.com/agendapublic/CoverSheet.aspx?ItemID=2114&MeetingID=250>, and offer the following recommendations for your consideration prior to conducting a vote on the matter.

RESOLUTION PAGE and ARTICLE 1(D). Previously adopted Minimum Standards for Commercial Activities at Pearson Field are being incorporated into this new document and referenced as "Part II". Repealing the existing document and removing ARTICLE 1(D) sentence 3 to reflect this incorporation is recommended.

ARTICLE 2. As noted in my written comments to the September VMC 10 hearing, Council has approved adoption of inconsistent definitions between the new VMC 10, proposed Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations, and existing Minimum Standards for Commercial Activities at Pearson Field. Additional inconsistencies exist between definitions 5, 12, 13, and 33 in the proposed Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations (Part I) and existing Minimum Standards for Commercial Activities (Part II) now being considered. Combining the two definition sections into one would best harmonize this mashup of documents. Short of that I recommend updating definition 13 to match that in the Minimum Standards section (Part II) of the document, as it has implications for how this document is interpreted for nonprofit organizations operating at Pearson Field Airport.

ARTICLE 5. I recommend updating this article to address ongoing unsafe pedestrian access to movement and operating areas, runway and taxiway obstacle free areas, and proximate grass areas at Pearson Field Airport from adjoining public property, including that under local (city of Vancouver), state (WA DOT) and Federal (NPS) jurisdictions.

ARTICLE 6(G). Per ARTICLE 2 Definitions, this language precludes a taxi picking up or dropping off a passenger in the airport parking lot without Airport Manager approval. This is not a reasonable requirement; I recommend updating accordingly.

ARTICLE 8(B) I recommend removal of sub-bullets (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e). I and all pilots I know completely support and expect responsible and community minded operation of aircraft at Pearson Field. If the city believes there are operations taking place inconsistent with FAA regulations, referral to the FAA Flight Standards District Office for investigation and action can take place. However, I do not believe it is in the best interest of the city, the airport, or the community to co-opt this article from its original intent by including these sub-bullets.

Council should be aware that in April of 2021 the city's interim Pearson advisory group strongly encouraged removal of these sub-bullets, expressing concern over several unintended consequences and unenforceability.

In July of 2021 each of you were advised of this in writing as well. In an April 2021 letter from the then acting public works director, we were advised "*We [city staff] disagree that inclusion [of these sub-bullets] into the Rules and Regulations is of no utility. Disregard or violation of the Rules and Regulations is a path toward the revocation of airport tenancy and/or use privileges. Being able to refer to them in the Rules and Regs document, especially for repeat transgressors, does have utility.*" This response clearly communicated intent to enforce. Since April, the city has received input from the Federal Aviation Administration, Washington Department of Transportation Aviation Division, Washington Pilot's Association, and the national Aircraft Owners and Pilot's Association agreeing with our position regarding unenforceability. Rather than simply removing the sub-bullets the city has chosen to insert wording into Article 17 Penalties for Violation that uniquely nullify the sub-bullets in Article 8(B). This is convoluted and opens the door to future issues due to either misinterpretation or change in intent through future edits.

Concern has also been expressed to the city that unilaterally inserting these bullets is in conflict with the premise of this Article 8(B) that "'Fly Quiet' procedures may exist through cooperative agreement of airport users, the community and city." No effort has been made by the city to convene these groups, and no requests have been made from the city to either the Port of Portland Noise Office or PDX tower for relevant historic noise complaint, noise contours, or flight track data demonstrating there being an issue. Finally, what is being proposed in the Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations Document does not match fly quiet information provide on the city's airport web page, does not match what the city has posted on runway signage at the airport, and does not match the Pearson Airport section of the FAA's published Flight Supplement guide. Adding a list of unenforceable bullets to a regulations document provides no additional clarity and sets the precedent before these rules are even established for city decision making without attempting cooperative agreement with interested parties.

If you do choose to retain sub-bullet (b), Mrs. Short, I'm sure, would appreciate her name being spelled correctly.

ARTICLE 13(H) Insert missing period "."

ARTICLE 14(B)(b) Remove reference to March 23, 2015 as this document is now incorporated into the Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations document as Part II.

ARTICLE 15 Preamble. Remove second sentence "All tenants shall provide one serviceable and readily accessible fire extinguisher, type 2A-10-BC, in each T-hangar[.]" This is redundant with ARTICLE 15(B).

Minimum Standards for Commercial Operations at Pearson Field Airport (Part II). I have been advised by city staff that there have been no changes to the document as approved in 2015 (M-3857) on incorporation into the new Pearson Field Airport Rules and Regulations document. As a significant contributor to the original work, I have no content input to Part II. I do recommend adding "Part II" to the title page to match reference in Article 1(D) and for readability.

Regards,

Paul Speer

From: [Jean M. Avery](#)
To: [City of Vancouver - Office of the City Manager](#)
Subject: Citizen Comment for 9/27 City Council Meeting
Date: Monday, September 27, 2021 11:18:44 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Madam Mayor and City Council

Re: VIC Development, forested tract

I continue to urge you to maintain the entire forested tract in the Vancouver Innovation Center. Specifically, I am waiting for clarification of the terms of the "Covenant" promised by VIC's attorney, Mr. Horenstein.

On August 17, I sent an email to Mr. Horenstein's Law Group website, but never received a reply. My email stated:

Dear Mr. Horenstein,

At the August 9, 2021 meeting of the Vancouver City Council, I was pleased to hear you say that the forested section of the VIC property would be retained in its current, natural state (except for the removal of a few unhealthy trees).

Would you please clarify whether there is indeed a "Covenant" on the forested section of the property, and what that entails?

*Thank you for your reply,
[Jean M. Avery]*

City Council, in my view, this a matter of preserving trees AND a matter of preserving credibility with the citizens of Vancouver. There are various and vague reports of how many acres will be retained in VIC's forested tract. Please hold the developer to the highest standard of conservation, as exemplified by your Leading Edge climate strategy.

Thank you,
Jean M. Avery
Vancouver resident

To: Vancouver City Council and Staff
Citizen Forum Public Comment/September 27, 2021/Cathryn Chudy

It is with a sigh of relief that we greet rain in Vancouver after several months of drought turning everything brown instead of the green we are used to seeing around us. And our September thankfully did not bring us the toxic smoke filled air that we suffered through a year ago. If only we could count on enough rain and temperatures that do not trend to the extreme, now that we have turned to autumn and watch the leaves turning and feel the air cooling.

Realistically and practically, we are not out of the woods with climate emergency conditions that we are likely to experience with more frequency and intensity as time goes by. Which gives the work currently in progress on Vancouver's Climate Action Plan to reduce GHG emissions and implement resilience actions both timely and urgent.

I sent all of you a report in early September with links to a recently released resource for public officials about health and building decarbonization. Physicians for Social Responsibility and other groups co-authored the report, that summarizes health impacts, science, feasibility of methane, and greenwashing efforts by the gas industry to influence policy. We have seen that greenwashing happening here in Vancouver, as they have in Oregon. The conclusion of the report, which I hope you will take the time to read if you haven't already, states:

Gas is now the leading contributor to global fossil fuel carbon emissions increases. Despite what the fossil fuel industry would have you believe, methane gas has no role in decarbonizing Washington and Oregon's built environment. Ongoing and expanding gas use is, instead, putting Oregon and Washington's communities' health and safety at risk, especially low-income and BIPOC communities. Both public health concerns and climate research support rapid electrification of buildings. Numerous safety concerns, coupled with the recent International Energy Agency report on necessary reductions in methane to address the climate crisis, and the multiple studies comparing methane gas, RNG and building electrification, build a strong case for prohibiting expansion of methane gas infrastructure and beginning to prioritize ways to equitably scale back existing gas infrastructure.. It is imperative that all levels of government take decisive action to adopt policies to ensure a just transition to a more healthy, equitable, and electric future."

We are seeing now, at the federal level, how our deeply divided country is being stalled instead of activated in the face of the climate emergency, largely due to the outsized influence of fossil fuel money attempting to preserve their profits at the expense of our children's future. The window of time, to make and implement decisions that will allow a healthy and safe future for our young people, is closing this decade. Decisions being made for Climate Action policy with regard to Vancouver's built environment cannot be made by allowing for false solutions that will not meet the necessary emissions reduction targets being set in our state and in our city.

We need meaningful climate action policy to be set locally, consistent with the direction being taken statewide. I encourage you to stay informed in order to decide wisely the most viable way to ensure that Vancouver does nothing to make the problem of climate impacts (driven by fossil fuel carbon emissions) worse, rather than better.

To the City of Vancouver, WA

c/o City Clerk,

September 20, 2021 27 RECD



Please put my letter and cover letter on public record. I do not wish to have my address on the record. I am including it on the cover letter so you know where to respond but please black it out.

To the Mayor of Vancouver WA, the Vancouver City Council, and the City Clerk,

Enclosed is a letter. I would appreciate a response in writing in 10 business days sent to my address below.

Please Note: *In the letter, all of my comments are in italic, and questions are in red italic.* The articles and materials from the websites are in regular text.

Contents

Page 1 **My Request:** I am asking that you put an end to all the COVID19 related mandates in the City of Vancouver, and to do what you need to stop the CDC's ban on Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine for treating COVID19 patients because the data shows they are effective when used in conjunction with other meds, vitamins, and minerals.

Page 1-25 I have presented material such as articles, MSDS, US Patents, Information from government websites, etc. I show why we should not trust some key figures in the FDA, CDC, NIH, and NIAID. These are the people that effect the COVID-19 related mandates and bans. I believe the information provided supports my request to end all COVID-19 bans, and a few suggestions how to make things better. I show information about breakthrough cases as evidence that it isn't just unvaccinated getting and spreading the delta variant, therefore to mandate these vaccinations in order to work is discrimination. I also show that there are effective treatments proven in India and El Salvador, and the CDC should stop the ban on Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine for treating COVID19 patients. Waiting to give patients care until they become critically ill goes against the doctors Hippocratic oath. Using a vaccine that is only efficient for a very limited time is ridiculous specially as the variants keep changing.

I) Are these COVID-19 Vaccine Mandates Legal?	Page 1
II) Will Fauci Be Held Accountable for Lying to Congress?	Page 2
III) The Nuremberg Code and HIPPA, DeSantis Fines	Page 6
IV) Are COVID-19 Vaccines Safe?	Page 8
V) Discrimination of unvaxxed and coercion to take the vaccine despite breakthrough cases:	Page 15
VI) Why is the USA concentrating on vaccines and snuffing effective treatments?	Page 17
VII) Why are PCR tests used when they have a high false positive rate?	Page 23
VIII) CDC Director Walensky Lies, Contradicting CDC's Own Data.	Page 23
IX) Mask Mandates:	Page 23
X) Dr Mike Yeadon's Final Warning to Humanity – Rights and Freedoms	Page 26

Page 26 Final comments and questions

Laurel Pascual



To the Mayor of Vancouver WA, the Vancouver City Council, and the City Clerk, September 20, 2021

City of Vancouver
P.O. Box 1995
Vancouver, WA 98668-1995

I am asking that the City Clerk put my documents on public record ASAP!

My Request:

I am asking that you put an end to all the COVID19 related mandates in the City of Vancouver, and to do what you need to stop the CDC's ban on Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine for treating COVID19 patients because the data shows they are effective when used in conjunction with other meds, vitamins, and minerals. Data shows that the various treatments have reduced the hospitalization and death rates significantly.

Please note: I have no problem sharing this information with the different unions and business owners.

I would appreciate if you would respond to my letter in writing.

I) Our governor, mayors, and council members took a Constitutional Oath to uphold the laws of the land when they enter office.

Where in the Constitution or Bill of Rights is our president allowed to make healthcare decisions for myself or anyone else?

What happened to my body, my choice? If a person feels safe with a vaccine, why would there be any concern if someone chose not to, unless that is you have doubts that the vaccine works? Shouldn't I be the one to decide whether the risks outweigh the gain for myself? Please remember, we are still waiting to find out the long-term effects. Who gave the president of the USA that authority?

Are Biden's vaccine requirements legal?

Yahoo news LAURA RAMIREZ-FELDMAN September 20, 2021

<https://www.aol.com/news/bidens-vaccine-requirements-legal-171409503.html>

From the website:

Shortly after President Biden announced a new vaccine mandate to combat the recent COVID-19 surge driven by the Delta variant, some conservative governors vowed to file lawsuits challenging the plan. Some already have.

Last Tuesday, Arizona became the first state to sue the Biden administration over COVID-19 vaccine mandates.

Republican threats to Biden's vaccine mandates unlikely to succeed, experts say

NBC News By Adam Edelman Sept. 10, 2021

<https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/politics-news/republicans-threats-biden-s-vaccine-mandates-unlikely-succeed-experts-say-n1278936>

From the website:

Nearly two dozen Republican governors, as well as the GOP itself, have vowed to file lawsuits or take other actions to block President Joe Biden's newly announced vaccination mandates — but legal experts say they'll have a hard time successfully making their case in court.

Biden on Thursday issued two executive orders mandating vaccines for federal workers and contractors and also announced new requirements for large employers and health care providers that he said would affect around 100 million workers, more than two-thirds of the U.S. workforce. Following the announcement, Republicans across the country slammed the moves.

South Dakota Gov. Kristie Noem tweeted that her state would "Stand up to defend freedom," adding, "@Joe Biden see you in court."

Georgia Gov. Brian Kemp tweeted he would "pursue every legal option available" to stop "this blatantly unlawful overreach," while Arizona Gov. Doug Ducey tweeted that the White House was "hammering down on private businesses and individual freedoms in an unprecedented and dangerous way" and that "we must and will push back." ...

II) Dr. Joseph Mercola: Will Fauci Be Held Accountable for Lying to Congress?

by Dr. Joseph Mercola September 9, 2021

<https://noqreport.com/2021/09/09/dr-joseph-mercola-will-fauci-be-held-accountable-for-lying-to-congress/>

Fauci Funded 60 Projects At The Wuhan Institute Of Virology

By Team Tucker Carlson Sept. 20, 2021

<https://teamtuckercarlson.com/news/fauci-funded-60-projects-at-the-wuhan-institute-of-virology/>

From the website:

Sharri Markson from Sky News is coming out with a book that's a culmination of her efforts studying Anthony Fauci. She notes the following on Sky News hours ago:

Dr Anthony Fauci was "up to his neck" funding coronavirus research in Wuhan, which "just shows how incredibly stupid" he is, says Sky News host Sharri Markson. Ms Markson has been investigating Anthony Fauci's involvement in funding the Wuhan Institute of Virology and discovered his agency "had funded 60 projects at the Wuhan laboratory."

"Then he wrote a paper where he said gain of function research was worth the risk of a pandemic, and that he had even funded coronavirus research in conjunction with the Chinese military," Ms Markson said.

Mr Fauci, who has been the Director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases since 1984, apparently stayed silent during Oval Office meetings at the start of the pandemic about the "risky research that was underway at the Wuhan Institute of Virology".

Dr. Anthony Fauci, Director of the NIAID and COVID19 advisor to President Biden, has lied to the Congress and to the people numerous times. Senator Rand Paul caught Fauci lying to the Congress on July 20, 2021.

- *Fauci lied about the origin of the virus.*

- *He lied to the Congress about the funding the Gain-of Function Research at the Wuhan Institute of Virology through the **EcoHealth Alliance**.*
- *Fauci lied to the public about masks. Dr. Fauci told his co-worker in an email that drugstore masks don't work.
(Someone once compared the COVID19 virus relative to the space between the fibers in a mask like a mosquito flying through a chin-linked fence. The fence won't stop the mosquito!)*

*Did you know that **Dr. Fauci, the director of the NIAID, funded the research at the University of North Carolina for Methods for Producing Recombinant Coronavirus** filed on April 19, 2002 **patent # 7,279,327 B2?** It was a helper cell for producing an infectious, replication defective coronavirus with hopes to develop an HIV vaccine. No Vaccine was ever produced. **This gain-of-function research has been going on for almost 2 decades. Fauci funded it in the US and abroad.***

Bill Gates and the Vaccine Heist

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

September 1, 2021

<https://truthcomestolight.com/bill-gates-and-the-vaccine-heist/>

According to Dr. Mercola's article, in 2002, the Gates Foundation invested \$205 million in 9 drug companies, including Pfizer and Johnson & Johnson.

*Did you know on April 12, 2004 **patent # US 7,220,852 B1.** The United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) **patented the Coronavirus Isolated From Humans, For SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 genome?** Did you know that **Patent laws prohibit patents for naturally occurring virus therefore the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 genome must have been manmade?***

On April 28, 2004, Sequoia Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed for **Patent # US 7,151,163 B2** for Antiviral Agents For the Treatment, Control and Prevention Of Infections By Coronavirus. *(This company was later absorbed by Pfizer and Johnson & Johnson.)* On June 5, 2009, Ablynx (former Sequoia Pharmaceuticals) filed **Patent # US 9,193,780 B2** for Amino Acid Sequences Directed Against Envelope Proteins of a Virus and Polypeptides Comprising The Same For The Treatment Of Viral Diseases. The patent was approved on Nov. 24, 2015.

Company History and Information: mRNA Science - Moderna

<https://www.modernatx.com/about-us/moderna-facts>

January 2016 the Gates Foundation committed \$20 million in research for potential mRNA medicine to help prevent human immunodeficiency virus or HIV.

(The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation is one of the partners with Moderna. DARPA is also a partner with Moderna.)

On October 2014 a moratorium was placed on this type of research because there was concern about pathogens escaping. On Dec 19, 2017 the NIH resumed the funding. This is when Fauci funded the research through the EcoHealth Alliance for the Wuhan Lab.

On Dec. 18, 2017, Moderna filed Patent # 10,442,756 B2 for Compounds and Compositions For Intracellular Delivery of Therapeutic Agents. On June 12, 2019, Moderna TX, Inc. filed Patent # US 10,577,403 B2 approved March 3, 2020, and Patent # US 10,703,789 B2 approved July 7, 2020, for Modified Polynucleotides For The Production Of Secreted Proteins.

In October 2019, the Gates Foundation co-sponsored Event 201, a pandemic preparedness simulation for the "novel coronavirus," with the World Economic Forum and John Hopkins Center of Health Security.

On Nov. 20, 2019 the European Patent Specification #EP 3 172 319 B1 for the Coronavirus was approved.

On Feb. 28, 2020, Moderna TX Inc. filed Patent # US 10,702,600 B1 for Betacoronavirus MRNA Vaccine. It was approved on July 7, 2020.

Fauci botched the AIDS epidemic so big pharma could profit. He's doing it again with COVID
Pharmaceutical Fraud 9/16/21

<https://pharmaceuticalfraud.com/2021-09-16-fauci-botched-the-aids-epidemic-for-big-pharma.html>

From the website:

Fauci, named head of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases in 1984, sparked panic among Americans in the 1980s when he wrote in a medical journal that AIDS could be transmitted not only through sexual contact and sharing needles, but also through "ordinary close contact" with the infected.

Iversen says Fauci's remarks followed the discovery of an infant diagnosed with AIDS — a case we would later learn was caused when the child passed through the womb of an infected mother.

But the damage was already done, said Iversen:

"Public panic had intensified and people were fearing they could get aids from sharing a toilet seat or even from shaking hands. People living with AIDS were being alienated and ostracized from their jobs, homes, communities, and gay men, in particular, were heavily stigmatized."

Meanwhile, Fauci and his team of scientists at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) went full speed ahead on developing a vaccine for AIDS. However, despite promises from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services that it would roll out an AIDS/HIV vaccine, Iversen says that never happened.

Realizing the potential to earn big profits, Iversen says pharmaceutical companies soon began developing treatments for AIDS.

The British drug company, Burroughs Wellcome & Co., said its failed cancer drug AZT could be used to treat AIDS.

Few studies were done, said Iversen, and the long-term side effects were unknown. But in March 1987, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved AZT, claiming the benefits outweighed the risks.

Celia Farber, who in 1989 reported on the approval of AZT and its potential health risks, wrote at the time:

"The majority of those in the AIDS-afflicted and medical communities held the drug up as the first breakthrough on AIDS. For better or worse, AZT had been approved faster than any drug in FDA history, and activists considered it a victory. The price paid for the victory, however, was that almost all government drug trials, from then on, focused on AZT — while over 100 other promising drugs were left uninvestigated."

The drug was "one of the most toxic, expensive and controversial drugs in the history of medicine," Farber wrote.

In 1989, Iversen said Fauci started promoting the drug not only for critically ill AIDS patients, but for anyone who tested positive for HIV, including those who were asymptomatic and showed no sign of the disease.

"Those patients included hospital workers, pregnant women and even children," said Iversen. "Doctors were stunned."

Despite limited data, the NIH went all in on AZT, ignoring evidence that the drug was toxic, caused liver damage and destroyed white blood cells, Iversen said.

"The drug continued to be used for years," she explained.

(This goes to show that the US government should share in the blame that enabled the China virus to be developed, and it points back to Dr. Fauci. How Dr. Fauci remained in his position with the NIAID is beyond me with his horrible record for the HIV/AIDS virus. 20 years of research and still no cure but the FDA can approve an emergency use authorization for a fast-tracked vaccine for COVID-19. How is that possible?)

Cornell Law School

Legal Information Institute

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/1621>

18 U.S. Code § 1621 - Perjury generally

Whoever—

- (1) having taken an oath before a competent tribunal, officer, or person, in any case in which a law of the United States authorizes an oath to be administered, that he will testify, declare, depose, or certify truly, or that any written testimony, declaration, deposition, or certificate by him subscribed, is true, willfully and contrary to such oath states or subscribes any material matter which he does not believe to be true; or
- (2) in any declaration, certificate, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 of title 28, United States Code, willfully subscribes as true any material matter which he does not believe to be true; is guilty of perjury and shall, except as otherwise expressly provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both. This section is applicable whether the statement or subscription is made within or without the United States.

Under 18 U.S. Code § 1621, shouldn't Fauci be fined or imprisoned for 5 years for lying to the Congress?

Do you still trust Dr. Fauci after he openly lied to the Congress and American public when responding to Senator Rand Paul's questions? If so, please explain why.

III) The Nuremberg Code and HIPPA

The Nuremberg Code From the NIH website

<https://history.nih.gov/display/history/Nuremberg%2BCode>

- (1) The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.

*Do you trust Pfizer, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson? Did you know that the vaccine inserts were blank before FDA approval? Wasn't that a violation of the Nuremberg Code? The vaccine inserts only had the name of the manufacturer. It made me wonder, are they trying to hide something? The bare minimum, they should have listed **all** of the ingredients and possible side effects per ingredient when they first conducted the experiment. Isn't the list of ingredients vital should a person has an allergy to one of them? It didn't seem like informed consent to me.*

Why did the government coerce people to take the Covid -19 vaccine if they were so great? Take the vaccine or lose your job definitely looks like coercion to me. Isn't that another violation of the Nuremberg Code?

- (3) The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study, that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.

Did the COVID-19 vaccine manufacturers do animal tests before they made people a part of the experiment? If not, that is another violation of the Nuremberg Code. If they did, how long did they test the animals?

- (4) The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.
- (7) Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.

If you look at the VAERS website for the COVID-19 vaccines, I would think that that was quite enough injury and suffering to stop the experiment to pull the COVID-19 Vaccines. What about the deaths that occurred after the COVID 19 vaccines? Wouldn't that be another violation of the Nuremberg Code?

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA)

<https://www.cdc.gov/phlp/publications/topic/hipaa.html>

HIPAA Privacy Rule

The Privacy Rule standards address the use and disclosure of individuals' health information (known as "protected health information") by entities subject to the Privacy Rule. These individuals and organizations are called "covered entities." The Privacy Rule also contains standards for individuals' rights to understand and control how their health information is used. A major goal of the Privacy Rule is to ensure that individuals' health information is properly protected while allowing the flow of health information needed to provide and promote high quality health care and to protect the public's health and well-being. The Privacy Rule strikes a balance that permits important uses of information while protecting the privacy of people who seek care and healing.

Don't vaccine mandates violate the HIPPA Privacy Rule? If you have to show that you have been vaccinated to keep your job, isn't that a violation?

DeSantis: Businesses Asking For Proof Of Vax Will Be Fined \$5,000 A Violation

Daily Wire By Amanda Prestigiacomo Sept. 13, 2021

<https://www.dailywire.com/news/desantis-businesses-asking-for-proof-of-vax-will-be-fined-5000-a-violation?fbclid=IwAR3AzFj-z-q-g9eUAQaX-WqRIUgDZ7Kn5gEUX0aYpbV3j7MzD091Rs3ncb8>

The article:

Businesses asking for proof of vaccination or government agencies mandating vaccination for employees will be facing \$5,000 fines per violation, Florida Governor Ron DeSantis (R) reiterated this week.

DeSantis in May signed a bill allowing "the state to issue fines beginning Sept. 16 if people are asked to show proof they have received a COVID-19 vaccine before being allowed in businesses, schools, or government buildings," WFTV 9 reported.

According to the legislation, businesses, government agencies, and schools in the state of Florida will be fined \$5,000 "per individual and separate violation."

"Those who violate the rule will be issued a notice of the infraction and have a chance to appeal the fine," WFTV 9 noted. "If the appeal fails, the fine must be paid within 30 days."

"If a government agency in the state of Florida forces a vaccine as a condition of employment, that violates Florida law and you will face a \$5,000 fine for every single violation," the Republican governor recently said.

DeSantis, who's been one of the nation's most prominent governors leading in vaccination accessibility, recently blasted President Joe Biden for indicating he'll mandate vaccination for all federal employees — with some apparent exemptions — and force many private businesses to mandate vaccination against COVID, too.

"These mandates, this is not about science — because if it was about science, you would recognize the infection conferred immunity," DeSantis recently said. "Israel did a study. They said it was much, much more protective than the Pfizer vaccine. Cleveland clinic did a study, same thing. Every single credible study always shows that it [natural immunity] provides good protection. And so that's just the reality." "So I don't support mandates at all, but if you're doing mandate based off this, if you were really following science, you would acknowledge this natural immunity," the Republican continued. "And instead they ignore it."

DeSantis also slammed Biden for apparent exemptions to his mandates that are inconsistent with science.

The Biden administration "issuing this mandate for private-sector employees, but also for all federal employees, but they're exempting the post office. They're exempting members of Congress and members of Congress's staff. So how does that work?" questioned DeSantis. "Can someone explain the science to me behind exempting? First of all, I don't think the post office should be forced either, but if you're going to do a mandate and you say you're following science and you exempt a major part of the federal workforce, and then you exempt Congress and you exempt the staff of Congress."

"When I was in Congress, I actually proposed the 28th amendment," he said. "Congress shouldn't make any law that doesn't apply to them and their staff. So those glaring exemptions. So if you're somebody who's working again, I don't think postal should be forced. Don't get me wrong. But Biden thinks everyone should be forced except you deliver mail somehow. That's fine. But if you're somebody — a nurse who's been working in the ICU for a private hospital for a year and a half treating COVID patients and likely has already had COVID then somehow you're going to get fired unless you follow the mandate. How does that make sense at all?"

(I think DeSantis fines per violation would go along with HIPPA. I view these mandates as being discriminatory against the unvaxxed when both vaccinated and unvaccinated can get and spread the delta variant. To exempt Congress's staff and postal workers shows favoritism when rule or laws should be the same for everyone. Is the Mayor and City Council willing to consider a similar rule in Vancouver WA like DeSantis \$5,000 fine per violation if employers or businesses ask for proof of vaccination? No one should be fired or laid off due to vaccination status when anyone can spread the virus. These mandates are not just!)

IV) **Are COVID-19 Vaccines Safe?**

Stew Peters Show Poisonous Proof

<https://drcharlieward.com/stew-peters-scientific-proof-covid-injections-are-mass-poisoning/>

Dr. Jane Ruby said in the Pfizer vile for each dose had the ratio of 6 n grams per ul RNA, there was 747 n grams per ul of graphene oxide. That is 99.99% of graphene oxide which is a poison it creates oxidated stress. It destroys everything inside the cell. It explodes the mitochondria. It causes inflammation s.a. acute inflammation of the lungs, in cardiac tissue, and in brain tissue. It ties directly to strokes and heart attacks. They were also examined under a regular and an electron microscope.

DrJaneRuby.com

RedVoiceMedia.com

COVID "vaccine" contents finally revealed....

Stew Peters Show August 30, 2021

<https://raypeatforum.com/community/threads/covid-vaccine-contents-finally-revealed.42301/#:~:text=Dr.%20Robert%20Young%2C%20a%20microscopy%20expert%20holding%20two,and%20stainless%20steel%2C%20among%20other%20metals%20and%20contents.>

From the website:

Dr. Robert Young, a microscopy expert holding two PhD's says he has examined the contents of the four publicly-available CoV-19 "vaccines", and determined the vials to contain graphene oxide, deadly parasites and stainless steel, among other metals and contents.

Dr. Jane Ruby joins Stew Peters to break the findings, and issues a WARNING to anyone considering the inoculation.

Stew Peters Show August 30, 2021

From the website:

Japan have halted their use because of metallic contaminants-

www.ibtimes.sg

Japan Suspends Moderna Covid-19 Vaccine Doses after Metallic Containment Found in Dozens of Vials

By R. Ghosh August 27, 2021

The country's health has dismissed concerns over significant health problems for those who have been administered the vaccine from those vials, as the chances of the metals entering the body are extremely low.

www.ibtimes.sg

"Trust the science", "trust the experts", a little graphene oxide, stainless steel and the odd parasite is a form of hormesis, I'm sure an expert can be paid to write an article extolling the genius of the vaccine magicians and their thought process behind said hormesis styled vaccine.

Peat spoke in his recent newsletter about Iron excess leaving you more susceptible to a worse outcome from covid infection, some of vaccines contain iron oxide, if that wasn't bad enough, we now have stainless steel to worry about, probably a canteen knife accidentally dropped into the mix at the Moderna factory by the experts.

Idaho doctor reports "20 times increase" in cancer among those "vaccinated" for covid

Written by DR. EDDY BETTERMANN MD SEPTEMBER 16, 2021

<https://dreddymd.com/2021/09/16/idaho-doctor-reports-20-times-increase-in-cancer-among-those-vaccinated-for-covid/>

From the article:

Dr. Ryan Cole, a board-certified pathologist and diagnostics lab owner and operator based out of Idaho, has released shocking new information about how Wuhan coronavirus (Covid-19) "vaccines" are causing a massive "uptick" in autoimmune diseases and cancer.

In a video produced by the Idaho state government's "Capitol Clarity" project, Cole revealed how he is now seeing a 2,000 percent chronic illness increase in folks who took Donald "father of the vaccine" Trump's "Operation Warp Speed" injections.

"Since January 1, in the laboratory, I'm seeing a 20-times increase of endometrial cancers over what I see on an annual basis," Cole stated in the video.

"I'm not exaggerating at all because I look at my numbers year over year, and I'm like 'Gosh, I've never seen this many endometrial cancers before.'"

Cole revealed these and other statistics at a March 18 event, telling Idahoans that the so-called "vaccines" for the Fauci Flu are invoking a "reverse HIV" type of autoimmune response in people's bodies.

With U.S. government funding at nearly \$1 billion for one company, Moderna may be too big to fail. However, this is perplexing for a company that has never produced a single vaccine. According to a CNN report, Moderna was only established in 2010, has never brought a product to market, nor gotten any of its nine or so vaccine candidates approved for use by the FDA.

However, it has been a long-term Pentagon contractor for biodefense, working closely with Defense Advanced Research Project Agency (DARPA) on gene-editing and mRNA therapeutics. DARPA is focused on developing emerging disruptive technologies to maintain a competitive edge over adversaries, including many 'transhuman' projects such as genetic engineering and soldier enhancement via robotics.

In the case of Moderna and mRNA therapeutics, DNA vaccines is considered a new paradigm that would disrupt the pharmaceutical industry. Its vision is to harness a new technology that synthesizes messenger RNA, or mRNA—which is an instruction manual in every living cell for creating protein—to prompt the human body to make its own medicine.

So instead of injecting a piece of virus into a person to stimulate the immune system, the synthesized genes would be shot into the body whereby the genes are edited, deleted, added, to re-engineer human DNA to resist the disease. If successful, scientists hope DNA vaccines could be a "transformative" treatment for heart disease, metabolic and genetic diseases, kidney failure and even cancer. Moreover, it could be an effective form of biodefense to protect the population against biological warfare, which is also the mandate for DARPA and BARDA.

If the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency (DARPA) is working on gene-editing and mRNA therapeutics, are the MRNA Vaccines bioweapons with the intent to make people transhuman in the future?

Interim Clinical Considerations for Use of COVID-19 Vaccines Currently Approved or Authorized in the United States

<https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/clinical-considerations/covid-19-vaccines-us.html>

From the website:

Patient counseling

mRNA COVID-19 vaccines (Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna)

Before vaccination, vaccination providers should counsel mRNA COVID-19 vaccine recipients about expected local (e.g., pain, swelling, erythema at the injection site, localized axillary lymphadenopathy¹ on the same side as the vaccinated arm) and systemic (e.g., fever, fatigue, headache, chills, myalgia, arthralgia) post-vaccination symptoms.

Most systemic post-vaccination symptoms are mild to moderate in severity, occur within the first three days of vaccination resolve within 1–2 days of onset. Overall, symptoms are more frequent and severe following the second dose and among younger people compared with older people (i.e., aged >55 or ≥65 years [for Pfizer-BioNTech or Moderna vaccines, respectively]). People with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection may be more likely to experience symptoms such as fever, chills, and myalgia after the first mRNA COVID-19 vaccine dose. Unless people have a contraindication to vaccination, they should be encouraged to complete the series to optimize protection against COVID-19 even if they experience local or systemic symptoms following the first dose.

Myocarditis and pericarditis

Reports of adverse events following use of the Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine under EUA suggest an increased risk of GBS external icon during the 42 days following vaccination. The Fact Sheet external icon for Recipients and Caregivers includes information about GBS (a neurological disorder in which the body's immune system damages nerve cells, causing muscle weakness and sometimes paralysis) and notes that in most people symptoms began within 42 days following receipt of Janssen COVID-19 vaccine. Although the chance of GBS occurring is very low, vaccine recipients should seek medical attention right away if they develop any of the following symptoms after receiving Janssen COVID-19 vaccine:

- Weakness or tingling sensations, especially in the legs or arms, that is worsening and spreading to other parts of the body
- Difficulty walking
- Difficulty with facial movements, including speaking, chewing, or swallowing
- Double vision or inability to move eyes
- Difficulty with bladder control or bowel function

Contraindications and precautions

Contraindications

CDC considers a history of the following to be a contraindication to vaccination with COVID-19 vaccines:

- Severe allergic reaction (e.g., anaphylaxis) after a previous dose or to a component of the COVID-19 vaccine
- Immediate allergic reaction of any severity to a previous dose or known (diagnosed) allergy to a component of the COVID-19 vaccine

Precautions

Most people deemed to have a precaution to a COVID-19 vaccine at the time of their vaccination appointment can and should be administered vaccine. CDC considers a history of an immediate allergic reaction to any vaccine other than COVID-19 vaccine or to any injectable therapy (i.e., intramuscular, intravenous, or subcutaneous vaccines or therapies [excluding subcutaneous immunotherapy for allergies, i.e., "allergy shots"]) as a precaution but not a contraindication to vaccination. People with a history of an immediate allergic reaction to a vaccine or injectable therapy that contains multiple components, one or more of which is a component of a COVID-19 vaccine, have a precaution to vaccination with that COVID-19 vaccine, even if it is unknown which component elicited the allergic reaction.

People with a contraindication to one type of the currently FDA-approved or FDA-authorized COVID-19 vaccines (e.g., mRNA) have a precaution to the other (e.g., Janssen viral vector). However, because of potential cross-reactive hypersensitivity between ingredients in mRNA and Janssen COVID-19 vaccines, consultation with an allergist-immunologist should be considered to help determine if the patient can safely receive vaccination. Healthcare professionals and health departments may also request a consultation from the Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment COVIDvax project. Vaccination of these individuals should only be undertaken in an appropriate setting under the supervision of a healthcare professional experienced in the management of severe allergic reactions.

- People with a contraindication to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines (including due to a known PEG allergy): Consideration may be given to vaccination with Janssen COVID-19 vaccine. People who have received one mRNA COVID-19 vaccine dose but for whom the second dose is contraindicated should wait at least 28 days after the mRNA vaccine dose to receive Janssen COVID-19 vaccine.

Would you take this vaccine if you knew your chance of getting cancer goes up?

Would you take the vaccine if you knew ahead that you could develop Myocarditis and pericarditis, Thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome, or Guillain-Barré Syndrome? I think I have better odds of surviving the virus than surviving anyone of these complications that can arise from the vaccine.

How can people give informed consent without all of the facts? As of now, the COVID-19 vaccine manufacturers should be including all of the side effects that have been reported to VAERS as possible side effects if the COVID-19 vaccine is taken. In the past, this information was not available. In addition, doctors should be providing the inserts for all of the vaccines before giving any vaccine is given to their patient. They should include all of the ingredients and the possible side effects.

*It is amazing how the Gates Foundation money went towards the making of the coronaviruses and the research and development of vaccines to combat it. Just think how much money one can make developing a biological weapon and its cure! The timing of **Event 201** was just remarkable with the actual outbreak. *How on earth could Moderna file a patent for by Feb 28, 2020 for Betacoronavirus MRNA Vaccine when the USA was only discovering what they were combating?* Politicians wonder why there is vaccine hesitancy when we are still waiting to find out the long-term effects. I personally wait to buy anything new like a car until all of the bugs have been worked out. I would not sign up for an experimental vaccine if I didn't know what was in it, possible side effects, and who is liable if I became vaccine injured.*

Why is the president pushing these vaccines when people under 70 or in good health have an excellent chance of survival? Don't old people and those with pre-existing conditions have a higher chance of dying anyway?

V) Discrimination of unvaxxed and coercion to take the vaccine despite breakthrough cases:

*We were told that the unvaxxed people were the ones spreading the disease. This was deceptive because both the vaccinated and unvaxxed are spreading the delta variant. **Israel and Iceland are 2 of the most highly vaccinated countries in the world, Massachusetts is 74% fully vaccinated, 3 private universities (Chapman, Duke, and Rice) were 89%+ fully vaccinated. All of these places had huge amounts of breakthrough COVID-19 cases. This is a clear indication that the vaccines have lost their efficiency or just don't work, so why is President Biden pushing mandates? Why is the Vancouver WA Mayor and City Council pushing these mandates?** This seems purely discrimination against the unvaxxed people and a deliberate attempt to divide the people. We have laws against discrimination! In addition, **why did Biden consider boosters?** That is like giving last year's flu shot for this year's flu. The virus has mutated so many times it doesn't make sense.*

- **Vaxxed Make Up '85–90% of the Hospitalizations' from COVID Infection in Israel: Dr. Kobi Haviv**
American Faith By Jon Fleetwood August 8, 2021
<https://americanfaith.com/vaxxed-make-up-85-90-of-the-hospitalizations-from-covid-infection-in-israel-dr-kobi-haviv/>

The Director of the Herzog Hospital in Jerusalem says the Covid-19 vaccine's "effectiveness is waning."

QUICK FACTS:

Rice University, Covid at Rice letter from David W. Leebron May 28, 2021

From the Letter:

We realize that for a variety of reasons – including medical, religious, and logistical challenges – a 100% vaccination rate may not be possible. Our previous survey of the Rice community showed a vaccination rate of approximately 80%, and we continue to encourage all members of our community — students, faculty, and staff — to get vaccinated. Our goal is to get to at least 90%.

So, we are announcing today that we expect all students who come to campus, except those with an approved waiver for medical or religious reasons, to be fully vaccinated against COVID-19 in order to start the fall 2021 semester.

Rice University Shifts to Online Classes As Covid Cases Rise

BloombergQuint.com article by Janet Lorin Aug. 20, 2021

The Dean Bridget Gorman said, “ I’ll be blunt: the level of breakthrough cases (positive tests among vaccinated persons) is much higher than anticipated.

VI) *Why is the USA concentrating on vaccines and snuffing effective treatments?*

In 1976 the swine flu vaccine was pulled after 32 deaths. That was back in the day when the vaccine manufacturers still had liability.

In 1986, Congress passed HR 5546. It is the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986. This act releases vaccine manufacturers of all liability if you are vaccine injured. If you are vaccine injured if you can prove it, and have a symptom on their list you could sue in vaccine court.

Under the EUA, anyone who agreed to take the experimental vaccine and became vaccine injured was screwed, they signed up for the experiment.

Since you can’t sue the vaccine manufacturers if you are vaccine injured, and the City of Vancouver is imposing these mandates, are you, the City of Vancouver willing to take on the liability if a person is vaccine injured?

VAERS COVID Vaccine Adverse Event Reports

<https://openvaers.com/covid-data>

The FDA, CDC, NIH, and NIAID say the experimental COVID19 Vaccines are safe! I beg to differ. The latest VAERS COVID Vaccine Adverse Event Reports through September 10, 2021 shows 701,559 Reports, 14,925 deaths, 60,741 Hospitalizations, 80,393 Urgent Care, 110,839 Doctor Office Visits, 5,959 Anaphylaxis, 8,156 Bell’s Palsy, 1,862 Miscarriages, 6,637 Heart Attacks, 5,765 Myocarditis/Pericarditis, 19,210 Permanently Disabled, 3,025 Thrombocytopenia/Low Platelet, 15,013 Life Threatening, 28,168 Severe Allergic Reaction, and 8,153 Shingles cases.

How many autopsies has the CDC done to verify if the cause of death was from the COVID-19 Vaccines? Is the CDC afraid to find out the real cause of death since they rushed for the approval of the EAU and

He added that based on the findings from Agra, the state government sanctioned the use of Ivermectin as a prophylactic for all the contacts of Covid patients and later cleared the administration of therapeutic doses for the treatment of such patients.

Claiming that timely introduction of Ivermectin since the first wave has helped the state maintain a relatively low positivity rate despite its high population density, he said, "Despite being the state with the largest population base and a high population density, we have maintained a relatively low positivity rate and cases per million of population."

He said that apart from aggressive contact tracing and surveillance, the lower positivity and fatality rates may be attributed to the large-scale use of Ivermectin use in the state, adding that the drug has recently been introduced in the National Protocol for Covid treatment and management. "Once the second wave subsides, we would conduct our own study as there has been an emerging body of evidence to substantiate our timely use of Ivermectin from the first wave itself," Vikasendu told The Indian Express."

One would think the World Health Organization, Big Pharma, the mainstream media, and Dr. Anthony Fauci would be overjoyed by this development that ivermectin is undoubtedly saving lives.

But don't count on them celebrating that, because that would hurt their bottom lines of profit and power from their experimental and ineffective vaccines.

That's why they've been melting down over ivermectin after Joe Rogan successfully used it to treat his COVID infection earlier this month.

El Salvador Government Gives Medicine Packets to All COVID-19 Patients. Guess What They Contain?

We Love Trump

By Daniel

Sept. 18, 2021

<https://welovetrump.com/?s=el+salvador+government+gives+medicine+packets>

Here at WLT, we've brought you the truth about Ivermectin.

And we've shown you the wonder drug's success for treating COVID-19 in Uttar Pradesh, India.

Here in the United States:

-Mainstream media spreads lies by calling Ivermectin "horse dewormer."

-Hospitals deny Ivermectin to patients who need it.

-The federal government pushes dangerous injections instead of the safe, effective treatment.

All three are complicit with crimes against humanity.

If we lived in a sane society, Ivermectin would be available to every American household to treat COVID-19.

But in the United States, our society has fallen off a cliff.

For a semblance of sanity, we must turn to El Salvador.

The Central American nation has taken a common sense approach to treat citizens who contract COVID-19.

El Salvador's government now sends medicine packets and instructions for self-treatment.

Let's see what's included in those medicine packets:

Tweeter

sv HLE.HOLD sv

- baricitinib immunosuppressant (a medicine that reduces the activity of the immune system) from Eli Lilly: an application for extension of marketing authorization for COVID-19 indication is under assessment

Newly developed monoclonal antibodies under rolling review - a regulatory tool to speed up the assessment of a promising medicine during a public health emergency:

- combination of bamlanivimab and etesevimab from Eli Lilly: under rolling review
- combination of casirivimab and imdevimab from Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd: under rolling review
- regdanvimab from Celltrion: under rolling review
- sotrovimab from GlaxoSmithKline and Vir Biotechnology, Inc.: under rolling review

*The real problem is that in order to use the **Emergency Use Authorization (EUA)**, the FDA can only use unapproved medical products in an emergency to treat or prevent life-threatening diseases providing there is no adequate, approved, available alternatives. Dr. Simone Gold put a team of doctors together called The American Frontline Doctors come up with the best treatment possible. Dr. Stella Immanuel joined in. Other doctors such as Dr. Vladimir Zelenko, Dr. Peter Mc Cullough, and Dr. Mercola also developed effective treatments. Dr. Ryan Cole discussed the importance of Vitamin D to maintain good health. These doctors put together protocols usually including either **Hydroxychloroquine or Ivermectin, zinc, and other supplements**. Sometimes breathing treatments and anti-inflammatories were included depending on their patient's needs. They had great success with these inexpensive meds and some over-the-counter items. There are a couple of issues:*

(a) The FDA refused to approve these inexpensive repurposed drugs such as Hydroxychloroquine or Ivermectin, because if there was an effective treatment, the FDA could not push the new experimental vaccines under the EUA. People with symptoms are not being treated early on and told to come back if things get worse such as shortness of breath, dehydration, etc. By this time, it becomes harder to treat a patient and the chance of survival is reduced.

The FDA ban on these repurposed drugs needs to be over turned!** There is plenty of evidence these repurposed meds work. In Uttar Pradesh, India, medicine kits with Ivermectin, Vitamin C, & Zinc, were being used to treat people at home. Dr. De Costa said it had a great impact. The WHO and the FDA would not acknowledge his results. El Salvador had similar packets sent out and great success too! In addition, the European Commission will have effective treatments this coming October 2021. There won't be a need to mandate these vaccines any more. **The FDA director should be fired!

The Hippocratic Oath Today | NOVA | PBS

<https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/article/hippocratic-oath-today/>

Hippocratic Oath: Modern Version

I swear to fulfill, to the best of my ability and judgment, this covenant:

has banned the use of repurposed meds and tied the hands of doctors. These effective treatments worked for all the variants. These are Crimes Against Humanity!

VII) *Why are PCR tests used when they have a high false positive rate?*

StandForHealthFreedom.com on Feb. 3, 2021

"to guarantee a positive test is accurate, the test must be run at 17 cycles. By 33 cycles, 80% are false positives. The CDC declared it will withdraw its request use on an emergency basis on December 31, 2021." *Why wait when the don't work?*

*In addition, the nasal swab tests from China have **ethylene oxide** on it. According to the NIH National Cancer Institute, Ethylene Oxide is used as a pesticide and sterilizing agent. The ability of ethylene oxide to damage DNA makes it an effective sterilizing agent but also accounts for its cancer-causing activity. Is this something you want up your nose near your brain? I don't want it.*

Why does the City of Vancouver continue to use the PCR tests with that kind of error? Shouldn't doctors use other methods to identify whether a patient is sick with COVID-19 or not? When people are forced to quarantine because they test positive, they can't work! This will affect their livelihoods if they are not sick and can't work.

VIII) CDC Director Walensky Lies, Contradicting CDC's Own Data.

New American article:

<https://www.bing.com/search?q=cdc+director+walensky+lies+contradicting+cdc%27s+own+data&form=ANSPH1&refid=b1283e9536ac4508b6962c6649c82e9c&pc=U531#:~:text=CDC%E2%80%99s%20OWN%20DATA%20...-,https%3A//thenewamerican.com/cdc-director-walensky,-Jul%2029%2C%202021>

Tucker Carlson's News Report on July 28, 2021, Walensky stated in a clip played by Carlson, "If you look at the mortality rate of COVID, just this past year for children, it's more than twice the mortality rate that we see in influenza in a given year."

The problem is that unless Walensky is profoundly ignorant of her own CDC's data and what attentive laymen have known for more than a year, her claim is a lie.

New American writes, The Truth: "From 2019 to 2020, according to the CDC, a total of 124 children died of COVID. From 2020 to 2021, 213 children died of COVID. By comparison, influenza killed more than 400 children just last year," Carlson related, "In fact, that was not an aberration. Influenza has killed far more children than COVID has in each of the past five years. It's not even close."

Does the City of Vancouver trust the CDC director when she contradicts the CDC's data?

IX) *Mask Mandates:*

Federal Judge Embarrasses the CDC With A Crucial Question on Mask Science

useless (the judge points that out) and 2) because they have no affirmative evidence that masks reduce the spread of COVID-19. If they did, they'd be announcing it from the rooftops. That they aren't is dispositive on its own.

Mask Mandate for Children Is Not Backed by Science: New Jersey Senators

The Epoch Times

By Ella Kietlinska

July 17, 2021

https://www.theepochtimes.com/mask-mandate-for-children-is-not-backed-by-science-new-jersey-senators_3903570.html

From the article:

Health Professionals and parents talked about the impact of masks on children's health and well-being.

Mask Effectiveness for Children

There have not been any randomized clinical trials on children to assess the benefits of wearing masks, but different countries responded differently to the pandemic, said Dr. Martin Kulldorff, professor of medicine at Harvard Medical School, and a biostatistician and epidemiologist.

"During the first wave [of the COVID-19 pandemic] in the spring of 2020, most large Western countries closed their schools for longer or shorter time periods, including more states in the U.S. The one exception was Sweden, which kept schools and daycare open from ages 1 to 15, for which there are 1.8 million children."

At that time, there was no mask-wearing, no social distancing, and no COVID-19 testing for children in Sweden, Kulldorff said at the hearing, but there was more cleaning than normal in schools and daycare facilities and children who got sick were sent home.

Despite this lack of restrictions, "none of these 1.8 million children died [of COVID-19]," Kulldorff emphasized.

"COVID is primarily spread through adults. When children do get infected ... they typically get it from an adult. And it's very unusual to get transmission from children to adults."

The risk of COVID-19 infection for teachers is the same or slightly lower than the average in other professions, Kulldorff said. "There's no purpose of wearing masks, either for the benefit of the children or for the benefit of teachers. There's no public health reasons to do that."

"I think in the United States, for this whole pandemic, there have been about 350 reported COVID deaths among children. And we don't know exactly how many of those are due to COVID versus how many are with COVID because [the] CDC hasn't done that evaluation."

Kulldorff said that the number of child deaths due to influenza is between 200 and 1,000 every year depending on the severity of influenza.

"Every one of these deaths is tragic," Kulldorff said, but "for children, [mask] doesn't particularly give them any protection from COVID."

(We keep hearing the Science, the Science, when the CDC has nothing to back it up.)

If the CDC has been making decisions without the data or evidence, why does the City of Vancouver go along with these mask mandates? Isn't it time to stop enforcing them? Isn't it time to let the people decide for themselves whether they choose to mask or not?

X) Dr Mike Yeadon's Final Warning to Humanity – Rights and Freedoms

Rights and Freedoms

BY CAPTAINDARETOFLY

JULY 27, 2021

<https://rightsandfreedom.wordpress.com/2021/07/31/dr-mike-yeadons-final-warning-to-humanity/>

From the website:

In an interview posted online, **former Vice President and Chief Science Officer of Pfizer for 16 years, Dr Mike Yeadon**, gave a staunch warning to humanity that they must wake up before it's too late.

Dr Yeadon outlined that the government has been lying to the public throughout the entirety of the Covid pandemic and that it's up to the masses to stop them.

In the video, Dr Yeadon explained the Covid-19 restrictions that were introduced at the start of the pandemic (and continue to be used) don't work. For example, he explains how masks are incapable of preventing the spread of the alleged virus, and that lockdowns never slowed transmission.

Additionally, Dr Yeadon told viewers that they "don't need to be vaccinated by inadequately tested and somewhat dangerous gene-based, spike protein inducing proteins", and should instead ignore what corrupt scientists tell them to do.

The former Pfizer Vice President warned that if people don't wake up in the next few weeks, they will have lost the chance to take back freedoms and return to normal society as vaccine passports will be introduced.

"You need to be symptomatic in order to be infectious but what we do we quarantine the sick, we've always done that.

"We've quarantined the sick because that's how you avoid infecting the wider population. So, the idea of quarantining the well with these so-called lockdowns is a new invention, and it has no foundations whatsoever either in science or in the history of controlling epidemics."

Furthermore, Dr Yeadon explained that Covid-19 does pose a threat to the elderly and those with underlying health conditions, but is not a risk to those who are younger, fit, and healthy. To the younger demographic, he says, Covid-19 is less of a threat than influenza.

(When the former Vice President and Chief Science Officer of Pfizer warns you about the vaccine, masks, and lock downs, I am going to listen.)

As you see, I have presented plenty of reasons why the City of Vancouver should put an end to all of the Covid-19 mandates and make a public announcement doing so. If you know something is wrong (specifically these mandates), and you continue to go along with it, isn't that aiding abetting in a crime? I have asked you to consider DeSantis fining policy in Florida for Vancouver Washington so the unvaxxed won't be penalized or coerced to vaccinate against their will to keep their jobs. I ask that you contact

the FDA director as to why she is ignoring the data out of India and that given by the Frontline Doctors, people are dying needlessly because they aren't getting treatment early on. Doctors should be free to choose the best treatment for their patient especially when meds like Ivermectin have been approved for humans for 2 decades. They also should be able to use treatments available by the European Commission. In addition, please ask the FDA director how many must die or be seriously injured by these COVID-19 vaccines before she is willing to pull them off the shelves? 14,925 deaths after a Covid-19 vaccine seems way too much, is that acceptable to you?

Please respond in writing in 10 business days,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Laurel Pascual". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Laurel" being more prominent than the last name "Pascual".

Laurel Pascual

Address is on cover letter